Hearing: Operation Mantus

Before the Hon P Johnson SC, Chief Commissioner

Held at Level 3, St James Centre, Elizabeth Street, Sydney

On Monday, 3 April 2023 at 10am (Day 2)

# WITNESS INDEX

Ronald Frankham 47

This is a public hearing of the THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Law Enforcement Conduct Commission Act in Operation Mantus, at which examinations of witnesses will take place during the week.

Section 62 of the Law Enforcement Conduct Commission Act 2016 requires the Commission to announce the general scope and purpose of the examination as part of the I will not repeat it each

I will do that today. time there is an examination of a witness.

11

The general scope and purpose is to investigate allegations that excessive force was used by a member or members of the NSW Police Force at a location in northern New South Wales in September 2022 during the apprehension and arrest of a young person, [YPM1], and other issues arising from his detention in custody following that arrest.

19

Are there any further applications for leave before I invite counsel assisting to address?

22

MR FERNANDEZ: Could I just advise you, Chief Commissioner, that Mr Falzon appears for the Commissioner of Police today.

26

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Yes, thank you, Mr Falzon.

29

Yes, thank you, Mr Fernandez.

32

MR FERNANDEZ: Chief Commissioner, this is an update on the Law Enforcement Conduct Commission's investigation known as Operation Mantus.

35

The investigation relates to an incident which occurred in September 2022 in northern New South Wales. The incident involved a young person, known as [YPM1], who was 14 years old at the time. He sustained injuries during the course of being apprehended by a police officer known as [MTS1]. [YPM1] was treated by ambulance close to the scene and then taken to hospital, where he stayed overnight.

44 45

46

47

The apprehension took place at night. [YPM1] was with Police were conducting proactive other young people. policing activities, and they were in plain clothes.

Officers [MTS9] and [MTS10], the two police

investigating alleged offences committed by [YPM1], who

 interviewed him when he returned from hospital;.

Mr Ron Frankham, head of Legal Aid NSW Children's Legal Service, Ms Alex Burkitt and Mr James Clifford, both from the Aboriginal Legal Service. They gave evidence of recurring issues relating to young people in custody being interviewed by police, even when the young person's wishes were not to be interviewed and those wishes had been communicated.

During the private examinations, each police officer was represented by a barrister or solicitor. [YPM1] was represented by both a barrister and a solicitor.

It is not automatic that legal representatives are given permission to ask questions of witnesses who have given evidence at examinations in this Commission. However, all representatives were able to make applications for permission to ask witnesses about issues they identified. Notably, [YPM1] was asked questions regarding his evidence by a number of representatives.

### **BACKGROUND**

Officers [MTS9] and [MTS10], both senior officers in the relevant police district, described the background as follows: the district covers a very large geographical area and there are a large number of police officers and administrative staff. There are also youth engagement officers or youth officers and Aboriginal community liaison officers working within the police district. There are a number of discrete Aboriginal communities, as well as larger Aboriginal communities within some of the bigger locations in the district.

Northern New South Wales suffered an extraordinary period of flooding in February and March 2022. Approximately 60 per cent of houses were uninhabitable. 8,000 people were without a home immediately after the floods. More than 15,500 people were in evacuation centres across the region. They went into temporary accommodation in motels, caravans and Winnebagos. Police provided caravans and on-site caravan programs across the district.

Police had to bring in demountables for temporary housing. A lot of people in the community who did not own their own homes were moved into what was called pod villages. Support services were stretched to the limit.

In the first week after the floods, some towns were completely cut off by floodwater. Police had to use helicopters to fly in food and medicine.

An Aboriginal youth strategy was under way by police before the floods. Police had convened the first meeting a week before the floods, and were due to have a second meeting on the day of the first flood.

The floods fractured communities as well because a lot of petty theft or looting occurred. This had not been a major problem before the floods. In particular, property crime, break and enters, aggravated break and enters, stolen cars, steal from persons or steal from dwellings were taking place. They increased quite dramatically after the floods. Police stations in smaller communities were closed by the floods, so there was a significantly reduced local police presence.

Police wanted to stop the offending, protect the victims and make the community safe. A high visibility police approach was adopted, with a number of extra police patrolling at various times of the day and night. Police also engaged with the community to show there was a presence.

Police also undertook a proactive policing approach in August and September of 2022. One part of this was a plain clothes police operation put together to investigate the offences and to identify and prosecute offenders. This strike force included a covert, plain clothes operation to identify offenders. Police were expected, if they detected something, to act. This would not necessarily involve arrest. The action required would depend on a variety of circumstances.

Body-worn video and how it was to be used was discussed by police in the lead-up to the night in question. This discussion included opportunities in relation to compliance checks, capturing young people police were doing bail checks on, and making comparisons to CCTV footage that police had previously reviewed or may capture in the future, so there were opportunities for investigation as well as checking on compliance.

Although body-worn video had been discussed as part of

9 10

11

17 18 19

20

16

25 26 27

28 29 30

31 32 33

34

35 36 37

38 39

41 42 43

40

44 45 46

47

the planning for the strike force and, in fact, was carried by one officer on the night of the incident, it was not [YPM1] says after being apprehended, he was thrown Officers [MTS1] and [MTS2] denv on the ground and punched. The dispute in the evidence will not be any punching. assisted by electronic evidence, as none of the police present on the night wore body-worn video.

# THE NIGHT OF THE INCIDENT

Officer [MTS2] was an officer in the NSW Police Force who had been stationed in the police district for many He was in the proactive crime team, which was focused on property theft, break, enter and steal offences, and cases based on forensic evidence such as fingerprints He was the senior officer by rank on the night in and DNA. question.

His understanding was body-worn video would be used whenever he used a police power, was involved in an arrest, patrolled a licensed premises or executed a search warrant. These were the times police would most likely use body-worn video and also when anticipating using a police power, such as during questioning or arrest.

Officer [MTS2] was not aware of any operational, practical or other reasons why body-worn video would not be routinely used in his team.

Each of the officers who attended on the night were in plain clothes. Officer [MTS2] was with Officer [MTS1], and the two other officers, [MTS3] and [MTS4], were together.

Officer [MTS2] took a body-worn camera and put it in his police car. He left it and his torch in the console, though, when he got out and started following a group of young people.

Officer [MTS2] described following the group and thinking they had entered a premises. He and Officer [MTS1] followed them. Eventually, all four police came across the group of young persons. Those in the group, including [YPM1], started running. Officer [MTS1] chased [YPM1]. This was at around 9.45 to 10pm.

1	The apprehension
2	Officer [MTS1] gave this evidence:
4	
5	Q. How long, in distance, did you chase
6	[YPM1] for?
7	A. Oh, it would have - it was no more
8	than probably 30, 30 metres, I'd say.
9	
10	Q. During that 30 metres, did you say
11	anything?
12	A. As he initially ran and I started
13	running, I said, "Stop. It's the police."
14	
15	Q. [The name of the] Road - it's
16	a two-lane road; is that right?
17	A. Unmarked, yeah.
18	
19	Q. It's unmarked?
20	A. Yes.
21	
22	Q. There are stones at each side; is that
23	correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	
26	Q. Beyond the stones, there are houses on
27	each side, most of them with grass; is that
28	right?
29	A. Yes, yes.
30	
31	Q. After running for about 30 metres,
32	what happened?
33	A. So I was - I was sort of - we crossed
34	the road. I followed him. We crossed the
35	road and he - he sort of got to a tree and
36	he slipped over. It felt like to me he
37	went to turn around the tree and he slipped
38	over, and that was the point that I was
39	able to really gain on him and catch up to
40	him at that point.
41	
42	Officer [MTS1] gave this evidence:
43	
44	Q. Just describe what happened there?
45	A. As I said, it appeared to me he -
46	there was a tree out the front of the house
47	there, one of those - the council strip.

1	wasn't - certainly wasn't a shoulder
2	charge; it was both arms around him.
3	
4	Q. Why did you do that?
5	A. To apprehend him, to bring him - to
6	stop him from running away and apprehend
7	him.
8	
9	• • •
10	
1	Q. What happened when you tackled him?
12	A. We fell to the ground. He was under
13	me and I was on top. Just in that position
14	over the top. I managed to get his left
15	arm out I think it was, and I had hold of
16	that behind his back, and I said to him,
17	"Mate, it's the police. Don't be silly,
18	it's the police." And then he was -
19	originally he had his other hand tucked
20	under his body, he wouldn't pull it out.
21	I managed to get it out. I don't know if
22	he just released it or I managed to get it
23	out. I got it out and then cuffed him. He
24	was on his stomach and I cuffed him.
25	
26	[YPM1]
27	
28	[YPM1] was in the town on the night with other young
29	people. He described being chased by a group of men who
30	turned out to be the police. He said that after he and his
31	friends had dispersed following their first contact with
32	this group of men who were in plain clothes, the following
33	happened:
34	
35	Q. Where did you run to?
36	A. Behind a tree.
37	
38	Q. Behind a tree?
39	A. Yeah.
10	
<del>1</del> 1	Q. Was anything being said? Was anyone
12	saying anything as these two fellows were
13	chasing you?
14	A. Yes, he just said, "You're under
15	arrest."
16	
17	Q. How long did - how long were you being

1	chased for before someone said, "You're
2	under arrest?"?
3	A. About 30 seconds.
	71. About to scothas.
4	
5	Q. When someone said, "You're under
	,
6	arrest", where were you at the time?
7	A. Still on [Name of] Street.
	A. Strir on [Name or] Street.
8	
9	Q. Still on [Name of] Street. And you
10	mentioned that you went behind a tree.
11	Were you behind the tree when someone said
12	you were under arrest or were you somewhere
13	else?
14	A. No, when he got me - when he got me
15	down, he said, "You're under arrest."
	ation, no sara, rou ro undor urrocci
16	
17	Q. What happened before this person got
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
18	you down? So you were being chased, and
19	you were running away; is that right?
20	A. Yeah.
21	
22	1 Whose did you are your other the
	Q. Where did you see your other - the
23	other - your friends and the other people?
24	Where did you see them go?
	, and the second
25	A. We all split up.
26	, ,
27	Q. What happened when you got towards
28	this tree? You talked about being behind
29	a tree. Did something happen behind the
30	tree?
31	A. No. He just tackled me, started
32	punching me and that.
	panenng me and chac
33	
34	Q. Before you got tackled, did you ever
35	fall to the ground, or was the first time
26	you
30	γ0u
36	
37	A. Yeah.
37	
37 38	A. Yeah.
37 38 39	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got
37 38	A. Yeah.
37 38 39 40	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?
37 38 39 40 41	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got
37 38 39 40	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?
37 38 39 40 41 42	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?  A. I - what?
37 38 39 40 41 42 43	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?  A. I - what?  Q. So when you got tackled by this
37 38 39 40 41 42	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?  A. I - what?
37 38 39 40 41 42 43	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?  A. I - what?  Q. So when you got tackled by this person, did you fall to the ground?
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?  A. I - what?  Q. So when you got tackled by this
37 38 39 40 41 42 43	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?  A. I - what?  Q. So when you got tackled by this person, did you fall to the ground?
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	A. Yeah.  Q went to the ground when you got tackled?  A. I - what?  Q. So when you got tackled by this person, did you fall to the ground?

1	tackled you?
2	A. Yeah.
3	717 104111
4	Q. Before he tackled you, did you fall on
5	the ground at all?
6	A. Nah.
7	A. Nan.
8	O When this person tookled you how did
9	Q. When this person tackled you, how did that happen? What did he do?
	• •
10 11	A. He just dived and hit me in the leg
	and then tripped.
12	O blane you feeing towards him when he
13	Q. Were you facing towards him when he
14	dived or were you facing
15	A. No.
16	
17	Q in the other direction?
18	A. Facing the other direction.
19	
20	Q. And before you tripped, what was it
21	that you were doing?
22	A. Nothing.
23	
24	Q. Were you running away at that time?
25	A. Nah. I couldn't.
26	
27	Q. Why is that?
28	A. Because he had me on the ground.
29	
30	Q. Before he had you on the ground, after
31	diving at your leg and tripping you over,
32	did you ever fall on the ground before that
33	time or was that the first time you fell on
34	the ground?
35	A. That's the first time.
36	
37	Q. When you say he dived at your leg, did
38	you see what it was that he did?
39	A. No.
40	
41	Q. What happened when you fell on the
42	ground?
43	A. Hit my head.
44	,
45	Q. Where did you hit your head?
46	A. On - huh?
47	, and man.
11	

1	Q. You hit your head. What did you hit
2	your head on?
3	Ä. Gravel.
	71. Oravor.
4	
5	Q. After you hit your head, what was the
6	next thing that happened?
7	A. Started punching me.
	A. Started panenting me.
8	
9	Q. When you say he started punching you,
10	how many times did he punch you?
11	A. Four.
	n. rour.
12	
13	Q. Four times?
14	A. Yes.
15	
	O Which negate of your hady did be numb
16	Q. Which parts of your body did he punch
17	you?
18	A. Just around my body.
19	
	O You just showed with your hand you
20	Q. You just showed with your hand, you
21	just motioned towards your chest - is it
22	the left side of your chest?
23	A. Yeah.
24	711 FOGITI
	0 0:11
25	Q. Did he punch you anywhere else?
26	A. Nah. He picked me up and slammed me
27	to the ground.
28	greamar
	O Was that before he museled way as
29	Q. Was that before he punched you or
30	after he punched you?
31	A. After.
32	
	O Whom he was numbring you did be say
33	Q. When he was punching you, did he say
34	anything?
35	A. No. He just said, "You're under
36	arrest."
37	477 0007
38	Q. Was that before he was punching you,
39	after he was punching you or while he was
40	punching you?
41	A. After.
	ALCOL.
42	
43	Q. So while he was punching you, did he
44	say anything to you?
45	A. No.
46	0 0:1
47	Q. Did you say anything to him?

