

Hearing: Operation Gennaker

Before the Hon M F Adams QC, Chief Commissioner

Held at Level 3, St James Centre, Elizabeth Street, Sydney

On Monday, 2 December 2019 at 10.15am

WITNESS INDEX

OFFICER	GEN1	17
OFFICER	GEN2	53
OFFICER	GEN3	75
OFFICER	GEN4	88



1 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: This is a public examination 2 pursuant to section 63 of the Law Enforcement Conduct 3 Dr Peggy Dwyer has been appointed by me Commission Act. 4 as counsel assisting the Commission. 5 6 It may be useful now for me to deal with leave to 7 appear, so we might as well start at the end of the Bar 8 table. 9 10 MS H CHAPMAN: Thank you, Chief Commissioner. Ms Chapman. I seek leave to appear for the Commissioner of Police and 11 12 GEN1. 13 14 MS DWYER: Might I just remind the parties about the code names that we have for the different officers. 15 16 17 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Ms Chapman, it is not for me to tell you how to deal with your professional 18 responsibilities, and the matter is right at the beginning, 19 20 so one doesn't know how it will end, but it is possible to foresee a conflict between your client and the 21 22 Commissioner's interest. The Commissioner, of course, has 23 no personal interest, but institutional interests and your 24 client's personal interest - have you discussed this with 25 those instructing you? 26 27 MS CHAPMAN: The answer is no to your question, Chief Commissioner. It has certainly been at the forefront of my 28 29 mind, and based on my previous experience and what I have seen - if I had been asked to appear for some other 30 officers, I certainly would have had to decline - right 31 32 now, I can't see any perceived conflict, but I take that on 33 notice. 34 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 35 I rely on your professional judgment. It is not for me to tell you how that should 36 37 be - I just raise it as a question mark, that's all. if you are not troubled by it, you can always change your 38 39 position. 40 41 MS CHAPMAN: Thank you, Chief Commissioner. 42 43 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: So you wish to appear for GEN1 44 and the Commissioner of Police? 45 46 MS CHAPMAN: Yes.



1	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. That leave is
2	granted, thank you.
3	
4	MR M TAYLOR: Chief Commissioner, Taylor is my name,
5	solicitor. I seek your leave
6	Journal of the second of the s
7	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Taylor, you seek leave to
8	appear for GEN5; is that right?
9	
10	MR TAYLOR: That's correct.
11	
12	MR J MADDEN: Chief Commissioner, Madden, solicitor.
13	I seek your leave to appear for Officer GEN9.
14	
15	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Willis?
16	
17	MR G WILLIS: Chief Commissioner, I seek leave to appear
18	for the officer with the code name GEN11.
19	Tot the officer with the code name divir.
	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONED. That leave is another
20	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: That leave is granted.
21	
22	MR E OATES: Chief Commissioner, My name is Oates. I seek
23	leave to appear for officer designated GEN12.
24	
25	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, that leave is granted.
26	
27	MR B HALL: Hall for GEN8.
28	
29	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, that leave is granted.
30	Thank you, Mr Hall.
31	mank you, in harr.
32	MR H WHITE: Chief Commissioner, my name is White. I seek
33	leave to appear for GEN2.
34	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
35	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr White, that leave is
36	granted.
37	
38	MS P DAVID: Chief Commissioner, my name is Davie. I seek
39	leave to appear for GEN6.
40	
41	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Ms David.
42	
43	MR P McGIRR: Chief Commissioner, I appear for GEN3. My
44	name is Paul McGirr.
45	
46	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Certainly, that leave is granted.
40 47	Are there any other applications?
4/	ALE CHELE ANY OCHEL APPLICACIONS:



 MS CHAPMAN: No, chief Commissioner, but having mentioned the name of officer GEN1, could I seek a non-publication order.

THE CHIEF

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes. There is to be no publication of that officer's name.

Considering his rank, I must tell you, I might reconsider the need. He is in a different position from what I might call frontline officers. But we will deal with that at a later point.

