Hearing: Operation Mantus

Before the Hon P Johnson SC, Chief Commissioner

Held at Level 3, St James Centre, Elizabeth Street, Sydney

On Monday, 13 March 2023 at 10.10am

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: This is a continuation of a public hearing which commenced on 14 December 2022 by way of a directions hearing. The scope and purpose of the investigation was announced at that time and remains as announced.

For the purpose of the public hearing this morning, counsel assisting will shortly provide an update with respect to the investigation, which is Operation Mantus.

Before asking counsel assisting for that update, however, I will ask if there are any further applications for leave by legal representatives to appear on behalf of persons, conscious that a number of applications were granted on the last occasion.

MR HARROWELL: Commissioner, my name is Harrowell. I would seek leave to appear for (name withheld)

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I should indicate that, as was noted on the last occasion, for the purpose of the public hearing, pseudonyms are to be used for all persons who will be mentioned, both civilian and police officers. There is a list.

MR HARROWELL: I have that, I apologise, Commissioner.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Perhaps if a copy of that list could be handed to Mr Harrowell now.

MR HARROWELL: I have a copy.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Could you just nominate by reference to that the officer?

35

MR HARROWELL: Yes, I will. I appear for [MTS9].

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Leave is granted for Mr Harrowell to represent the officer who will be referred to in public hearings as Officer [MTS9].

41 42

43

44

45

I note that a general non-publication order was made on the last occasion to cover all names of persons for the purpose of public hearings, and that non-publication order extends to the named officer, who will be referred to in public by the pseudonym Officer [MTS9], so leave is granted, Mr Harrowell.

MR EURELL: Good morning, Commissioner, my name is Eurell. I seek leave to appear for [MTS7].

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Mr Eurell. I grant you leave to appear for the officer who will be referred to in public as Officer [MTS7].

MR PATTERSON: May it please the Commission, my name is Patterson and I seek leave to appear on behalf of Officer [MTS8].

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Mr Patterson. Leave is granted for you to appear on behalf of Officer [MTS8].

MR KERKYASHARIAN: Chief Commissioner, Kerkyasharian for [YPM1]. I'm not sure whether I have already been granted leave.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I think you can take it that you have been but, for the record, it is helpful to have it announced, though the earlier granted leave for Ms Lee would encompass the representation for the young person, and I note that you appear on behalf of the young person as well. Thank you, Mr Kerkyasharian.

MR COFFEY: May it please, Chief Commissioner, I continue to seek leave to appear for the Commissioner of Police but also in respect of Officer [MTS6].

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR COFFEY: Coffey is my name, I'm sorry.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, thanks, Mr Coffey. Leave is granted for Mr Coffey to appear on behalf of Officer [MTS6].

Now, it could be there are some other applications at a later time, but that completes it for the moment. At this point, I will ask counsel assisting to provide an update with respect to Operation Mantus. Thank you, Mr Fernandez.

MR FERNANDEZ: Chief Commissioner, I provide this update on the investigation.

Operation Mantus arises out of an incident which occurred in September 2022 in northern New South Wales. The incident involved a young person who sustained injuries during the course of being apprehended by police, after which he was arrested.

The young person was treated by ambulance and in hospital for a short period. At the time, police were conducting proactive policing activities and they were in plain clothes. Police did not wear body-worn video at this time.

After being treated in hospital, the young person was taken to a police station. Police wished to interview him. He contacted a solicitor. His solicitor advised police in writing that the young person did not wish to be interviewed. However, police did interview the young person. An adult was present during the interview.

Some of the issues identified which arise from this incident include what procedures were followed or were not followed in conducting of the interview by police and the young person's management in custody.

On 14 December last year, this Commission held a directions hearing about this matter. At the directions hearing, a number of issues were raised, but in particular, the issue of whether the hearings would be in public, in private, or a combination of both was addressed. The Commission heard oral submissions and all parties were given the opportunity at that directions hearing to be heard on this issue.

At the conclusion of the directions hearing, the proceedings were adjourned to a date to be fixed for examinations to be held.

It was indicated that a decision on the use of public and/or private examinations would be published and would be made public in due course.

Parties were able to provide further submissions in writing about that particular issue, and this is, in fact, what occurred.

On 3 March 2023, the Commission delivered a decision

This decision has been published and it is 1 on this issue. 2 available on the Law Enforcement Conduct Commission's 3 website. 4 Chief Commissioner, you considered a number of legal 5 matters, and in providing an update on the status of this 6 7 investigation, I refer to three parts in particular of your decision. 8 9 I, firstly, refer to what you stated at paragraph 78 10 of your decision, which was this: 11 12 Having regard to all the factors relevant 13 to the exercise of discretion under s 63 14 15 [of the] [Law Enforcement Conduct Commission Actl --16 17 that being the Act referring to public and private 18 19 examinations --20 the Commission is satisfied that, in the 21 first instance, private examinations should 22 23 be held of witnesses on issues of fact. I note that private examinations were held 24 25 with respect to Officers [MTS1], [MTS2], [MTS3] and [MTS5] on 9 and 10 February 26 27 2023. 28 29 As to where we are today, Chief Commissioner, you said this at paragraph 79: 30 31 32 Given the point that has been reached in the investigation, it is proposed that all 33 representatives granted leave to appear for 34 35 interested persons (together with legal 36 representatives who may be granted leave to appear) will be present at the private 37 examinations to be held as part of 38 39 Operation Mantus in the near future. step will assist the orderly progress of 40 the investigation including the 41 identification of evidence on systemic 42 43 issues which may be suitable for public examination. 44 45

As to the public examinations, you said this at paragraph 80 of the decision:

46 47 
It is foreshadowed that the Commission will hold public examinations with respect to systemic issues after the private examinations have been held.

There will be public hearings and they will be held on 3 through to 6 April. At that time, this Commission will hear evidence about systemic issues which have been identified and which are exemplified in the incident which took place.

In particular, it is anticipated that evidence will be given at those public examinations about the use of body-worn video and about interviewing young people, particularly after young people have been given legal advice that they do not wish to be interviewed by police.

It is anticipated that senior police and other witnesses will give evidence at the public examinations about those and other aspects relevant to this investigation.

That completes the matters to be raised at this public hearing. Subject to any other matters that might be raised with the Commission, I seek an adjournment of the public examinations to Monday, 3 April.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Mr Fernandez.

Consistent with the decision, extracts from which have been read by counsel assisting, the Commission will shortly adjourn the public hearing until 3 April.

What will proceed this week is a private hearing, at which all legal representatives present will be present, where there will be a close examination of the factual issues before a determination is made as to the extent of the issues to be canvassed at the public hearing and the witnesses to be called in that respect.

It is appropriate, given the need to change the practical arrangements from a public hearing to a private hearing, to adjourn for a period. There are a number of steps that counsel assisting need to take in this period as well.

I formally adjourn the public hearing, for public examinations which will commence on Monday, 3 April 2023, to proceed, it is expected, through to Thursday, 6 April 2023.

The Commission will resume - is 10 to 11 suitable?

MR FERNANDEZ: It is, thank you, Chief Commissioner.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: The Commission will resume at 10 to 11 for the commencement of the private examination phase which will proceed this week.

I will temporarily adjourn.

AT 10.22AM THE PUBLIC HEARING OF THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED ACCORDINGLY