Hearing: Operation Mantus

Before the Hon P Johnson SC, Chief Commissioner

Held at Level 3, St James Centre, Elizabeth Street, Sydney

On Friday, 26 May 2023 at 10.05am (Day 7)

3

4 5 6

> 7 8 9

10 11 12

13

14

20

21

28 29

30

35

36

42 43

41

44 45 46

47

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Mr Fernandez?

Chief Commissioner, there is no further MR FERNANDEZ: evidence to be taken at this stage in the public hearing.

What I will shortly turn to is a proposed timetable for submissions, but before I did so, Mr Coffey has information to update the Commission.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Mr Coffey.

MR COFFEY: Thank you, Chief Commissioner. Senior police officers have met in relation to this matter. I had instructions to let you know this yesterday so I just want to be clear, it is not overnight as a result of the conversation that you and I had vesterday. I didn't raise it was I needed to clarify some information about whether I was going to hand you a draft document. I unfortunately don't have that document.

The short point is senior police have met, they have discussed this matter. There are a number of proposals that are to be advanced and presented to the Commissioner's executive team hopefully next week, if not the following One of the reasons for the delay might just be about the availability of some of the substantive executive, rather than just presenting it to acting executive members. That will then get corporate endorsement to be circulated amongst the organisation for feedback and consultation, and that takes into account the very important differences of metropolitan, say, the Sydney Police Centre, versus Blacktown, then versus, as you recognised yesterday, regional versus rural, and then to come back.

It is anticipated that a draft of some proposals will then be provided to the Commission, not specifically in accordance with this timetable, hopefully even in advance of that, to provide some opportunity for the Commission to give some feedback because it is a very important matter and the Commissioner of Police - although it hasn't happened as fast as I think everyone in this room would like, it is an important topic and it is moving forward.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you for that indication, Mr Coffey. As would have been clear from our discussion yesterday, and indeed earlier discussions in April when the evidence was being given, this is a matter of significance

and currency.

Having heard the evidence from the lawyers in the field, from the ALS, from Legal Aid, and indeed the statement from Ms Harper that was received yesterday, the submission from Ms Harper, it struck the Commission as being something that called for some prompt consideration in the interests of everyone - in the interests of young persons who have been in police custody and who may be sought to be interviewed; the lawyers who give them advice using the telephone advice system, which is of great importance in this state as a practical way of assisting persons in custody; and the police, because the police, in the end, may end up doing things which become not just controversial, but possibly have adverse consequences for them and certainly for the police force as an institution. and thus, the concern of the Commission in this inquiry, which raises a wide range of issues, but this was one which lent itself to a suggestion for some prompt action.

So the Commission will appreciate being informed at the earliest opportunity when action along the lines that you have foreshadowed is taken.

 When you say it might be a little quicker than the timetable, just for those who may not be aware of it, the timetable that will be made shortly is: counsel assisting's submissions by 23 June; submissions by all parties by 7 July; submissions in reply by counsel assisting by 14 July.

So just thinking of those dates, are you able to say approximately when you think there may be something that can be received by the Commission in documentary form about this?

MR COFFEY: I'm hoping that early next week the briefing will happen to the Commissioner's executive team and that the draft that is to be circulated amongst the organisation for consultation - that draft will be provided to the Commission for, if I could call it, some preliminary feedback, with a view that we still need to get internal feedback, but starting to have more of a dialogue, open conversation, rather than just waiting until, for example, 7 July.

I am hoping that - it might be a moving feast -

a number of different versions go back and forth and the 1 2 Commission might also provide feedback. 3 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 4 Yes. 5 MR COFFEY: Whether you wish to make that restricted to 6 7 what's in your timetable, or hopefully the parties can have a more open dialogue about that, I think that will be more 8 fruitful. 9 10 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I will shortly make the orders 12 I think as sought, unless there's any controversy about them, but it will be on the basis that this is a separate 13 stream. 14 15 MR COFFEY: 16 Thank you. 17 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: This is an issue which is 18 19 progressing, and if you would please ensure that the Commission is kept aware through counsel assisting, the 20 solicitor assisting, and we will be very keen on seeing how 21 22 it progresses and playing a part in that, as I am sure 23 will, at some point, be Legal Aid NSW and the Aboriginal Legal Service who would want to know what is happening in 24 25 this area, too. 26 27 MR COFFEY: Of course. I think that description, Chief Commissioner, of "separate stream" is very helpful. 28 29 separate stream would be good, thank you. 30 31 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Perhaps I will just ask, is there 32 anything you want to say about that? MR FERNANDEZ: No, Commissioner, thank you.