MS CHAPMAN:

Yes, thank you, Chief Commissioner.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Bear it in mind. I am less certain about keeping his name confidential. But for the present, it will be confidential.

MS CHAPMAN: Understood.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right.

The scope and purpose of this public examination, and generally of the investigation being conducted by the Commissioner, is whether NSW Police Force officers failed to comply with the Law Enforcement (Powers and Responsibilities) Act 2002 during the stripsearching of several young people at the Lost City Music Festival on 23 February 2019 and whether there was conduct of the NSW Police Force that is or could be unlawful, oppressive or unreasonable in connection with the applications of the laws relating to searches, including in particular strip searches.

 Shortly, counsel assisting will open. But if I can just make the point, we have some particular examples which we will focus on, but the interest of the Commission is not confined to particular cases but rather to the general question of how the NSW Police Force deals with the exercise of LEPRA powers in the area of searching and stripsearching in particular.

It is very likely that the particular examples which the Commission has identified are but examples of much wider conduct which requires attention.



Unless I otherwise state, all exhibits will be confidential. In due course, it may be possible to make exhibits - for example, COPS entries - public, but that will require a redaction of identities. This is particularly so in a case of this kind where the persons subject to searches, by definition, are young people. initially, all COPS entries and other exhibits will be confidential unless the opposite is stated, but I rather hope in due course redacted copies can be made available. Counsel will have available to them on their screens - you might need to share screens - unredacted copies, but you are to regard them as confidential and you cannot disclose them, except of course to your clients, who are bound by the confidentiality orders.

In the event that you want any to go further, then you need to make an application in writing and I will consider it. Of course, the confidentiality requirement, I think, in the very nature of things, can't apply to your clients, Ms Chapman, but of course they need to deal with it in accordance with the general rules of confidentiality that operate in that space.

MS CHAPMAN: Yes.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I think, Mr White, your client also is entitled to access, because my assumption is that he has already had access to them just for doing his job. But he is bound by the rules of the NSW Police Force governing the confidentiality of police material. I don't need to make an additional order so far as he is concerned.

MR WHITE: Thank you, Chief Commissioner.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: A principal function of the Law Enforcement Conduct Commission Act is to detect, investigate detect and expose conduct that is or could be serious misconduct or serious maladministration. It is not bound by the rules or practices of evidence and can inform itself on any matter in such manner as it considers appropriate.

The Act further requires the Commission to exercise its functions with as little formality and technicality as possible and, in particular, its examinations are to be conducted with as little emphasis on the adversarial approach as is possible.

.2/12/2019



 Following this investigation, the Commission will prepare a report to parliament. The Commission envisages that particular reports as to particular investigations in this space will be prepared, but a general report relating to the systemic issues, drawing on the information gathered in the particular investigations, will ultimately be provided and hopefully will give a constructive way forward in managing this difficult and fraught area.

I now call on Dr Dwyer to open.

MS DWYER: Over the course of this week, the Commission will hear evidence in an inquiry known as Operation Gennaker, one of a number of investigations that have highlighted concerns with the legality of strip searches carried out by police on young people under the age of 18. The inquiry this week shines the spotlight on strip searches of children on 23 February this year at the Lost City Music Festival, an under-18s event held at Homebush.

It is important to note at the outset that there are two matters which the Commission is not investigating and is not concerned with. The first is whether or not pill testing should be introduced. The second is whether or not drug dogs should be used at music festivals. The Commission is solely concerned with the lawfulness of the exercise of police powers and the adequacy of the system put in place by police to ensure that the law is obeyed.

In this opening address to the Commission, I propose to proceed by setting out first the powers of the Commission and why a public hearing is to be conducted; second, the broader legal context; third, the nature of the lost city music festival held in February this year; and, finally, the specific three incidents that are alleged to have taken place, and focus on this issue of the legality of strip searches of young people.