1	A. No.
2	
3	Q. While he was punching you, did you
4	know who he was?
5	A. No.
6	After he numbed you those four times
7 8	Q. After he punched you those four times, what happened next?
9	A. He walked me up to the street and one
10	of the other officers punched me in the
11	belly once.
12	acting choice.
13	Q. Now, you mentioned that the other
14	person who punched you four times picked
15	you up and slammed you; is that right?
16	A. Yes.
17	
18	Q. How did that happen? What did
19	A. He picked me up from the back - picked
20	me up from the back and then chucked me on
21	the ground.
22	
23	Q. When you say he picked you up from the
24	back, which part of your body did he touch,
25	or your clothes?
26 27	A. Just at the back here (indicating).
28	Q. So you've just touched the back of
29	your jumper. Did you have a hold of
30	something when he picked you up?
31	A. Nah.
32	
33	Q. How did he pick you up? What was it
34	that he did?
35	A. He just grabbed me.
36	
37	Q. How many hands did he grab you with?
38	A. Two.
39	
40	Q. Were you facing towards him at [the]
41	time, just before he picked you up, or were
42 43	you facing in another direction?  A Facing the other direction
44	A. Facing the other direction.
45	Q. How do you know that he picked you up
46	with two hands?
47	A. Because I could feel it.

1	Q. What was he going to do if he was not
2	handcuffed?
3	A. I don't think he would have done
4	a great deal.
5	
6	Q. Were you the person who gave the
7	direction that the handcuffs were to be
8	moved so that his hands were going to be
9	handcuffed in front of him rather than
10	behind him?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	
13	Q. Why did he have to remain handcuffed?
14	A. I think the handcuffs probably could
15	have been removed at that time.
16	
17	Q. The whole time that he was lethargic,
18	he had handcuffs on?
19	A. They were taken off once the other
20	medical ĥelp arrived.
21	,
22	Q. That's the ambulance that arrived
23	later on; is that correct?
24	A. The fire truck, I think.
25	
26	Q. How long between you seeing [YPM1]
27	being lethargic and having the handcuffs
28	moved to the front of his body until the
29	fire truck arrived?
30	A. I can't remember, sorry.
31	, ,
32	AN AMBULANCE WAS CALLED AND [YPM1] WAS TAKEN TO HOSPITAL
33	
34	The Commission has the VKG - that is, the NSW Police
35	Force radio call sign - recordings for the night, which
36	relevantly start at 9.30pm and continue until almost
37	midnight. The relevant calls include the following:
38	3
39	21:30:
40	
41	just got one in custody just after
42	a caged truck please.
43	
44	22:17:
45	
46	caged truck to assist got one in
47	custody
	,

1	
2	22:19:
3	for cage truck that can assist please.
4	One is custody requesting a caged
5	truck to their location, please.
6	, , , ,
7	22:28:
8	
9	" can we get an ambulance to start
10	making its way to the location got
11	a 14-year-old male conscious,
12	•
13	breathing got a bit of a head
_	laceration difficulty breathing."
14	"Yeah can we um get [undescribable]
15	that ambulance if we can in and out of
16	consciousness."
17	VKG: Copy that will do.
18	
19	22:32:
20	
21	"just chasing update on ambo when you can.'
22	"Yeah just for the ambos mate we um, he's
23	had, he's had a tumble, got a head knock,
24	um, bleeding reasonable heavy from the
25	head. He's just in and out of
26	consciousness at the moment."
27	VKG: Copy that.
28	VKG: Our side is just on the phone to the
29	ambos now, just seeing if we can get
30	a quick response.
31	·
32	22:36:
33	
34	Ambos are responding lights and
35	sirens They've also tagged the fire
36	brigades to see if they can assist with
37	medical assistance due to the response
38	time.
39	CTIIIO.
40	23:09:
41	23.03.
42	VKG: Ambas should only be a counter of
	VKG: Ambos should only be a couple of minutes off.
43	minutes oii.
44	22.20.
45	23:29:
46	Did ankee met it to a little of the control of the
47	Did ambos make it to your location?

 That was a question asked by VKG. The response is: They've been, thanks.

[YPM1] was taken to hospital. He was treated for ongoing pain to his temples or the sides of his skull, and had multiple grazes on his face. The discharge summary noted a "head injury with concerning mechanism of injury". The injuries noted were "Small subgaleal haematoma" - that is, bleeding between the skull and the skin on the scalp. The head wound was cleaned. The discharge summary notes that there were no lacerations or cuts seen at the time of discharge. [YPM1] was then taken to a police station.

## RETURN TO POLICE STATION AND INTERVIEWING

When [YPM1] arrived at the police station soon after 6am the custody manager was Officer [MTS8]. A custody manager is a police officer who has specific responsibilities for people in custody, including ensuring the person's rights are protected. Officer [MTS8] had responsibility for [YPM1]. Officer [MTS8]] tried to arrange for a support person to go to the police station to stay with [YPM1] while he was in custody. Officer [MTS8] tried unsuccessfully over a number of hours to arrange this.

During [YPM1]'s time in custody, Officer [MTS8] arranged for [YPM1] to have a telephone call with the Aboriginal Legal Service to advise him of his legal rights and give him legal advice about being interviewed by police. The Aboriginal Legal Service runs a telephone legal advice service which operates 24 hours a day, seven days a week. It enables Aboriginal people in custody to speak to a solicitor.

[YPM1] spoke to the solicitor on call at the time, Mr Jonathan Whitting, who recorded the following:

He gave [YPM1] advice about the right to silence. He told [YPM1] that he did not have to, and should not, go into an interview room.

He told [YPM1] not to discuss the allegation with a support person.

He gave [YPM1] advice not to do a recorded interview. He gave [YPM1] advice not to give a written or

1	notebook statement to police.
2	[VDM1] told Mr Whitting he wished to eversion the
3 4	[YPM1] told Mr Whitting he wished to exercise the
	right to silence, and his solicitor could disclose his
5	instructions to the police.
6 7	Mr Whitting confirmed [VDM1]'s instructions that
8	Mr Whitting confirmed [YPM1]'s instructions that:
9	There would be no electronically recorded interview or
	There would be no electronically recorded interview or statement;
10 11	
	[YPM1] was not to be taken into an interview room;
12	[YPM1]'s instructions were to be recorded in the
13	custody management records;
14	Mr Whitting would send an email to police.
15	My Whitting stated in his private eveningtion that.
16	Mr Whitting stated in his private examination that:
17	O liber you'le called anothedy managene to
18	Q. When you've asked custody managers to
19	ask to have your client's instructions
20	being recorded in the custody management
21	records, what sort of responses have you
22	got?
23	A. Mostly the police are agreeable to
24	doing that. Occasionally, we do get some
25	push-back. Some of the responses I've had
26	are, you know, the custody management
27	record is not for that purpose, it's - you
28	know, "Your advice to your client is
29	between you and him" - that is a comment.
30	We've had - occasionally I've had police
31	say, "Well, we still want to put the
32	allegation to the person out of fairness",
33	or police sometimes have expressed a sense
34	of obligation that they themselves want to
35	hear from the person themselves personally
36	that that's the person's instructions.
37	
38	Officer [MTS8] gave this evidence:
39	
40	Q. What did you say when [Mr Whitting]
41	asked you [to make a record in the custody
42	management records]?
43	A. I didn't say that I would or wouldn't.
44	It was just, "I would like it recorded in
45	the custody management record,".
46	
-	

Q.

Did you ever record that in the

1	custody management record?
2	A. No.
3 4	Q. Why is that?
5	A. That's his private legal advice.
6	I don't believe it's something for the
7	record.
8	
9	Q. But his private legal advice was
10	relevant to whether he wished to be
11	interviewed by police, do you understand
12	that?
13	A. Yes.
14	
15	Q. It's important, isn't it, to make
16	a note in the custody management records
17	that here, [YPM1] did not wish to be
18	interviewed by police. Do you agree with
19	that?
20	A. It's not something that I've ever
21	done, no.
22	
23	Q. You've never done that at any time
24	while you have been a custody manager?
25	You've never made a note of whether the
26	person wishes to be interviewed or not
27	interviewed; is that what you are saying?
28	A. Yes, that's what I'm saying. I don't
29	ever record their legal advice in the
30	custody management record.
31 32	
	A little while later:
34	A TILLIE WILLIE TALEIT.
35	Q. Are you aware that the custody
36	management records that you are responsible
37	for are relevant both to the care of the
38	person there at the time with you, as well
39	as later, as a record of what took place
40	when that person was under your
41	responsibility? Are you aware of that?
42	A. Yes.
43	
44	Q. Doesn't that mean, then, that you need
45	to put as much information as you possibly
46	can so that other people, other police, can
47	have access to that information, and other

1 people if necessary; do you agree with 2 that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Later, a support person, referred to as [STM4], came 5 6 to the police station and stayed with [YPM1] while he was 7 in custody. 8 During the morning, two investigating police officers, 9 [MTS9] and [MTS10], spoke to [MTS8], the custody manager, 10 [YPM1] and [STM4], the support person. They arranged for 11 [YPM1] to be interviewed in an interview room on camera 12 with [STM4] present. The evidence is unclear about what 13 [MTS8] told [MTS9] and [MTS10] about whether [YPM1] wanted 14 to be interviewed, and whether [MTS9] and [MTS10] even 15 asked about this. 16 17 In a statement made to the Commission, the support 18 19 person, [STM4], said he went to the police station to act 20 as a support person for [YPM1] while he was in custody. [STM4] was not told by the custody manager or by 21 investigating police [YPM1] had been given legal advice. 22 23 [STM4] was present when investigating police spoke to [YPM1] about being interviewed. [YPM1] agreed to being 24 25 interviewed. 26 Early in the interview, [YPM1] was asked the following 27 28 questions and gave the following answers: 29 30 Um, so do you agree to be interviewed Q. 31 on this machine by video? 32 No. Α. 33 You don't want to be interviewed? 34 Q. 35 Α. What? 36 37 Q. Do you agree, are you happy to be interviewed on this machine? 38 39 Α. Yeah. 40 The interview then went ahead. There was no further 41 clarification of whether [YPM1] wanted to be interviewed. 42 43 The interview was not paused to enable [YPM1] to get further legal advice. 44 45 During the interview, [YPM1] confirmed what happened 46 47 when he was apprehended by [MTS1]. He said this to the

1	police:
2	
3	A. And one of 'em chased the other guys
4	and one of 'em chased me. Then he bashed
5	me, then yeah.
6	
7	Q. And what happened after that?
8	A. That's all.
9	
10	Q. And when they were chasing you what
11	did you do?
12	A. Stopped.
13	
14	Q. Mmhm.
15	A. And I slipped.
16	
17	Q. You slipped?
18	A. Yeah.
19	
20	Q. Yep. What happened when you slipped?
21	A. He started bashing me.
22	
23	Q. Yep, so you slipped, did ya fall over.
24	Q. Yeah.
25	
26	Q. Yep. Did you hurt yourself when you
27	fell over?
28	A. Nup.
29	·
30	Q. No? And then so they started bashing
31	you?
32	A. Yeah.
33	
34	Q. What happened there?
35	
36	[YPM1] did not explain what happened when he was
37	bashed. Neither [MTS9] or [MTS10] asked him again to
38	explain what happened.
39	
40	Neither officer made any report after the interview
41	about [YPM1] saying he had been bashed by police.
42	
43	MS BURKITT, MR CLIFFORD, MR FRANKHAM
44	
45	Three witnesses gave evidence at the private
46	examinations about systemic issues regarding young people
17	heing interviewed by police even when they refused to take

 part in an interview and police had been advised of this refusal. They were Ms Alex Burkitt and Mr James Clifford, solicitors from the Aboriginal Legal Service, and Mr Ron Frankham from the Children's Legal Service at Legal Aid NSW.

Ms Burkitt was previously the manager of the CNS - Custody Notification Service - within the Aboriginal Legal Service between August 2019 and March 2020. She had taken approximately 2,900 telephone calls from Aboriginal people in custody.

Mr Clifford is managing solicitor for New South Wales and the ACT of the Children's Criminal Law practice at the Aboriginal Legal Service. Mr Frankham is the manager of the Children's Legal Service within Legal Aid NSW.