33 34

35 36

37

38 39

40

11

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. The idea of a separate stream for this issue - it won't be forgotten, it will clearly be observed, but rather than picking dates that may be randomly selected now, we've heard what has been said by Mr Coffey and we can monitor the progress of that issue.

41 42 43

MR FERNANDEZ: Yes, we can, Chief Commissioner.

44 45

46 47

All right. Yes, thank you for THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: that, Mr Coffey, and please thank those who have instructed you for that additional information which is very much in

1	the public interest.
2 3	MR COFFEY: May it please.
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR FERNANDEZ: Chief Commissioner, I have circulated a proposed timetable to those present here. I do not understand there is any objection or amendment sought to those orders, so I do ask the Commission to make those orders.
10 11 12 13 14	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. The proposed timetable accommodates not just submissions but an opportunity for any party to make application for any further evidentiary steps to be taken.
15 16	MR FERNANDEZ: It does, Chief Commissioner.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: In taking that course, the Commission has been conscious that there have been a number of legal representatives present who haven't been here all the time, and they don't need to be here all the time, but who were wishing to check the transcript of yesterday, in case there was anything further that they wished to propose. The transcript of yesterday will be available, as indeed will the transcript of today, to the lawyers who have been granted leave and we will see if there's any further evidentiary step that's proposed.
29 30	MR FERNANDEZ: Yes.
31 32 33 34	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Is there anything that anyone appearing for a party granted leave wishes to say about the proposed orders?
35 36 37	MS LEE: No, Chief Commissioner, but can I just clarify something about the exhibits that were tendered yesterday. Are those also available to the public?
38 39 40 41 42 43	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: The exhibits yesterday were - just to remind everyone of them, these are MTS101 to MTS105. The response from Chief Inspector Fishpool of 12 May

MS LEE:

44

45 46

47

interested in the use of force manual.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER:

Sorry, just to home in on it, I'm particularly

Use of force, which is MTS105.

Is there any issue about the use of force manual being publicly available?

MR FERNANDEZ: I will be guided by Mr Coffey because he did raise an issue about certain parts of it, and only certain parts were referred to in open hearing. I will be guided by Mr Coffey.

MR COFFEY: I apologise. I meant to correct that yesterday. No application is made on behalf of the Commissioner of Police in relation to that document.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I proceeded on the basis that everything that was tendered on the public hearing would be publicly available unless there was a specific order made and so all the exhibits from yesterday I think are in that category.

As I observed at the time we had a discussion about the use of force training material, there is, I think, a public interest in material of that type being known to the community in this state, unless there's a particular problem with a particular area, and I'm conscious that there may be in some areas, for reasons associated with police methodology and such, a particular concern. But the use of force manual was expressed in quite general terms, and to understand the oral evidence yesterday, the use of force manual itself would need to be read and understood, including the diagram which has been used to assist in training. So that's a rather long answer to your question, that that is a public exhibit and publicly available.

MS LEE: Thank you, Chief Commissioner.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Now, is the remaining step in the public hearing, then, to make the proposed orders?

MR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I will proceed to do that now. I will make a series of orders in accordance with the document which has been prepared by counsel assisting and is agreed to by those legal representatives who are present, but I will read it out so that those in the hearing room can understand its effect.

completion of this investigation that the submissions come 1 2 in in a timely fashion to allow the Commission to concluded 3 matter. 4 5 Was there anything that - yes, Ms Lee? 6 7 Chief Commissioner, I just wanted to clarify, in terms of evidentiary steps, does that include the 8 possibility of calling other witnesses? 9 10 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I think if that was the case, 11 yes, that's the sort of thing which is built into this. 12 it was suggested there were other witnesses who should be 13 called, then it would be necessary for there to be 14 a written application explaining who it is and why, with 15 that to be done by 2 June. 16 17 MS LEE: Thank you, Chief Commissioner. 18 19 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 20 That's the understanding of --21 MR FERNANDEZ: That's so, Chief Commissioner. 22 23 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I think there was some 24 25 correspondence earlier in the week which did invite an indication to that effect to be given by Wednesday of this 26 27 week, but there will be an opportunity for that question to 28 But obviously if such a suggestion was to be considered. 29 be made, the sooner we know the better. 30 31 MR FERNANDEZ: Yes, Chief Commissioner. 32 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 33 All right. Does that, then, 34 subject to any further listing, conclude the public 35 hearing? 36 MR FERNANDEZ: It does, Chief Commissioner. 37 38 39 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Yes, well, the public hearing is concluded and any further step which the 40 Commission takes by way of public hearing, of course, would 41 involve not only notification to the parties but 42 43 notification to the media if there was to be such a further

44 45

46 47 AT 10.25AM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED ACCORDINGLY

hearing. So the Commission will adjourn.