The Law Enforcement Conduct Commission is a permanent independent investigative commission providing oversight of the NSW Police Force and the NSW Crime Commission. The Commission is separate from and completely independent of the NSW Police Force and the Crime Commission. This means that LECC can both investigate and oversight law enforcement conduct impartially and fairly. The functions



and powers of LECC are governed by the Law Enforcement
Conduct Commission Act 2016.

While many hearings are conducted in private, the
Commission may decide to hold a public hearing where it is
determined to be in the public interest to do so. There
has been significant public interest in the issue of police

the age of 18.

There are likely to be several reasons for that. First, a strip search is, by necessity, a grave intrusion into a citizen's privacy and dignity. Absent any legal justification, it would constitute an assault punishable by imprisonment. Little imagination is needed to understand how stressful and potentially embarrassing a strip search may be, even for law-abiding adults, even more so for young people with little experience of law enforcement in this context and limited understanding of their rights.

powers to stripsearch in the music festival environment and

beyond, and particularly to stripsearch young people under

In this investigation and in others, the Commission has received information from some of the young people stripsearched that their experience has had lasting negative effects for them, as law-abiding young people who were separated from their friends and searched, sometimes without a support person present.

 Many members of the public will have children, relatives or friends who they are concerned might be placed in a situation where they are stripsearched. There is great public interest in understanding what guidelines are in place to ensure that such a search is lawful.

Second, it is perhaps trite to say that the power to stripsearch can be lawfully exercised by police in certain circumstances, and it is accepted that there will be times when it is a justified and necessary course of action. This oversight body can aid police by investigating whether current guidelines can be clearly understood by police on the ground and are the subject of appropriate training. That may provide help for individual officers anxious to properly perform their duties.

Third, NSW Police perform a vital service for our community, often in difficult circumstances. Public inquiries that highlight the existence of laws and

guidelines governing intrusive searches can inspire confidence that law enforcement officers are subject to scrutiny. As such, it is an important part of maintaining public confidence in the NSW Police Force as an institution and the important work of its officers that such a public inquiry take place.

Fourth, members of the public may not realise that they are able to make complaints to an independent body with the powers of investigation, or they may feel unable to come forward with relevant information if an inquiry is underway that may facilitate other members of the public coming forward.

If there has been a breach of the law in the conduct of a strip search of a young person, then it is important for the public to know the answers to questions like: one, what are the circumstances in which that has occurred; two, was this a failure of individual officers to follow clear legislation and guidelines or are there systemic issues that provide some explanation; three, is there, for example, a lack of clear guidance as to why and when strip searches are to be conducted; four, do police receive sufficient training with respect to the legislation and how to implement it; and five, what is in place to ensure that those breaches of the legislation do not happen again?

A number of legal and policy documents govern the police powers to stop and search citizens, including at music festivals.

In New South Wales, police powers are governed primarily by the Law Enforcement (Powers and Responsibilities) Act, known as LEPRA. Part 4 deals with search and seizure powers without a warrant. Section 21(1) states that a police officer may, without a warrant, stop, search and detain a person and anything in the possession of or control of a person, if the police officer suspects on reasonable grounds that particular circumstances exist, including that the person has, in his or her possession, or under his or her control, a drug in contravention of the Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act.

Section 30 of LEPRA states that, for the purpose of a general personal search, a police officer may do such things as quickly run his or her hands over the person's outer clothing; or require the person to remove his or her



coat or jacket, or similar article of clothing, and any gloves, shoes, socks and hat, but not, except in the case of a strip search, all the person's clothes, and examine anything in the possession of the person.

Section 31(b) governs strip searches at music festivals like this underage event, and it states specifically that a police officer may carry out a strip search of a person if the officer suspects on reasonable grounds that the strip search is necessary for the purposes of the search; and that the seriousness and urgency of the circumstances make the strip search necessary.