The Children's Legal Service provides legal advice and representation to children, as well as a legal hotline for young people in custody, called the Legal Aid Youth Hotline.

Mr Frankham gave evidence about a protocol between the Commissioner of Police and Legal Aid NSW regarding children getting legal advice in custody. The protocol includes this section:

If the young person exercises their right to silence, the investigating officer should record this in COPS event as "interview declined". The custody manager should also record in the general comments of the custody management record that the young person declined an interview.

Mr Frankham's experience was this protocol was inconsistently applied. There were many instances when it did happen, but also many when it did not.

Mr Frankham described examples of conversations with custody managers as follows:

It can involve - I should say it can involve a conversation either with the custody manager or the officer in charge of the investigation. There are often, I guess, back and forth conversations where

generally our solicitor will say, "The young person wishes to exercise their right to silence, they don't wish to participate in an interview, they don't wish to have their refusal to participate in an interview recorded either on ERISP or other tape."

And that's where we sometimes fall into disagreement, where you'll get either a custody manager or an officer investigating the alleged offences saying they either want to record the refusal or they want to interview the young person, often we gets comments like, "in fairness to put the allegation to them", and comments like that, and we have an example as recently as last night.

# Ms Burkitt gave this evidence: :

I have personally experienced some issues with this, with making these requests of custody managers or officers in charge of a matter through my work on the CNS. You are often met with resistance where some officers can be uncooperative. When asking for these instructions to be recorded in the [custody management records], comments are sometimes made like, "It's my custody management record. You can't tell me what to add to it", or, "No, I won't be doing that", when we ask for it to be recorded.

We also have within our CNS form information that if those instructions are given, that we should pass on to the custody manager to call the ALS back if the instructions change. And so that mostly, in my experience, never happens, and it is only when you get to the court process that you realise that the instructions have subverted or the interview has taken place.

# Ms Burkitt explained:

It's at that point where there are some

officers, be it the custody manager or an officer in charge, who will essentially disagree with that piece of information and say, "Well, I'm going to be offering them the opportunity to be interviewed out of fairness", or, "It's my own practice that I offer them the opportunity to be interviewed. I'm just going to take them into the interview room or film them for the purpose of refusal, put them on camera or ask them to sign a notebook statement as well."

And that's when you do get into a conversation with the officers or an argument about the fact that you have been provided advice by the young person that they specifically do not wish to do that. This is something - they say - the opportunity for them to hear the allegations is another reason that I've heard, and these comments are so frequent that, in my own practice, or it is general practice at the ALS to teach junior solicitors how to deal with those comments on the CNS during their initiation, during that process.

#### Ms Burkitt also stated:

 In my experience on the CNS, I have had officers suggest that young people may get bail if they do an interview, and this is often said directly to me in a way where the young person in the station can hear it, or where I've heard it being said to the young person through the phone, "Oh, you know, we can't consider bail unless you do the interview. We don't know what happened. We want to hear your side of the story." And it's sort of used as a carrot for these young people where they think that they are not getting out of custody unless they comply with these requests.

The situation was so bad in one location in New South Wales that Mr Clifford wrote to its superintendent. The

superintendent's reply included the following:

There have been circumstances when young people are ultimately interviewed and based on our advice/practice, Police have conducted interviews appropriately. Ultimately the Court determines Fairness of admissions at that time in a relevant forum.

## Mr Frankham said:

I've been told personally things to the effect of, "In fairness to the young person, I just want to put the allegation to them." I've been told things such as, "It's my standard practice to have the recording of a person's refusal to do an interview, whether that be in an ERISP room or on body-worn camera." And in addition to personally, I've also been told by many staff that they have experienced similar situations.

 Mr Frankham gave evidence about a police circular issued by NSW Police in 2005. Part of that circular states that police do not have the power to compel a suspect to participate in an interview.

Each of these three solicitors who gave evidence ran hearings where an ERISP was conducted despite a young person having expressed the desire to exercise their right to silence, and that desire having been conveyed in writing to a custody manager or officer in charge.

### A FURTHER SYSTEMIC ISSUE: THE ROLE OF THE CUSTODY MANAGER

As a result of the evidence given at the private examinations, a further systemic issue has been identified, which is the following relating to NSW Police custody managers:

Their training;

 Their role, which is to protect people in custody and particularly vulnerable people;.

 Their understanding of their role;. Recording their interactions with people in custody,

 particularly vulnerable people;.

Recording and conveying of the instructions of people in custody to investigating police.

Another issue which has come about in this investigation is the degree of force used in [YPM1]'s arrest.

## THE EVIDENCE IN THE COMING WEEK

The evidence in the coming week will focus primarily on systemic issues which have been identified. Legal Aid NSW and the Aboriginal Legal Service have made submissions in writing about the issue of young people in custody being interviewed even after legal advice has been given and they do not agree to being interviewed.

Both organisations have highlighted that the majority of police and custody managers carry out their investigative role and custody management functions properly. However, the issue of young people in custody being interviewed even after legal advice has been given and they do not agree to being interviewed is one that frequently comes up.

This frequency and consequent systemic nature of the problem is evident in a number of cases which have been before the Children's Court, District Court and Supreme Court, where admissions obtained by police from young people have been excluded. These cases continue to come before the courts.

Concern about this issue has also been raised with the Law Enforcement Conduct Commission by the New South Wales Director of Public Prosecutions, Sally Dowling SC, in February 2023.

At least two assistant commissioners of police are expected to give evidence this week. They are Assistant Commissioner Cotter, giving evidence particularly about custody management; and Assistant Commissioner Crandell, relating to the use of body-worn video.

They will be asked questions on a number of topics including:

Custody management;

1	Standard operating procedures relating to custody
2	management;
3	The role of the custody manager;
4	How the role is regulated;
5	What happens when a person arrives at the station in
6	police custody;
7	Communications between the custody manager and
8	investigating police;
9	What communications and recording are undertaken if
10	investigating police want to interview a person in custody;
11	Direct contact between investigating police and the
12	vulnerable person before, during and after legal advice has
13	been given;
14	Understanding of the obligations to contact a
15	solicitor if a person in custody changes their decision
16	regarding being interviewed;
17	Support persons;
18	Keeping of custody management records;
19	How records are created and kept;
20 21	What is to be recorded in custody management records; Allegations against police regarding use of force and
22	other specific entries which should be made in custody
23	management records;
24	What is to be recorded about legal advice received and
25	sought by people in custody;
26	Recording of discussions with the person's solicitor;
27	Interviewing vulnerable persons in custody;
28	Refusals to be interviewed, why these are recorded and
29	why they are necessary; and
30	The use of body-worn video.
31	The dee of body north video.
32	There will also be an examination of reasonable force
33	used in arrest.
34	
35	The following will also give evidence at the public
36	examinations: Ms Keisha Hopgood, the acting principal
37	legal officer of the Aboriginal Legal Service, and Mr Ron
38	Frankham, the head of Legal Aid NSW Children's Legal
39	Service.
40	
41	They will give evidence of their experience and the
42	experience of their legal services with police,
43	particularly regarding speaking to police when young people
44	are in custody and whether children should be interviewed
45	or not.

47

Finally, Chief Commissioner, at the outset of the

hearings on 14 December 2022, the Commission heard submissions orally, and later received submissions in writing, about whether evidence should be taken in public or private. A public judgment was issued by the Commission. There was a private judgment, which was also issued, which was then limited to the parties. That private judgment, with some redactions and some explanation of events subsequent to its handing down, will be made public and will shortly appear on the Law Enforcement Conduct Commission's website.

Chief Commissioner, I will shortly turn to tendering exhibits at the public examination and then calling Mr Frankham. Could I have a short adjournment before doing so?

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes.

Just before we do adjourn, as counsel assisting has recently observed, the Commission issued a public decision on 3 March 2023 concerning the use of public and private examinations in aid of the investigation in Operation Mantus. That public decision has been on the Commission website since that day.

 In addition, as counsel assisting has observed, and as the parties granted leave are aware, the Commission issued a confidential decision. That confidential decision provided a further explanation of the reasons why a combination of private and public examinations were to be used.

As stated in that confidential decision, the Commission considered whether the confidential decision could be released with certain redactions. A decision has been made that that will take place. I have given a direction that the confidential decision in its amended form will be placed on the Commission website today and will be available to the public.

There are limited continuing redactions from the confidential decision. The things redacted are, in essence, the precise location in northern New South Wales where events occurred and one other paragraph relating to other proceedings.

There are also contained in the confidential decision,

in its amended form, footnotes explaining certain events 1 2 which have occurred since the confidential decision was first released on a limited basis to those who had been 3 granted leave to appear. So that confidential decision 4 5 will now be made public on the Commission website. 6 7 How long would you ask for at this stage? 8 9 MR FERNANDEZ: We have Mr Frankham coming at 11.30. We just have to juggle some other things. So 11.35, if that 10 is possible, Commissioner. 11 12 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. The Commission will 13 adjourn for the moment until 11.35am. 14 15 SHORT ADJOURNMENT 16 17 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Fernandez? 18 19 20 MR FERNANDEZ: Two matters before I call Mr Frankham, 21 Chief Commissioner. 22 23 Can I correct my opening from this morning, when I turned to the background early in my address, I referred 24 25 to two officers who were both senior officers in the relevant police district. I referred to them mistakenly as 26 27 [MTS9] and [MTS10]. They were in fact [MTS6] and [MTS7]. 28 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 29 Yes. 30 31 MR FERNANDEZ: Mr Frankham I will shortly call to give 32 I understand Ms Lewer is here and will be evidence. 33 seeking leave. 34 35 MS LEWER: Yes, Chief Commissioner, Lewer, L-E-W-E-R, 36 I seek leave to appear for Legal Aid NSW. 37 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, leave is granted, 38 thank you, Ms Lewer. 39

40 41

42 43

44

Chief Commissioner, could I just correct one MR WHITE: thing that was also said by my learned friend. indicated that [MTS4], who I represent, also gave evidence in the private hearing, but he did not, and he was in fact summonsed but was not required by the Commission.

45 46 47

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Is that --

MR FERNANDEZ: [MTS4] was the support person. When I referred to - sorry, [STM4] was the support person. When I referred to his evidence, what I was referring to was the recorded interview that he has given, which is an exhibit in the private examination. But he wasn't called to --

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Officer [MTS4] - did Officer [MTS4] get called at a private examination in February or March?

MR FERNANDEZ: I might just confirm the pseudonyms. I will confirm that.

 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: There is an element of complication in the pseudonyms. But [MTS] is obviously based on a short form of "Mantus". [STM] I think is to distinguish civilians versus police officers but there is a risk of slips.

MR FERNANDEZ: Yes. I do now confirm that Officer [MTS4], Mr White's client, did not give evidence at the private examinations.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr White, for clearing that up.

All right, yes, Mr Fernandez?

MR FERNANDEZ: Chief Commissioner, I tender the following exhibits in the public examination. I think we're up to MTS62, as the first exhibit.

I tender a redacted email from Jonathan Whitting to [MTS1] and [MTS8], the arresting officer and the custody manager, on 12 September 2022. The barcode for that document is 8620258.

EXHIBIT #MTS62 REDACTED EMAIL FROM JONATHAN WHITTING TO [MTS1] AND [MTS8] ON 12 SEPTEMBER 2022 BARCODED 8620258

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a redacted call form record from the Aboriginal Legal Service. It's a custody notification system document, concerning [YPM1], and it's dated 12 September 2022. The barcodes are 8543557 through to 559.

 EXHIBIT #MTS63 REDACTED CALL FORM RECORD, CUSTODY NOTIFICATION SYSTEM, BY THE ABORIGINAL LEGAL SERVICE CONCERNING [YPM1] DATED 12 SEPTEMBER 2022, BARCODED 8543557-8543559

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a redacted document titled "Role of a support person", signed by [STM4] - that's the support person - on 12 September 2022. The barcode for that document is 8543560.

EXHIBIT #MTS64 REDACTED DOCUMENT TITLED "ROLE OF A SUPPORT PERSON" SIGNED BY [STM4] ON 12 SEPTEMBER 2022, BARCODED 8543560

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the submission made by Legal Aid NSW to the Commission dated March 2023. The barcodes are 8543561 through to 8543584.

EXHIBIT #MTS65 SUBMISSION OF LEGAL AID NSW TO THE COMMISSION ENTITLED "SYSTEMIC ISSUES AND PRACTICES IN POLICE INTERVIEWS WITH CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE", BARCODED 8543561-8543584

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the submission of the Aboriginal Legal Service to the Commission, also dated March of 2023. The barcodes are 8543585 through to 8543605.

EXHIBIT #MTS66 SUBMISSION OF THE ABORIGINAL LEGAL SERVICE TO THE COMMISSION ENTITLED "SYSTEMIC ISSUES RELATING TO POLICE PRACTICES OF INTERVIEWING CHILDREN FOLLOWING REFUSAL OF INTERVIEW", DATED 30 MARCH 2023, BARCODED 8543585-8543605

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a redacted email between Legal Aid NSW and the NSW Police Force regarding use of body-worn video in questioning young people. The barcodes are 8543606 through to 8543608.

EXHIBIT #MTS67 REDACTED EMAIL BETWEEN LEGAL AID NSW AND THE NSW POLICE FORCE REGARDING USE OF BODY-WORN VIDEO IN QUESTIONING YOUNG PEOPLE, BARCODED 8543606-8543608

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender redacted emails between the Aboriginal Legal Service and NSW Police dated 19 and 22 August 2022. These emails relate to interviewing young people after they have accepted the right to silence

1 2	advice. The barcodes for these emails are 8543609 to 8543610.
3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT #MTS68 REDACTED EMAILS BETWEEN THE ABORIGINAL LEGAL SERVICE AND NSW POLICE DATED 19 AND 22 AUGUST 2022 RELATING TO THE INTERVIEWING OF YOUNG PEOPLE AFTER RECEIPT OF LEGAL ADVICE, BARCODED 8543609-8543610
9 10 11 12 13	MR FERNANDEZ: I will now tender a number of cases which are on point in relation to this investigation about interviewing of children following legal advice. I tender a judgment of Judge Nicholson in the District Court in 2006 of $R\ v\ APCR$ and $R\ v\ CP$ .
15 16 17	EXHIBIT #MTS69 DECISION OF JUDGE NICHOLSON SC IN R V APCR AND R V CP [2006] NSWDC 12, BARCODED 8543611-8543629
18 19 20 21	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the case of $R\ v\ FE$ , judgment of Justice Adamson in the Supreme Court in 2013, barcoded 8543630-8543658.
22 23 24	EXHIBIT #MTS70 DECISION OF JUSTICE ADAMSON IN R V FE [2013] NSWSC 1692, BARCODED 8543630-8543658
25 26 27	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a judgment of Justice Hamill in the Supreme Court in the $R\ v\ Taleb\ [2019]\ NSWSC\ 241$ . The barcode for the judgment is 8543659 through to 8543716.
28 29 30 31	EXHIBIT #MTS71 DECISION OF JUSTICE HAMILL IN R V TALEB [2019] NSWSC 241, BARCODED 8543659-8543716
32 33 34	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a judgment of Judge Grant in the District Court of $R\ v\ DN\ [2019]\ NSWDC\ 492$ . The barcodes are 8547005 to 8547015.
35 36 37 38	EXHIBIT #MTS72 DECISION OF JUDGE GRANT IN R V DN [2019] NSWDC 492, BARCODED 8547005-8547015
39 40 41	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a judgment of Judge Yehia, as her Honour then was, in $R \ v \ DB$ and $R \ v \ AP$ [2020] NSWDC 472. The barcodes are 8547016 to 8547048.
42 43 44 45	EXHIBIT #MTS73 DECISION OF JUDGE YEHIA SC, AS HER HONOUR THEN WAS, IN R V DB, R V AP [2020] NSWDC 472, BARCODED 8547016-8547048
46 47	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a judgment of Justice Hamill in

1 2	the Supreme Court in $R$ $v$ $Archer$ $(No. 1)$ $[2021]$ $NSWSC 569$ . The barcode is $8547049$ to $8547076$ .
3 4 5 6	EXHIBIT #MTS74 DECISION OF JUSTICE HAMILL IN R V ARCHER (NO.1) [2021] NSWSC 569, BARCODED 8547049-8547076
7 8 9 10 11	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the judgment which will be referred to in this Commission as the <i>Police v ABC</i> , a judgment of Magistrate Hamilton in the Children's Court on 6 July 2021. The barcode for this judgment is 8547077 to 8547114.
13 14 15 16	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I will just pause for a moment. The document I think is the transcript of that day, which includes the transcript of evidence and the judgment of Magistrate Hamilton.
18	MR FERNANDEZ: That's so, Chief Commissioner.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: And having regard to the fact that that is a Children's Court decision and which has not been published on case law, steps have been taken to edit it in various respects having regard to the jurisdiction involved.
26	MR FERNANDEZ: Yes, that's so, yes.
27 28 29 30 31 32	EXHIBIT #MTS75 TRANSCRIPT AND JUDGMENT OF A HEARING IN THE CHILDREN'S COURT DESCRIBED AS <i>POLICE V ABC</i> , INCLUDING THE JUDGMENT OF MAGISTRATE HAMILTON, ON 6 JULY 2021, BARCODED 8547077-8547114
33 34 35 36 37	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the judgment of Judge Herbert in the District Court in the matter of $R$ $v$ $Lindsay$ . The date of the judgment is 1 April 2022. The barcodes are 8547115 to 8547155.
38 39 40 41	EXHIBIT #MTS76 JUDGMENT OF HER HONOUR JUDGE HERBERT IN R V SHANE LINDSAY, PARRAMATTA DISTRICT COURT, ON 1 APRIL 2022, BARCODED 8547115-8547155
42 43 44 45 46 47	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the transcript of proceedings in the Children's Court. They will be referred to as the <i>Police v DEF</i> . Having regard to the jurisdiction, a number of details have been redacted and anonymised. This is a transcript of proceedings before Children's Court Magistrate Wilson on 18 May 2022. The barcodes are 8544463

to 8544470.

EXHIBIT #MTS77 TRANSCRIPT AND DECISION OF MAGISTRATE WILSON IN THE CHILDREN'S COURT IN *POLICE V DEF* ON 18 MAY 2022, BARCODED 8544463-8544470

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the judgment of Judge Buscombe in the District Court in the case of R v Nean [2023] NSWDC 34. The barcodes are 8544471 to 8544524.

EXHIBIT #MTS78 DECISION OF HIS HONOUR JUDGE BUSCOMBE DATED 30 JANUARY 2023 IN *R V NEAN [2023] NSWDC 34*, BARCODED 8544471-8544524

 MR FERNANDEZ: I just pause to advise you, Chief Commissioner, that there is a judgment that has been provided by Judge Johnstone, who at that time was the president of the Children's Court.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Judge Johnstone, just for clarity.

MR FERNANDEZ: Yes, I will tender that separately and at a later point in time.

 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes. There was also an unrevised judgment of Justice Fullerton, which was provided to the Commission, and I should just indicate this: Justice Fullerton presided on a judge-alone trial last year with respect to a number of persons including a Mr Weatherall and others. There was an objection to certain interviews. Her Honour excluded a number of those interviews.

There was what is an unrevised judgment of Justice Fullerton, which was provided to the Commission. That judgment was unrevised because her Honour proceeded to hear and determine the trial of each of the accused as a judge-alone trial and each of the accused was acquitted. There was no application for leave to appeal from her Honour's interlocutory judgment on the objections to interviews, nor was there, of course, any appeal against the ultimate acquittals. Her Honour has now retired from the Supreme Court.

The Chief Judge at Common Law was good enough to provide to the Commission the unrevised judgment of Justice Fullerton. There is no criticism expressed of the

 fact that that judgment is unrevised. It is entirely understandable because there was no purpose in it being revised, and there is no criticism of the court, of course, in circumstances where that decision was provided to the Commission in that informal state.

The Commission is grateful to the court for that assistance.

As I understand it, that judgment does not involve young persons but, in fact, persons who were adults. For the moment, it is not being tendered. If it is tendered at some point, it will be subject to all the qualifications which I have just expressed, but it did involve, on my understanding of it, at least with respect to one or perhaps more of the accused, a scenario where the Aboriginal Legal Service indicated the accused did not wish to be interviewed by the police, but the police proceeded to interview nevertheless.

MR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: So I mention that at this point. It's in a different category to the other judgments which involve young persons, and it's appropriate that the Commission note that it has been provided by the court and to express its gratitude for receiving the judgment in the circumstances I have outlined.

Yes, Mr Fernandez.

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender an extract from the NSW Police Force handbook concerning questioning of suspects. The barcode is 8544525.

EXHIBIT #MTS79 EXTRACT FROM THE NSW POLICE FORCE HANDBOOK CONCERNING QUESTIONING SUSPECTS, BARCODED 8544525

MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the standard operating procedures of NSW Police Force concerning charging and custody management in force on 12 September 2022. The barcodes are 8544526 to 8544565.

EXHIBIT #MTS80 NSW POLICE FORCE STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES CONCERNING CHARGE ROOM AND CUSTODY MANAGEMENT AS IN FORCE ON 12 SEPTEMBER 2022, BARCODED 8544526-8544565

1 2	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the NSW Police Force standard operating procedures concerning body-worn video in force as
3 4	of November 2022. The barcodes are 8620182 to 8620198.
5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT #MTS81 NSW POLICE FORCE STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES CONCERNING BODY-WORN VIDEO AS IN FORCE AS AT NOVEMBER 2022, BARCODED 8620182-8620198
9 10	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the NSW Police Force handbook on the use of force. The extract is 8620199.
11 12 13 14	EXHIBIT #MTS82 EXTRACT FROM THE NSW POLICE FORCE HANDBOOK ENTITLED "USE OF FORCE", BARCODED 8620199
15 16 17 18	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a document called "The use of force principles" by the Australian and New Zealand Policing Advisory Agency (ANZPAA)". The barcode for this document is 8620200.
19 20 21 22 23	EXHIBIT #MTS83 THE AUSTRALIAN AND NEW ZEALAND USE OF FORCE PRINCIPLES ISSUED BY THE AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND POLICING ADVISORY AGENCY, BARCODED 8620200
24 25 26	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the New Zealand Police use of force overview dated 5 August 2022. The barcodes for this document are 8620201 to 8620249.
27 28 29 30	EXHIBIT #MTS84 USE OF FORCE OVERVIEW DATED 5 AUGUST 2022 ISSUED BY THE NEW ZEALAND POLICE, BARCODED 8620201-8620249
31 32 33 34	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a one-page redacted police statement of facts relating to admissions by a young person. The barcode is 8620250.
35 36 37	EXHIBIT #MTS85 REDACTED PAGE OF A STATEMENT OF FACTS CONCERNING ADMISSIONS WITH RESPECT TO A YOUNG PERSON, BARCODED 8620250
38 39 40 41 42	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender a redacted page taken from a police statement of facts relating to a young person, which refers to recordings made of admissions on body-worn video. The barcode is 8620251.
43 44 45 46	EXHIBIT #MTS86 REDACTED PAGE FROM A STATEMENT OF POLICE FACTS CONCERNING THE USE OF BODY-WORN VIDEO, BARCODED 8620251

1	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender an article from the Daily
2	Telegraph of 30 March this year titled "Police review rules
3	for body-worn cameras for specialist officers". This
4	relates to an incident which took place in Queensland. The
5	barcodes of this document are 8620252 to 8620256.
6	
7	EXHIBIT #MTS87 ELECTRONIC ARTICLE IN THE DAILY TELEGRAPH OF
8	30 MARCH 2023 ENTITLED "POLICE REVIEW RULES FOR BODY-WORN
9	CAMERAS FOR SPECIALIST OFFICERS", BARCODED 8620252-8620256
10	
11	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the Legal Aid Youth Hotline
12	precedent letter sent to police. This is a document in the
13	form of a template. The barcode is 8620257.
14	
15	EXHIBIT #MTS88 LEGAL AID NSW PRECEDENT LETTER AS SENT TO
16	POLICE, BARCODED 8620257
17	
18	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender the Legal Aid Youth Hotline
19	telephone advice report - this is a form of an electronic
20	template. The barcodes are 8620259 to 8620260.
21	
22	EXHIBIT #MTS89 LEGAL AID NSW ELECTRONIC TEMPLATE WITH
23	RESPECT TO TELEPHONE ADVICE, BARCODED 8620259-8620260
24	
25	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender NSW Police Force circular No. 2 of
26	2005. This relates to interviewing of suspects. The
27	barcode is 8620261.
28	
29	EXHIBIT #MTS90 NSW POLICE FORCE CIRCULAR NO. 2 ISSUED IN
30	2005 WITH RESPECT TO INTERVIEWING SUSPECTS, BARCODED
31	8620261
32	
33	MR_FERNANDEZ: I tender redacted pages from a statement of
34	police facts relating to a young person. The barcodes for
35	this document are 8620362 to 8620363.
36	
37	EXHIBIT #MTS91 REDACTED PAGES FROM A STATEMENT OF POLICE
38	FACTS CONCERNING A YOUNG PERSON, BARCODED 8620362-8620363
39	
40	MR FERNANDEZ: I tender redacted pages from a statement of
41	police facts relating to a young person. The barcodes for
42	this document are 8620364 to 8620365.
43	EVILIBIT WHICH DEDICTED BLOCK EDGY & CITATIVE OF DOLLAR
44	EXHIBIT #MTS92 REDACTED PAGES FROM A STATEMENT OF POLICE
45	FACTS CONCERNING A YOUNG PERSON, BARCODED 8620364-8620365
46	MD FEDUANDEZ OLI CO III I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
47	MR FERNANDEZ: Chief Commissioner, I now call Mr Ronald

1	Frankham from Legal Aid NSW.
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Just before that occurs, the article in the Daily Telegraph, MTS87, I think you noted in passing that it is an incident in Queensland last week.
7	MR FERNANDEZ: Yes, that's right.
8 9 10	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: It's not a New South Wales incident.
1  2  3	MR FERNANDEZ: It's not.
4   5   6   7	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: But it is one where plain clothes police forming a specialised unit were not wearing body-worn video at a time that a person was shot dead.
18	MR FERNANDEZ: That's correct, Chief Commissioner.
19 20 21	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: And there is controversy in Queensland about that.
22 23	MR FERNANDEZ: That's correct, yes.
24 25	<pre><ronald [12.14pm]<="" affirmed:="" frankham,="" pre=""></ronald></pre>
26 27	<examination by="" fernandez:<="" mr="" td=""></examination>
27 28 29 30	<pre><examination a.="" by="" can="" fernandez:="" frankham.<="" mr="" name?="" please="" pre="" q.="" ronald="" state="" you="" your=""></examination></pre>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	MR FERNANDEZ: Q. Can you please state your name?
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	MR FERNANDEZ: Q. Can you please state your name? A. Ronald Frankham.  Q. Are you the manager of Legal Aid NSW Children's Legal Service?
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	MR FERNANDEZ: Q. Can you please state your name? A. Ronald Frankham.  Q. Are you the manager of Legal Aid NSW Children's Legal Service? A. That's correct.  Q. Mr Frankham, did you give evidence at a private examination before this Commission on 17 March this year?