Section 32 sets out requirements to ensure the preservation of privacy and dignity during such a search. Finally, section 33 provides specific rules relating to the strip search of children. Relevantly, that includes the requirement in section 33(3) that a strip search of a child between the ages of 10 and 18 years must be conducted (a) in the presence of a parent or guardian of the person being searched; or (b) if that is not acceptable to the person being searched, in the presence of another person who is not a police officer and who is capable of representing the interests of the person being searched and whose presence is acceptable to that person.

It is clear, of course, that that section is mandatory. A child cannot waive their right to a parent, guardian or independent support person.

The actions of police are further governed by operational orders which remain protected documents and are not subject to publication.

The Lost City Music Festival is a ticketed underage event held at Olympic Park in Homebush. It is organised and produced by Good Life Presents. It is strictly for children aged between 13 and 17, and patrons must produce identification to prove that they are between those ages.

The festival showcases popular and established musical artists as well as emerging Australian artists. The event website advertises that security and police will be present on site. It is a condition of entry that patrons may be required to submit to a breathalyser and drug test and all bags must be presented for a search. Both the website and the tickets issued specify that this is a drug and alcohol



free event. Any person suspected of being intoxicated upon arrival is to be declined entry. Any person found in possession of alcohol or a prohibited drug is referred to the police.

A number of security and emergency services assist at the festival. Police provide services for the entire festival to ensure the maintenance of law and order, including by conducting operations targeted at the use and sale of illicit drugs.

At the Good Life festival in February, the estimated number of attendees was 10,000 young people. A total of 98 police officers were deployed to the event, consisting of 79 rostered staff and 19 user-pay staff.

As at other festivals around New South Wales, a specific drug dog operation took place at the Lost City Music Festival. Numerous general searches were conducted. I anticipate that the evidence before this Commission will be that there were at least 30 strip searches, and I anticipate that the evidence will be that, in accordance with the paperwork that the Commission has access to, there is only an indication on that paperwork that a parent or guardian was present in five out of the 30 strip searches - that is, that if they were present, they were not clearly indicated as having been so on the paperwork.

Along with the three specific complaints to be investigated, the culture of stripsearching more generally and the reasons for those apparent figures will be explored.

I come now to the specific incidents that allegedly took place. There are three teenaged male complainants, none of them known to each other. None of the three were found ultimately to have drugs on them after a strip search, and each of them deny having been in contact with drugs at any time.

 The three young people came to the attention of police prior to being stripsearched for different reasons. The first, who will be known as GEN13C was intercepted as a result of a drug dog suggesting in the free air a positive indication. The second, GEN14C, carried a bumbag for the entirely innocent reason of being able to dance more freely, but since bumbags were not allowed, he

.2/12/2019



secreted that inside his shorts and was alleged to have been noticed shifting something in his groin area prior to entry.

The third, GEN15C, was seen in close proximity to a suspected drug deal and although he was ultimately found to have done nothing illegal and to have been carrying no drugs, he was detained along with a larger group of young people and ejected from the festival after his search.

I will deal with each of those in a little more detail now. On 2 October 2019 GEN13C and his brother, who had also attended the festival, were interviewed by investigators from LECC. I anticipate that the Commission will receive evidence that on the day of the festival, GEN13C travelled by train with his older brother and some friends. They had not consumed any alcohol or drugs and they were not in possession of any alcohol or drugs.

In the queue for the festival, GEN13C was in close proximity to others in the line. As they shuffled towards the entry, security staff from ISEC Security made their way through the crow subjecting young people to breath tests and questioning them about possible possession of alcohol or drugs.

GEN13C was breath-tested with a negative result. After passing security and entering the venue, GEN13C, his brother and friends, turned a corner to the right and saw various police officers, some with drug detection dogs.

A drug dog came and sniffed GEN13C's pockets, then moved to his brother, and then came back in the vicinity of GEN13C. The dog did not sit down, but before GEN13C could move on, two officers approached him and told him to keep his hands together in front of him. GEN13C was then separated from his brother and friends and removed to a separate area to be questioned and searched.

In his interview, to be received in evidence, GEN13C states:

I didn't know why I was getting searched really, 'cause when - I thought the dogs had to sit down and it didn't sit down and then they were, like, grabbing me and then I was, like, pretty scared. I had no-one

.2/12/2019



1 there, just two cops I have never seen 2 before. 3 4 In describing the numbers of police present, he said: 5 6 I mean, it was just - I don't know, they all sort of, like, look at you and when 7 8 there's, like, 20 faces, they all turn to 9 you in that blue uniform, like, I felt like 10 I had just done something wrong but, like, I'm just trying to go to a music festival. 11 12 13 Prior to being searched, GEN13C was asked to hand over 14 his wallet and phone, which he did. Police searched the wallet and asked GEN13C for his phone passcode, which he 15 handed over. Police then accessed the device and scrolled 16 17 through his message history. The officer in question did not ask permission from GEN13C to look at the phone, and 18 19 I anticipate that the Chief Commissioner will receive evidence that GEN13C just assumed he had to give it to him, 20 along with the passcode. I anticipate the Commission will 21 22 hear that GEN13C was then taken into a room. to lift his shirt and show his armpits, then to remove his 23 24 socks and shoes. Lastly, he was asked to pull his pants 25 down and the officer told him to: 26 27 Hold your dick and lift your balls up and 28 show me your gooch. 29 30 I anticipate that the Commission will hear that a gooch is 31 a slang term for the space between the testicles and the 32 anus. 33 34 The young person then lifted up his testicles and the 35 officer bent down to have a look, approximately one metre away from him. 36 37 In his interview with investigators, the young person 38 39 explains in detail what happened after being asked to pull his pants down. 40 I quote: 41 42 I sort of like froze for a bit I guess like, 'cause I was like, I had my shirt up 43 and then he's like, alright now like pull 44 45 your pants down. And I was sort of like, just stood there for a bit like, are you 46



He was asked

47

sure? Like, do I just pull down my pants

and show you everything or like what? And he's like, no pull down your pants, ah hold your dick and lift your balls up and show me your gooch. And I was like oh okay.

GEN13C said that he was so nervous he was shaking. He was asked by police whether that was because he had drugs on him and he explained that no, it was because he was nervous and hadn't been in this situation.

When nothing adverse was located, GEN13C was allowed to leave and re-enter the festival. I anticipate that the Commission will hear in GEN13C's interview that he was unable to enjoy the festival after what had happened. To this day, the event has affected his trust in police. He is fearful of being in close proximity to police in case he is falsely accused and subject to another search.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: How old was he?

MS DWYER: Fifteen years old.

In relation to the search, a COPS entry was created by an officer known as GEN9 some hours after the event. The second officer in the COPS narrative is officer GEN10. Both of those officers will be called to give evidence this week. Neither the COPS entry nor the field processing form identifies that this was in fact a strip search. There is no indication that a parent or guardian was present or ever offered to GEN13C.

I come now to GEN14C. On 1 October 2019, that young person was interviewed by LECC investigators. I expect that the Commission will receive evidence that he attended the festival with a friend. Prior to attending, he and his friend had secreted small bumbags in their underwear, knowing that they were not allowed to do so. The reason they wanted the bags was for the entirely innocent reason of being able to hold their phones and wallets while they danced, so that they would not lose their valuables.

Whilst standing in line, GEN14C was seen by security staff fiddling with his groin area because he was adjusting the bumbag secreted. GEN14C was told by police that he was going to be stripsearched. While being subject to a general search, GEN14C removed the bumbag and explained to police his reasons for concealing it and that he had no



drugs on him.

Police questioned GEN14C further and he was subjected to the strip search. I expect that the Commission will receive evidence that GEN14C was asked to "lift up his balls" and to "squat and cough". He did so and then asked, "Why is this happening?" I expect that GEN14C will inform the Commission that he was not told of his legal rights, and nor was a parent or guardian or support person present during the search. The search was conducted in a small room with GEN14C in close proximity to two male officers.