- Q. Is that a submission that you were heavily involved in the preparation of?
  - A. I had input.

- Q. It contains a number of case studies and experiences of Legal Aid NSW as an organisation with police relating to a number of different matters which are being investigated by this investigation; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. How long have you been admitted as a solicitor?
- A. Since 2007.

- Q. How long have you worked at the Children's Legal Service?
  - A. I've been at Legal Aid since 2011. In that time, I've had two stints in the Children's Legal Service, so roughly around seven to eight years all up of my time at Legal Aid has been at the Children's Legal Service.

- Q. When were you appointed the manager of the Children's Legal Service?
- A. At the end of 2018.

Q. During those periods of time when you've been at the Children's Legal Service, have you been involved in something called the Legal Aid Youth Hotline?

A. Yes.

- Q. Is that a free service provided by Legal Aid NSW which is staffed by solicitors of Legal Aid NSW?
- 33 A. Yes.

- Q. Those solicitors all have expertise in children's criminal law; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. The Youth Hotline provides legal advice and information to young people under 18 and it operates from 9am to midnight on weekdays and 24 hours between Friday at 9am to Sunday, midnight, as well as on public holidays; is that correct?
- 44 A. That's right.

Q. The Legal Aid Youth Hotline is frequently contacted by police on behalf of children who are in custody at police

1 2 3	stations; is that correct? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7	Q. The Aboriginal Legal Service also conducts a service called the CNS - Custody Notification Service - is that correct? A. Yes.
8 9  0  1  2	Q. And if any inquiries are received by Legal Aid NSW relating to Aboriginal young people, are they directed towards the Custody Notification Service?  A. Yes, that's standard practice.
4   5   6   7	Q. During your time at Legal Aid NSW in the Children's Legal Service, have you had shifts where you've worked on the Youth Hotline?  A. I have.
19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Would it be correct to say that you've advised many, many young people on their legal rights in custody?  A. Yes, I - it'd be thousands of calls, since 2011, I've averaged two to three shifts per month every year, average 10 or more calls - it's hard to say, but it would be in the thousands.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Q. When you gave evidence at the private examinations and now and in terms of the submission of the Legal Aid Commission to this Commission, have you drawn on your own experience as well as the experience of other solicitors working within the Children's Legal Service?  A. Yes, and other - I should say, other solicitors working within Legal Aid generally. Not all of the solicitors who man the Youth Hotline are based in the Children's Legal Service.
35 36 37 38 39	Q. I understand. Within the submission prepared by Legal Aid NSW are a number of case studies; is that correct? A. That's correct.
10 11 12 13	<ul><li>Q. Relating to actual young people who have been anonymised?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
14 15 16	<ul><li>Q. Which draw out many of the issues that this Commission is investigating; is that right?</li><li>A. That's right.</li></ul>

Α.

They do.

Q. And advice is given to those support persons about issues relating to them as support people as well as legal rights for the young person; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. Perhaps to draw out the advice that you give and the information that you take from young people, what I will have put up is the advice form which is filled out, it's the form of a template on a computer. It's an exhibit in these proceedings, which is MTS89. The barcode is 8620259 to 8620260. I wonder if that might be put up on the screen.

A. Yes.

 Q. I'll just ask you to look at the top of the page first. Is this what a print-out of the computer template would look like?

A. Yes, it's the first page.

Q. So solicitors working within the Youth Hotline, when they're answering calls, either at work or at home, have access to a computer and when a young person - someone calls on behalf of a young person at a police station, this

is the form that's filled out -- A. Yes.

Q. -- is that correct? What is contained at the top are a number of details relating to the advice - relating to the young person; is that correct?

A. Yes.

 Q. And then if you go to the bottom third of the page, there are a number of details relating to the young person, such as what outcomes police are considering, what the situation is with bail and the details of the support person; is that right?

Q. Some of the details that you get from the young person include whether they live in out of home care; is that right?

Α.

Yes.

1	A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And whether the young person is, in fact, under arrest and, if so, what that's for; is that correct? A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Just turning to the next page, about a third of the way - or in the top part of the page there's a box that refers to speaking to the client. Is that a place where solicitors can type in notes or write in notes about the young person and what their details are and any additional information that they are spoken to about?  A. Yes, that's right.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. About a third of the way or in the middle of the page, there are a number of boxes which contain information about advice on the Young Offenders Act, advice regarding the right to silence and other advice; is that right?  A. That's correct.
21 22 23 24 25	Q. Does that form a guide as to the important matters that should be raised with the young person when you or anyone else is speaking to that child?  A. Yes, that's right.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Q. Included in the right to silence advice is the following: an explanation of the right to silence; not doing a recorded interview; not going into an interview room; and no recording of a refusal on tape - is that correct?  A. Yes.
33 34 35 36 37	Q. In terms of instructions from the young person, you give advice to young people, and it's up to the young people whether they accept your advice or not; is that correct to say?  A. That's right.
38 39 40 41 42	Q. What you are able to do, though, is to note the instructions from the young person, such as wanting to admit the allegations for the purpose of the Young Offenders Act outcome or offering a Young Offenders Act

47

43

Q. What you note is also whether the young person wants to exercise their right to silence, whether the young

Α.

That's right.

outcome but denying an allegation; is that correct?

- person consents to you speaking to their support person, 1 and whether the young person consents to you relaying their 2 3 instructions on to police? 4 Α. That's right. 5 6 In the very bottom part of the page is a heading referring to minor assistance, but of more importance is 7 a box that relates to discussion with police; is that 8 9 right? That's right. 10 Α. 11 12 Once again, that's a place where what you have is a guide to what you might raise with police as is relevant 13 in that particular case; is that correct? 14 15 Α. That's right. 16 One outcome is for a recorded interview for the 17 purpose of a caution or a Youth Justice conference? 18 19 Α. That's correct. 20 21 Other outcomes, though, are exercising the right to Q. silence and refusing an interview; is that correct? 22 23 Α. That's correct. 24 25 Another outcome is to ask the custody manager to note instructions in the custody management record? 26 27 Α. Yes. 28 29 Q. And a confirmation letter sent to police; is that correct? 30 31 Α. Yes, that's correct. 32 33 In order to do those things, do you get the consent 34 of the young person first to do those things - in fact, 35 it's referred to in one of the boxes that you get that 36 consent --That's right. 37 Α. 38 39 -- is that correct? Those documents, that template 40 that you have, assists you and any other solicitor who works at the Youth Hotline with the recurrent advice and 41
- 44 A. Yes. 45

46 47 Q. What you also do is you speak to the custody manager at the police station as well as the officers in charge, if

procedures that pop up relating to young people in custody;

is that right?

Q. Just in relation to the issue of children being placed before a camera to be recorded as to a refusal to give a recorded interview, are you aware of a police circular from March of 2005 in relation to that very issue?

A. Yes.

MR FERNANDEZ: I would ask for that police circular to be placed up on the screen. It is an exhibit and it's MTS90. The barcode is 8620261.

- Q. This is a police circular which has the title "Recording refusals by suspects to participate in an ERISP interview" or electronic recording by police is that right?
- A. That's right.

Q. And just looking at it, this sets out in a document which was then in force called the "Code of practice for CRIME", which was a document set out for police that, as you can see on the right-hand side of that document - perhaps we can focus in on the right-hand side column to the very first paragraph, please - this circular refers to the following in terms of admissibility of interview evidence, and it's this:

 However, you do not have the power to compel or intimate to the suspect that they must participate in an electronic recorded interview for the purpose of recording their refusal. Record the refusal in your notebook and if appropriate, on the facts sheet.

Can you see that?
A. I can.

- Q. That protocol is something that is, in fact, referred to in the precedent letter that is sent to police after legal advice is given; is that correct?
- A. It is, when I should say when the letter or email is actually sent.

- Q. When it is sent?
- 47 A. Yes.

.03/04/2023 (2)

Q. I'm going to ask for that email to be placed up on the screen. It is an exhibit. It is MTS88. The barcode is 8620257. I took you previously to the template advice. That allows you also, on your computer system, to generate a letter to be sent to the custody manager at a police station; is that correct?

A. That's right.

- Q. This letter that's now up on the screen is one used to confirm the instructions which have been relayed between yourself and the custody manager; is that correct?
- A. Yes, also sometimes the OIC or sometimes both.
- Q. If it's to both, would you send it to both would you send this email to both?
- A. Yes, I mean, it's more email is used more frequently than letters or faxes these days, so I think when it was first made we were sending more faxes but these days it's more likely to be an email than a fax or a letter.
- Q. What the email refers to is it's a confirmation of a telephone discussion. It confirms that the young person does not wish to be interviewed and will not provide any form of written statement. The young person does not wish to record his or her refusal to be interviewed electronically on ERISP or hand-held voice/video recorder or otherwise; is that correct?
- A. That's right.
- Q. You can see that after indicating that the young person wishes to exercise his or her right to silence, you make a note from the circular that I just read to you, what is set out in terms of whether police have the power to compel or intimate to a suspect that they have to record a refusal on video; is that correct?
- A. That's right.
- Q. There is also a protocol that exists between Legal Aid NSW and the Commissioner of Police that was in force since September of 2004; is that right?
- A. That's right.
- Q. That protocol, which is referred to in the submission, makes reference to the operation of the hot line and the recording by police of interviews or the refusal to take part in an interview on electronic tape; is that correct?

1 A. There is reference, yes, to that.

- Q. There is this circular, there is a protocol. What is your experience, in terms of police, and specifically, whether after children have been given advice to exercise their right to silence, which is communicated to the custody manager and/or the officer in charge, whether interviews still go ahead?
- A. It's both my experience and the experience of the staff that man the Youth Hotline that interviews often still happen regardless of that advice or, I should say, regardless of those instructions being relayed to the police.
- Q. Is the way that you find out about that some time afterwards when children actually come to court with their police facts and they're talking to solicitors getting advice about any charges they may have?
- A. Yes, that's often the first time we find out.
- Q. If I can ask you to turn to the Legal Aid submission to page 9, please, what you refer to at part 4.2 there, under the issue of recording the refusal on tape, is that your experience is, even though these documents exist, it's the case that police still go ahead and put children in front of a recorded interview; is that right?

  A. Yes, that does happen.
- Q. And whilst they might say to you that the recording is a recording of a refusal on tape, is your experience and the experience of others who work with you that the interviews go beyond recording of a refusal but the actual questions and answers that is, a full interview with those children?
- A. Yes, that happens as well.
- Q. When you speak to police, are you given a number of different reasons this is with the custody manager as well as the officers in charge as to why police will put young people in front of a camera?

  A. Yes.
- Q. What sort of reasons are you given by police as to why that is done, notwithstanding this protocol and this circular which in are in force?
- A. I guess common terms used are words to the effect of "In fairness to the young person, we'd like to put the

Q. Are you yourself also aware of police saying - and this is recorded in the Legal Aid submission at page 11 - that if the young person doesn't give the young person's side of the story, that police will have to charge the young person and they may not get bail?

A. Yes.

 Q. Have you yourself ever had that particular experience, where you've heard that said or it has been said to you?

A. Not in those terms personally. My experience has been earlier having a conversation with police, prior to speaking to the young person about bail, often comments are along the lines of, when we're asking if they're considering bail or likely to give bail, comments along the lines of, "Well, it depends what they say."

Q. What is set out in the Legal Aid submission is a number of recurring issues that take place with custody managers and officers in charge. I've already asked you about some of them, such as the recording of the refusal on tape, as well as these other reasons police give to explain why they put a young person on tape. I did take you to the template, and there is a box about requesting the custody manager to make a note in the custody management record; is that correct?

Α.

Yes.

Q. Of the advice that you've actually given to the young person and which you've relayed to the custody manager. What's your experience of whether that is in fact done?

A. It's difficult to say, because we obviously don't have access to the actual custody management records. For the majority of times the custody manager will say "Yes, that's fine." Occasionally, you will get custody managers who might say words to the effect of "Well, they're my records. I will put in there what I want to", or words to those effect.

 Q. One of the issues that has been identified in the Legal Aid submission is that police facts are subsequently prepared and given to the young person, which do not, in fact, refer to the advice given to the young person, that

- Q. How is it that you find that out when you're looking at a police statement of facts? How do you actually determine what the advice was that was given to the young person?
- A. The only way we can do that is to check our electronic database for the actual advice. If it's a client that the Legal Aid Youth Hotline has spoken to, we can get a copy of the advice form and we can have a look at the notes made by the solicitor who was rostered on to see what advice was given.

Q. I will take you to some facts a little bit later on where what's noted in the police facts makes no mention of the actual advice given to children. Is that something that's fairly frequent as far as you're aware?

A. Yes, that's relatively common.

- Q. What about the situation about a change of mind by the young person? What I mean by that is you give advice to the young person and it's up to the young person whether they follow your advice or not, but assuming the young person accepts your advice not to take part in an interview, is there scope later on if the young person changes their mind for further advice to be provided by the
- changes their mind for further advice to be provided by the Legal Aid Youth Hotline?

 A. Well, there is further scope, yes. We are always available to give further advice if required.

Q. In your experience, how often has that happened, that a young person has decided to take part in an interview and police have actually contacted you on the young person's behalf?

A. It's not common at all. I can't recall a specific example when I was on the Youth Hotline where that has happened.

Q. I took you to a protocol that yo have referred to which was between NSW Police and Legal Aid NSW from 2004. A. Yes.

- Q. Have you or Legal Aid tried to update that protocol with NSW Police?

A. Yes.

Q. What steps have you taken?

A. We have, within Legal Aid - we have an updated draft prepared that we are happy with. The next step, I guess, is to sit down with police and see if they are happy with it.

- Q. Have you made steps to speak, to engage with police about the update to this memorandum?
- A. Since I can't speak prior to me being in my current role, but we had a meeting with police in August 2019 where an update to the youth protocol was one of other one of several, I guess, youth items on the agenda of the meeting.

- Q. It may very well be that there is some evidence later in this week by assistant commissioners about some priority that might be given to the updating of that protocol. Are you yourself aware of any recent steps taken by NSW Police to update that protocol?
- A. I believe we might have received an email last week about perhaps sitting down and discussing with a view to updating it.

- Q. And who was the person who received that email, do you know?
- A. I'm not sure. I can't recall the exact nature. I just remember it coming through the email chain.

Q. Someone within Legal Aid NSW?

Q. I'm going to take you to the use of body-worn video. You have referred to it as one way of young people being recorded, although not on tape. I'm going to take you to an exhibit in these proceedings. It is MTS67, and it is an email between Legal Aid NSW and the NSW Police Force. The barcodes are 8543606-3608. I'm just going to ask for that email to be brought up. I'm just going to go to the bottom

email to be brought up. I'm just going to go to the botton half of that first page first. If that could be zoomed in

44 on, please.

Α.

Yes.

This is, you're aware, an email sent between a solicitor at Legal Aid NSW and a police officer, and

A. That's right.

Q. And if we can turn to the next page, so the page ending in barcode 07, you can see that the end of that email refers to the circular and to the direction to police about not having powers to compel; is that correct?

A. That's right.

Q. What you received, though, was a response to this email - and if we can go back to the previous page, please, ending in 06. What it says is the - the police officer wrote to the solicitor referring to the following:

I appreciate your email. However, your understanding of the use of Bodyworn camera is not applicable to the information you have supplied.

And it goes on to refer to this officer stating:

As guided by ... legislation and NSW Police Policy [that he or she was] allowed to activate the Bodyworn camera to record any refusal to be interviewed.

Can you see that? A. Yes.

Q. Without going through the text of the rest of the email, which is an exhibit in these proceedings, what the officer has said is that if they wish, they will use their body-worn camera and activate it to record a refusal. Can you see that?

A. I can see that.

- Q. Is this something that you have experienced frequently about the use of body-worn video?
- A. Yes, it's not uncommon. Emails to this effect I can't remember anymore than that, but it's more likely to be in a conversation between the police officer and the lawyer on

1	the	telephone.
2		

- Q. What you have done, Mr Frankham, which took you a considerable amount of time, is in order to give evidence before this Commission, you've gone back over a number of records kept by the solicitors from the Legal Aid Youth Hotline; is that correct?
- A. The files are from the Children's Legal Service based at Parramatta rather than the Youth Hotline files.
- Q. And you've looked at the physical records of advices as well as police facts that relate to those advices; is that correct?
- A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. And in that way, you were able to compare the advice given to children with the outcome which is set out in police facts; is that correct?
- A. That's right.
- Q. In fact, you have got many such advices and you have spent a number of hours going through to pull out examples to illustrate the issues that we've just been discussing; is that correct?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Just on this issue of body-worn video, I'm going to ask for a document to be put up on screen. It's MTS86. The barcode is 8620251.

This is one page from a statement of facts which has had information taken out, which is identifying information. This was one example that you came across where you looked at facts and compared it to the advice given, and you made a note of how body-worn video was used in a different way to an electronic recording; is that correct?

- A. That's right.
- Q. What you noted, which is contained on these police facts, is that the child in this case was given legal advice and I think it doesn't refer to legal advice in this extract, but it refers to the child declining to take part in a recorded interview, but, in fact, being interviewed on body-worn video; is that correct?

  A. That's right.

.03/04/2023 (2)

1 2 3	Q. If I just read directly from the facts, it says, relevantly:
5 5 6 7	However, when requested about the incident on [body-worn video] and shown CCTV stills
8 9 10 11	The young person went on to provide some information; is that correct?  A. That's right.
12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. That was one example of a number of examples that you had where, although a child hasn't been put in front of a recording machine, they have been questioned on body-worn video; is that correct?  A. Yes.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q. I'm going to take you to another example which you've provided. This is exhibit MTS85 and it is barcoded 8620250. If we can just zoom in a little bit, in the top paragraph on that page there's reference to police speaking with the young person in an interview room, and the young person giving police some information. Then what you can see in the third paragraph is:
26 27 28 29	Police offered the young person the opportunity to participate in an interview
30 31 32 33	Which the young person accepted and then made admissions; is that correct?  A. That's right.
34 35 36 37 38	Q. Having these police facts, you then cross-reference these facts with the actual advice given to the young person; is that correct?  A. That's right.
39 40 41	Q. What did that advice indicate? A. I can't go into exact detail in terms of all of it because of privilege but I can
42 43 44 45 46 47	Q. Of course.  A. I can say that the young person gave permission to speak to police and to relay to police that he wished to exercise his right to silence and not have his refusal recorded.

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14 15

16 17

18

19 20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27 28

29 30 31

32 33

34 35

36 37 38

39 40 41

42 43

44 45

46 47

I should have been more specific. Just in relation to that aspect, did the advice show the young person did not wish to speak to police; is that correct? That's correct.

- Even though that advice was given, these facts make no reference to that advice: is that correct?
- That's correct. Α.
- And the young person was, in fact, interviewed, and there is a part of the Legal Aid submission to this Commission which refers to police facts which don't completely contain all the relevant facts, including the advice given to young people; is that correct? That's correct.
- This is one example of that being the case; is that Q. right?
- I should just clarify in terms of the advice, that it is specific to the advice wherein instructions have been relayed to the police, that they want to exercise those rights. That's what's missing.
- I'm going to go to an exhibit MTS91, which is barcoded 8620362 to 8620363. This is a redacted statement of facts, and this was a police statement of facts provided by yourself on behalf of the Commission, relating to a child who had been placed under arrest and it is noted at the very bottom of that first page, the child spoke with Youth Legal Aid - that's the Children's Legal Service; is that right?
- That's right. Α.
- Q. And then turning to the next page, what it indicates is:
  - The Child participated in an electronically recorded interview ...
- And was later charged. Having provided that part of the police facts, did you go back to the actual advice given to the child?
- Yes. Α.
- And relevantly to the questions that I have been asking - I'm not asking you to waive privilege or anything

A. That the young person wished to exercise their right to silence and they wished those instructions to be passed along to police, and it was indicated in the advice that that occurred.

Q. What these facts show is, firstly, none of that is recorded in these facts; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

 Q. And, secondly, even though that was the advice which was passed on to police, the interview went ahead regardless?

A. Yes.

Q. Is this something that that has come up; it's an experience that you've - it's not uncommon; it's something that frequently takes place?

A. Yes.

Q. Your evidence is that there are police who do follow the appropriate steps and when children don't wish to be interviewed, they don't interview them; is that correct?

A. That's right.

Q. However, there are these recurring issues where that's not taking place; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. I'll take you to exhibit MTS92, barcoded 8620364 to 8620365. This is a statement of facts, which if we just zoom in on the bottom of the page, please, this refers to police speaking to a young person, cautioning the young person and then taking that young person back to the police station and reading the young person's rights in the presence of the support person, and then speaking to the Children's Legal Service; is that correct?

A. That's right.

Q. And then just turning to the next page, the facts refer to the young person being offered the opportunity to take part in an electronic interview which the young person accepted and which was also accepted by the support person. Having seen these facts, did you go back to look at what the advice was that was given to the young person?

A. That's right.

- Q. Is it correct to say that you're finding out about police conducting interviews even though the advice has been relayed that there would be no interview really at the time that children are coming to court and showing you facts, which you are then checking against the advice given?
- A. Yes, although we don't check it in every occasion, because sometimes it's not an issue that needs to be raised.

- Q. Do you yourself have experience in challenging the interviews in the circumstances that we've been discussing in hearings in the Children's Court?
- A. Yes. Not recently, but several years ago, yes.

- Q. What about young people are they always wanting to challenge the admissibility of these issues?

  A. No. It's my experience that it's more common for the
- A. No. It's my experience that it's more common for them not to want to challenge the admissibility.

Q. What are they saying to you? What are the words they are using as to why they don't wish to challenge these interviews?

A. There are all sorts of reasons. Often, the interview by itself - well, there's other evidence in addition to the interview. Often if it's the only evidences, a young person on bail who has been advised, for example, that their likely penalty might be a good behaviour bond, they will often say, "Well, I would rather my matter over and done with and not be on bail for an extended period, and have the matter determined by a court," in circumstances where they may not be successful. That's not uncommon.

Q. These issues relating to young people and interviews with police, are these issues that you have encountered for all of your time at the Children's Legal Service?

A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Do they continue right up until now?
  - A. They do. I should say the use of body-worn video, though, has become more prevalent in the last three to four years. Prior to that it wasn't used as often.

- Q. When you say body-worn video "has become more prevalent", or its use, what do you mean by that as they relate to young people?
- A. As it relates, yes, to the use by police, it's often used by police to take admissions for a Young Offenders Act diversion, for instance. I think it's very easy for police to just turn on the recording, take some admissions and turn it off and it's becoming more common to question them in terms of their investigation.

- Q. The children aren't actually put in front of the videotape but they're recorded in these other ways; is that right?
- A. That's right.

- Q. Even though the template letter that you send to police makes clear that they are not to be recorded in any way, including through a hand-held or other device; is that right?
- A. Yes. When those letters or emails are sent, yes.

MR FERNANDEZ: That completes my questions, Chief Commissioner.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Could I just ask you a couple of things. What you have described, helpfully to the Commission, is a process of advice and communication by telephone.

A. Yes.

Q. And that reflects the practical reality that there are people in police stations all over the state at any time who are being arrested and may be going through the processes which you've been discussing.

A. Yes.

Q. Is it still the situation that perhaps during the working daytime, if there is interaction with the police, that there may be a Legal Aid solicitor at the police station who may be able to talk face to face to the police about these sorts of situations, or is the practical reality that it's pretty well all done by telephone now?

1 2 3 4	A. It's all done by telephone. I can't recall an example of a Legal Aid solicitor appearing in person at a police station.
5 6	Q. I suppose the Legal Aid solicitor is likely to be at the courthouse rather than the police station on any
7 8 9 10	specific day, certainly?  A. Yes. Well, normally, when they are rostered on for the Youth Hotline, they're normally not rostered on court at the same time. So they're normally during the day in
11 12 13	the office or they're, since COVID, more likely to be maybe working from home.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. In circumstances where all the communications are being done by telephone or email, rather than seeing what's happening, I take it that you are not in any position to say whether the police at the police station, who may be saying they want to use body-worn video to record something, are in uniform or in plain clothes?  A. No, I couldn't say.
21 22	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
23 24 25 26	MR FERNANDEZ: Nothing arising, thank you, Chief Commissioner.
27 28 29	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Does anyone want to seek leave to ask some questions of Mr Frankham?
29 30 31	MS LEE: Yes, Chief Commissioner.
32 33	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right. Leave granted.
34 35	<examination by="" lee:<="" ms="" td=""></examination>
36 37 38	MS LEE: Q. Just based on your long-term experience at Legal Aid in the children's unit
39 40 41	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Could I just ask you to get a microphone a little closer to you, thank you, Ms Lee?
42 43 44	MS LEE: Q. Just in relation to your experience at Legal Aid in the children's unit, for you, in your experience, what are the particular vulnerabilities pertaining to young

people in custody?

44

45

46

47

There are many vulnerabilities. It's hard to describe

it briefly. They often have very limited experience with

Q. And would you say there is a power imbalance between the young person and the police?

A. Yes.

- Q. And in terms of young people in regional areas, do you think there are some particular vulnerabilities for those in regional areas?
- A. I think so to the extent that regional areas often don't have the same level of support services that are available in metropolitan areas, and whilst that might not factor in at the particular time at the police station, there might be, for example, youth workers available in the metro areas who might be able to attend to assist the young person that have a long-lasting relationship with that young person. Often the young people in regional areas don't have the benefit of those types of support services that can really assist while young people are at the police station.

Q. Thank you. And then also for children who are of First Nations background, are there any particular vulnerabilities for those children?

A. I would say yes. Again, a lot of them, in my

A. I would say yes. Again, a lot of them, in my experience, are from those regional areas and regional towns where there is a lack of any type of support services. They often have a long history, unfortunately, a long history, of interacting with the police, and not just the young person, but their extended families.

 Q. In terms of your evidence that you have given about sometimes police don't adhere or allow the young person to implement their instructions from you, is there any legislative change you think would assist with strengthening the rights of young people in custody in regards to that particular issue?

A. Yes. I think if it's made more clear about what the obligations are on custody managers and officers in charge

Q. And do you think training would be sufficient to deal with these issues that have been raised today by you?

A. I think it would be beneficial, but in and of itself, I think it also needs to be backed by other measures.

- Q. Just a couple more questions, one around body-worn video. When body-worn video footage came in, did you see it operating in this way as being a tool for collecting or undergoing interviews with children?
- A. No. That's not how we originally viewed it. In my experience, it was to be a good thing if it was, I guess, recording interactions between young people or adults or any other person and the police. But it seems to have, I guess, unintended consequences.

- Q. Do you think it's being used to supersede the need for an ERISP?
- A. Yes, in circumstances, yes. I should say, in my experience, yes.

- Q. Have you heard of, in your experience, body-worn video footage being used to identify children?
- A. Not my direct experience. But I have had staff within our team speak about young people being identified on body-worn video. Normally used to, I guess, take a video of the young person shortly after an alleged offence, they might match the description or CCTV in terms of clothing or other identifying marks.

Q. And would this be for children under 14 years as well?
A. I think children of all age groups from 10 to 17.

Q. If that's the case, do you think that the Forensic Procedures Act comes into play in these circumstances.

 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I think we're moving into an area where there might be an opportunity for the Legal Aid NSW to make submissions on these things. I think entering into the Forensic Procedures Act is a little beyond the scope of what we're doing now. It may be a matter for submissions in due course, or possibly even questions of the police

1 2 3 4	officers, but if we could keep a little closer to the mark as to the subject matter for which Mr Frankham has been called.
5 6 7	It is after one, Ms Lee. How much longer are you going to be?
8 9 10	MS LEE: Yes, can I ask two more questions, Chief Commissioner?
11 12	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Sure.
13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LEE: Q. I just turn now to the use of force, which is also part of these examinations. In your experience, have you represented children where force has been used against them for fine-only offences?  A. I can't recall specific examples. I couldn't say one way or another.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Q. Thank you. And in your experience, when force has been disclosed by the young person, is it reflected in the facts sheets by police?  A. It's been my experience, in the several years ago when I was practising, if an issue of excessive force came up in terms of admissibility or otherwise, it often wasn't included in detail in the facts sheet.
27 28 29 30	MS LEE: Thank you. That concludes my questions. Thank you.
31 32 33	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Does anyone else wish to seek leave?
34 35 36 37 38 39 40	MR HALL: Chief Commissioner, I had two questions, but your first question covered the first question, so I've just got one other issue just in relation to the MTS89 and that sheet and as to whether there is any distinction between the ages from 10 to 18, and just lastly what training, if ever, do the members receive in relation to the understanding of the young person on the other line.
41 42 43 44	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: It's almost 10 past 1. It's necessary for the Commission, for other purposes, to adjourn now, but we will resume at 2pm, if that is

convenient.

45

46

47

If you'd like to step down for the moment, thank you,

1	Mr Frankham, your evidence will continue at 2 o'clock.
2	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONED. Voc. the Commission will edicum
3	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, the Commission will adjourn until 2 o'clock.
4	uitti 2 0 Ciock.
5	LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT
6 7	LUNCHEUN ADJUURNITENT
	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONED. Thank you if you could some book
8 9	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you, if you could come back to the witness box, Mr Frankham.
10	to the withess box, in Trankham.
11	Mr Hall, you had some questions?
12	in nati, you had some questions:
13	MR HALL: Very quickly, Chief Commissioner.
14	The line in the state of the st
15	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's all right.
16	THE SHIEL SOMMISSIONER TOO, CHAR S ATT TIGHT
17	<examination by="" hall:<="" mr="" td=""></examination>
18	
19	MR HALL: Q. My name is Mr Hall, I'm acting on behalf of
20	Officer [MTS1] in these proceedings.
21	
22	Just picking up from where the Chief Commissioner
23	asked you some questions, is it the case that of all the
24	case studies that you reviewed or your team reviewed, there
25	was none where there was a personal interaction either by
26	a video conference or a visit to the police station or
27	visit to the area that the young person was being held by
28	the police?
29	A. That's right. It was all over the phone.
30	
31	Q. In all those matters?
32	A. Yes.
33	
34	Q. And how many did you review?
35	A. I can only give an estimate. There were two filing
36	cabinets. I'd estimate between three to four hundred files
37	all up.
38	O And I think in your swideness you make a view as to how
39 10	Q. And I think in your evidence you gave a view as to how
10 11	many you did per shift. The interaction - just a general
11 12	interaction - with a young person, what would be the
12 13	estimated time that you might spend on the phone with the
	young person?
14 15	A. It's really hard to say. It varies so much. It can
	be anywhere - but I'd say at least five to 10 minutes, but
16 17	some young people it can go up to as long as 30 minutes on
† <i>1</i>	some occasions and even longer.

interaction was?

use?

Α.

for leave to ask some questions:

gathering that understanding?

understanding that they can.

<EXAMINATION BY MR WHITE:

Q.

What do you mean by --

that you're discussing with them?

- 6 7
- 8 9 10
- 12 13

11

- 14 15 16
- 17 18 19
- 20 21 22
- 23
- 24 25 26
- 27 28 29
- 30 31
- 32 33 34
- 35 36
- 37 38 39
- 41 42

40

43

44 45

- 46 47
- .03/04/2023 (2)

Α.

Q.

Α.

MR HALL:

MR WHITE:

Yes.

Commissioner.

Yes.

R FRANKHAM (Mr White)

Mr Frankham, just in relation to your

And is there any note on the file to say how long the

There's a place on the form that records the time of

And just the last point that I asked the Commissioner

It's the same form used for anywhere between

I didn't want to go into that area. Just in relation

in relation to that form,

the call and the end time of the call. It also records if a message is received and we're calling the police back.

is it a one-size form for ages between whatever ages there

are, or is there a differentiation of any forms that you

10 and 17, and obviously there are parts of the form that

only apply to young people of certain ages, for instance,

to the young person's understanding, do the officers under

How do you determine that the young person on the

There are - it forms part of our training of dealing

other end of the line has understood the complex issues

instance, you might be asking the young person to repeat

back to you in their words what they understood you meant, and you do your best that way to make sure that you finish

Thank you. No further questions, Chief

relationship with the young person that you're talking to

on the phone, it's an advice service; is that correct?

with young people not just on Youth Hotline but on

the call with the young person having the best

a day-to-day basis in court, particular things; for

And that is an important issue, isn't it?

you, or you, have any specific training in relation to

if we're giving advice in relation to doli incapax.

- 2 3 4
- 5
- 6 7 8
- 9 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26 27
- 28 29
- 30 31
- 32
- 33 34
- 35
- 36 37
- 38 39
- 40 41
- 42 43
- 44 45

47

.03/04/2023 (2)

Α. -- at court.

But not in terms --

them - is that correct?

instructions first.

has ended: correct?

Yes.

Yes

the police.

Correct.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Q.

For that call?

Unless - yes.

a youth worker or whatever it may be.

from the young person; correct?

Sorry to interrupt you. But not in terms of an Q. ongoing relationship or a retainer for the purpose of the

So do you agree that it's not necessarily an ongoing

It's a temporary relationship in terms of the advice

That would be dependent on further instructions coming

So in the absence of any further instructions after

And in some cases - and you've given examples in your

evidence where you might meet that person again at court

So that solicitor/client relationship hadn't been

in relation to the advice and the information provided to

Well, I say there is a solicitor/client relationship

It's just a different type of relationship

and they engage Legal Aid and then a solicitor/client

created at the time of the telephone call, had it?

relationship commences; is that right?

Yes, in relation to the charges?

your phone call with the young person, as far as you're concerned, whatever relationship you had with that person

We don't take those steps unless we have those

legal relationship you have with that person; it's just a temporary relationship in terms of the advice you give

but can extend further than that if, for instance, the young person is requesting us to do something on their

behalf, such as passing on their instructions, or it might

be speaking to a third party, such as a support person or

than a client/solicitor relationship 6 L--

A. No, not unless something comes up where we're required to do further work.

4 5

6

2

3

Q. And you would agree that in terms of your involvement with the phone call, that's often done in the absence of a support person?

7 8 9

10

11

12

A. We always speak to the young person first prior to speaking to a support person. It's a direct client relationship and we need their instructions to speak to the support person. But it's our best practice, and we try to, in the full advices that we give, speak to the support person if possible.

13 14 15

16 17 Q. But you would agree that there would be some cases where you speak to the young person and there is no support person available at that stage?

A. Yes.

18 19 20

Q. So you give the advice to the young person in the absence of a support person; correct?

21 22 It depends on the occasion. There are occasions where 23 if I speak to an officer or custody manager and they say 24 the support person is five to 10 minutes away, we will 25 instruct them we'll call back when they get there. If they tell me, "We're unlikely to be able to get a support person 26 27 at all ", or they're unable to give an ETA on when the 28 support person is going to arrive, we will speak to the 29 young person and we will, as a matter of standard practice,

say, "Can you please call back when the support person

31 arrives?"

32 33

34

35

Q. Let's just assume for argument's sake, though, when you're talking to the young person, there's no support person on the horizon at that stage?

36 A. Yes.

37 38

Q. That situation would happen, wouldn't it?

39 A. Yes.

40 41

Q. And you would proceed to give the young person advice; correct?

43 A. That's right.

44 45

46 47

42

Q. And you would agree, in your experience, Mr Frankham, that after that initial advice, when a support person may ultimately appear at the police station, things can change;

2 3	Α.	That's right.
4 5	Q. A.	A support person might be a parent; correct? Yes.
6 7 8 9	Q. A.	Might be a guardian? Yes.
10 11 12 13	Q. pers A.	And they might have their own views about whether the on should agree to an interview; correct? They may.
14 15 16 17	Q. advi A.	And they may have their own views about the legal ce they were given over the phone; correct? Yes.
18 19 20 21	-	g person had about whether they should have accepted advice; correct?
23 24 25 26 27	•	And on the assumption that that has happened, the ce offer that person an interview, you can see why the rview would then take place; correct?  I can see why it happens in those circumstances.
28 29	MR W	HITE: Yes, nothing further, thank you.
30 31	THE	CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes?
32 33 34		EWER: With the Commission's leave could I ask mber of clarifying questions?
35 36 37		CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, certainly. Thank you, ewer.
38 39	<exa< td=""><td>MINATION BY MS LEWER:</td></exa<>	MINATION BY MS LEWER:
40 41 42 43 44 45	a Yo is n	EWER: Q. Mr Frankham, in circumstances where uth Hotline worker speaks to a young person where there o support person present, is there a standard practice hat is conveyed to the police in those circumstances? There is.
46 47	Q. A.	And what is that? Normally to - if they're expecting a support person -

correct?

call back when the support person arrives at the police station.

2 3 4

5

6

1

Q. Do you have an expectation that there would be a call back to the Youth Hotline once the support person is there? A. Yes, if we've spoken to the police and made that request, we do have that expectation.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15 Q. Are you able to say whether that occurs in every case or whether there is a practice one way or another about that?

A. It doesn't occur in every case. It occurs in many but there's often many it does not occur. We also have a standard practice for our solicitors if there is no call back after a certain amount of time, they may follow it up with a further call to the police station.

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

You have been asked some questions and given some evidence that has dealt with these concepts of advice and Could you just clarify how you understand instructions. the Youth Hotline process to work in terms of the advice that is given and the instructions that are taken? I mean, the advice can be wide ranging but given the issue here, I'll speak to the right to silence. go through with them, and in my view that's what the advice is, explaining to them what the right to silence means, explaining to them what their rights and obligations are, speaking also to the support person about the same types of rights and obligations, and then having a conversation with the young person, do they want to exercise that right, and if they do, asking the young person, "Do you want me to speak on your behalf to the police to convey that to them?" And that's what I consider instructions, when they say at that point, "Yes, that's what I want you to do."

343536

37

Q. So the advice portion, if I can put it this way, is from the solicitor to the client? A. Yes.

38 39 40

41

42

- Q. And then the client provides the solicitor with instructions?
- A. That's correct.

43 44 45

- Q. And then if there is permission to do so, those instructions are then conveyed to the police officer; is that right?
- 47 A. That's right.

1 2 3 4	Q. That's the process as you understand it A. Yes.
5	Q to take place?
6 7 8	MS LEWER: Thank you. They are the questions I have.
9 10 11	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Ms Lewer. Any other applications?
12	Mr Fernandez?
13 14	<examination by="" fernandez:<="" mr="" td=""></examination>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR FERNANDEZ: Q. I would like to ask you some questions just taking up questions you were asked about support persons and what happens when support persons arrive at the station. Do you still have the Legal Aid submission there with you?  A. I don't.
22 23 24 25	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: We can have it brought up electronically, or if it happened to be physically
26 27 28	MR FERNANDEZ: Perhaps it could be brought up electronically, please. This is MTS65.
29 30 31 32	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: It could be that the document is in the hearing room. We will just have that handed to Mr Frankham.
33 34 35 36 37	MR FERNANDEZ: Q. Could you turn, please, to page 14. At part 4.8 on that page, the heading relates to "Change of mind, and failure to follow up Hotline". Can you see that? A. Yes.
38 39 40	Q. What's set out in Legal Aid's submission starts with this:
41 42 43 44 45 46 47	A support person plays an important role in assisting a child through the arrest process. In some cases, however, the support person will have fundamentally different ideas about "what is best" for the child, that do not align with their role or consider the full range of legal

1	consequences.
2	

A. Yes.

Q. Is that something that you have personal experience of, that very situation described there?

A. That's correct, I have.

Q. What sort of situations does that take place in?

A. Normally, in my experience, it's normally - normally involves advice where you're giving - where a young person is going to be charged where you give them the advice about their right to silence, the young person wants to exercise those rights, you then speak to a parent who wants the young person to be truthful and honest and speak to the police about what has occurred. That's normally the standard scenario in which it occurs.

Q. If you turn, please, to page 15 of the Legal Aid submission, what is set out there is, relevantly, as follows, and this is at the very top of the page:

 Unlike a solicitor, who acts on direct instructions and with full understanding about the range of legal implications, a support person will sometimes exhort the child to make admissions against interest and against legal advice.

Is that something you have personal experience of? A. It is.

 Q. Is it the case that you have any control over who the support person will be who goes to the police station to support a young person?

A. No, no control.

Q. Do you make some inquiries, if you have a chance to, to work out if there's any fundamental conflict between the position of the support person and the child?

A. We do, that's part of our standard practice. If we

get a situation where a support person is of a different view to what the young person wants, we will spend some time trying to speak with them. Often, it's a case where, as is in the submission, the parent normally doesn't

understand the legal consequences, and we can often speak to that and they may change their mind. worke

 Q. It might be a parent or a carer, support worker, youth worker or anyone else who is there to attend?

A. That's correct.

- Q. If you look at the submission, you can see in the second paragraph on page 15 what Legal Aid sets out is its awareness of several cases where, despite instructions being clearly communicated to police that a child does not wish to be interviewed, that following separate conversations with a support person, the child has "changed [their] mind" and decided to take part in an interview; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. I want to ask you about some questions you were asked about training, particularly the training provided through the Legal Aid Children's Legal Service for solicitors who take part in the Youth Hotline. Are you yourself involved in that training?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. What training is provided to solicitors who are going to be staffing the Youth Hotline?
- A. As a starting point, we have a training package and a solicitor hotline manual that includes references to legislation, other material that may be helpful and assist them. Following that, they generally have a one-on-one session with me and I use that not only for training but to make an estimate of their level of skill and ability and how much further training they may require prior to being rostered on to the hotline.
- Q. Is there scope for you to listen in on calls with solicitors for the benefit of improvement or if there is a particularly difficult call, for example?

  A. Yes.
- Q. How does that happen?
- A. I often get calls from solicitors who are on the hotline, who ring me and say, "I've got this difficult case. What do you think?" That happens on a fairly regular basis. So I'm available pretty much whenever it is running, 24/7, to take those calls. There's other more senior solicitors in the office that are available if I'm not available, and there is, at least during office hours, an opportunity, especially for those solicitors who are

Q. You are aware from the evidence at the private examination that Ms Burkitt gave evidence that there would be specific training on difficult situations with custody managers?

A. That's right.

Α.

Q. Is that something that Legal Aid also does?

Q. How does that happen?

That's correct.

 A. That's generally me in the first training session that we do one on one. There is a range of examples that I will go through with them about tricky situations that come up on a fairly regular basis.

 Q. Lastly, I'm going to ask you questions about what was described as the period of time that you were talking to a young person. Although there might be a segmented contact with the young person, is it correct to say that the first contact is likely to be through the Youth Hotline by a young person with the Children's Legal Service?

A. Yes.

Q. But that's not the end of the contact, because the records that you prepare are then available for anyone else who might represent that particular young person; is that correct?

31 correct 32 A. Th

A. They are available, yes.

Q. And for those solicitors who do work with the Children's Legal Service, they are talking to young people on the hotline, and then they're they are talking to young people almost every day of the working week; is that correct?

A. That's right.

Q. And is there some specific training at Legal Aid or elsewhere about communicating with young people?

A. It's training we offer for every new solicitor that's

part of the Children's Legal Service. Again, there's a training package, we make reference to a lot of different material, and that's followed up by on-the-job training

with very strict supervision, normally while they're over

MR FERNANDEZ: That completes my questions, Chief Commissioner.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just ask you about this, and this is a general topic: if court proceedings were going to be commenced, civil proceedings, for a young person, say in the Supreme Court, for damages arising from an accident of some sort or there is a legal requirement for there to be a tutor, an adult who is the tutor on behalf of the child - that is, until the person turns 18 and then that person can exercise their own rights - that procedure, of course, is of no assistance at all by way of analogy with the present subject matter because a call comes through, and it's a young person held at a particular police station, possibly in the middle of the night, and it's that person who is the client for the purpose of the conversation. That's so?

18 19 20

A. That's right.

212223

Q. And as you see it, it's the right of the young person, when one is talking about the right to silence that needs to be explained?

24 25

A. Yes.

26 27 28

29

30

Q. A support person may be a parent, they may be a guardian, they may be someone else. It may often be the case that it's hard to find a person to act as support person - that's a common enough experience, isn't it?

A. That's true.

31 32 33

34

35

36

Q. So when the support person comes in, comes on the scene, is the nature of that person's involvement and the relationship with the young person really to be found in LEPRA and what it says in the LEPRA Act or regulations about the role of support persons?

37 38 39

A. Yes, there's a document there that I guess describes the role of a support person that's provided to them.

40 41

42 43 Q. Whether the support person may be in a position to provide sound advice or unsound advice to the young person is always a matter of - it's an open question, if I could put it that way?

44 45

A. It is.

46 47

Q. Do you know of any cases, in your own experience or

A. I have personally experienced that, and our staff, but that's not normally something we find out about the particulars of that until we get to court and it arises in the course of us taking instructions on a particular charge.

Q. There happens to be an example of that amongst the decisions which are now in evidence, exhibit MTS69, Judge Nicholson, in R v APCR and R v CP, a 2006 decision where, as his Honour outlined at paragraph 82 and thereafter, the police officer spoke to the mother and the police officer said it would be in the young person's best interests to do an interview now and get it all cleared up, and the mother then thought the detective seemed friendly and, as a consequence, an interview took place. Have you heard of that type of scenario occurring?

A. Yes.

 Q. And is a problem with that that whether it is in the interests of the child or not involves legal considerations too, including the rights that the Legal Aid lawyer gave some advice about?

A. Yes.

 Q. And is that a type of scenario where, if there were circumstances changing of that type, that it would be hoped, if not expected, that there would be an opportunity for further legal advice to be given to the young person?

A. In an ideal world, that would be my expectation.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Were there any questions arising out of what I have just asked?

MR HALL: Chief Commissioner, I just have one question that came up as a result, a clarification.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR HALL:</pre>

MR HALL: Q. Mr Frankham, in relation to this case, it's true, isn't it, that there was a fairly inexperienced

2 3	A. You mean the subject of the
4	Q. Well, the person who was in this particular matter.
5	Was he experienced or inexperienced?
6	A. I can't comment on that. I believe the solicitor was
7	from the Aboriginal Legal Service. Is that what you are
8	talking about?
9	
10	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Sorry, which situation are you
11	talking about?
12	
13	MR HALL: Sorry, Chief Commissioner. Sorry, in this
14	instance
15	
16	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: We have any number of these ones
17	and courts have been throwing these interviews out for some
18	years. Are you talking about one of those cases or
19	something else?
20	MD HALL. No. My Whitting was foigly incorposioned in the
21	MR HALL: No. Mr Whitting was fairly inexperienced in the
22 23	area, he had only just come into the area.
23 24	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I'm not sure if I
25	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER. I III HOU SUITE IT I
26	MR HALL: I'll withdraw the question.
27	THE TIMEL. I THE WICHGIAW CHO QUOSCIOII.
28	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: What's the proposition you are
29	putting? What's the assumption you want Mr Frankham to
30	make for the purpose of your question?
31	
32	MR HALL: That there wasn't one of the experienced
33	solicitors from his area handling this particular matter in
34	relation to the understanding of the young person on the
35	other end of the line.
36	
37	THE WITNESS: I can't comment on Mr Whitting's experience.
38	
39	MR HALL: Thank you. Nothing further, Chief Commissioner.
40	
41	MS LEE: Just one question, Chief Commissioner.
42	THE CHIEF COMMICCIONED: V
43	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes.
44	
45 46	
46 47	<examination by="" lee:<="" ms="" td=""></examination>
47	NEARITIVATION DI TIS LEE.
-	

solicitor on this file, that was new to the area?

.03/04/2023 (2)

MS LEE: Q. In the Legal Aid submission on page 8 it refers to a protocol that exists between Legal Aid NSW and NSW Police. What's your understanding as to why that protocol was set up in the first place?

A. My understanding is that protocol was set up as a model of best practice both for the police and the solicitor manning the Legal Aid Youth Hotline.

Q. And what does that best practice mean to you? What does that involve?

A. I mean, the best practice is setting out, I guess, what is expected of the police on every call and also what the expectations would be on the solicitor who is taking the call.

Q. And that protocol exists to ensure that young people receive independent legal advice; is that right?

A. That is part of it, yes.

MS LEE: Yes, thank you.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Anything further, Mr Fernandez?

MR FERNANDEZ: No, thank you, Chief Commissioner.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you very much for your attendance today, Mr Frankham, and for your evidence and for the work that went into the submission.

I'm conscious that it's yourself and your colleagues at Legal Aid who have put this together, and this is a topic of significance to the Commission and the Commission is very grateful to Legal Aid and to the Aboriginal Legal Service for their efforts in putting together at relatively short notice very helpful documents.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you. If you would like to step down.

<THE WITNESS WITHDREW

MR FERNANDEZ: Chief Commissioner, the next witnesses will be available at 10am tomorrow. They are Assistant Commissioner Cotter and then Assistant Commissioner

1 2	Crandell.
3 4 5	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Now, looking ahead, on Wednesday, there will be a 9.30 start on Wednesday, and the Commission can only sit half the day.
6 7	MR FERNANDEZ: Yes.
8 9 10	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: So it will be stopping at about 12.30 on Wednesday.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR FERNANDEZ: The only witness called on that day will be Ms Hopgood and we will be starting at 9.30 on that day. If additional evidence needs to be given from Assistant Commissioners Cotter or Crandell and any other witnesses who give evidence on behalf of the police, that will take place on Thursday.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes. We will see tomorrow whether the two assistant commissioners are in a position to deal with the range of questions to be asked, but if there is any issue in that respect, there will be Thursday available, as you have just pointed out.
25	MR FERNANDEZ: Yes, that's right.
26 27 28 29	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Does anyone wish to raise anything before I formally adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow?
30 31 32 33	All right. The hearing is adjourned until 10am tomorrow.
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	AT 2.30PM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED TO TUESDAY, 4 APRIL 2023 AT 10AM
47	