A COPS event for the search was created by Officer GEN12. It is dated 25 February 2019, suggesting that it was created some two days after the festival. It does not identify that a strip search took place, nor does it mention that a bumbag was removed and an explanation given from the young person as to why it had been concealed. It does not nominate an independent guardian or support person or provide an explanation as to why the support person was not there. GEN14C was 16 years of age.

Might I just correct a matter I referred to earlier. GEN13C was in fact only 14 years of age.

I come now to GEN15C, who was 15 years of age when he was stripsearched. On 11 October 2019, investigators interviewed GEN15C. I expect the Commission will hear that he arrived at the event with some friends and planned on meeting others inside. He was stopped by security because he too had a bumbag, but in this case not secreted. He was planning to carry his phone, headphones and wallet into the music festival because it seemed to be a convenient way to hold his valuables.

 Security approached him and told him that he needed to discard it, and he did so. On entering the festival, GEN15C noticed a lot of police and some drug dogs. After only a minute or so, he was rounded up by security along with six other young people, only three of whom he knew. He was told to move to another area but not told the reason why.

 He was moved to a secured and private area, where he waited for 20 to 30 minutes before being spoken to by police. He was initially subjected to a general search and told to empty his pockets and take off his shoes. Police



examined his phone and wallet. The young person denied having any drugs on him or being in the proximity of drugs.

A short time later, GEN15C was taken to a separate private area by a male officer, believed to be

A short time later, GEN15C was taken to a separate private area by a male officer, believed to be Officer GEN8, and he was subjected to a strip search. It is alleged that GEN15C was told to take off his shorts, which he then lowered to his knees. I anticipate that the Commission will hear that that already made him feel weird and embarrassed. GEN15C was then told to grab his penis and lift it up. The young person did so, keeping one hand on his shorts to hold them halfway up and one hand on his penis. At that time, the male officer inserted his hands - that is, the male officer's hands - inside GEN15C's underwear, making contact with his testicles. GEN15C states:

It was like that, just like checking like in the undie bit. Like they were, like his hands was, like it was touching 'em and then he's like ... moved to see if there's anything in the undies.

 It's further alleged that after doing that, the officer moved around behind GEN15C and placed both hands inside GEN15C's shorts and ran his hands around the young person's buttocks in a circular motion, apparently in an effort to detect if drugs were concealed around the buttock area. The officer's hands made contact on the young person's skin. The officer was not wearing gloves. Despite police finding nothing illegal, no drugs or any indicia, GEN15C had his wristband removed and was ejected from the venue, along with other young persons.

A field processing form suggests that the young person was suspected of possessing prohibited drugs and being involved in a drug supply, a fact vehemently denied by GEN15C.

 A COPS event was created by Officer GEN8, who is also listed as the searching officer. That COPS event gives a detailed account of how various young people were rounded up in that group, but does not provide an explanation as to why GEN15C was searched with no guardian, parent or support person.

I anticipate that the Commission will hear that as

.2/12/2019



at February 2019 there was no obligation for police to film a general or strip search and it does not appear that they did so on any of the three occasions that are being investigated, or at least that has not been provided to the Commission.

In order to understand the nature of the search operations at this event, the Commission forwarded a section 55 notice to the Commissioner of Police requesting all the field processing forms, event numbers and narratives for all young people stripsearched at the music festival.

In reply to that notice, a spreadsheet revealed that there were 30 strip searches formally recorded by police - three females and 27 males. A review of the narratives attached suggests that out of those 30 searches, only five were recorded as having involved a parent, guardian or independent person, in spite of the legislative requirements.

On one occasion, a 13-year-old girl was stripsearched by police in the presence of a representative from the organisation Red Frogs, but a check of her birth date reveals that she was only 17 years of age - that is, the support person for the 13-year-old was herself under the age of 18.

I anticipate that a theme in this inquiry will be the inadequacy of paperwork completed regarding some of the searches, a matter of significance given the gravity of a strip search and the significance of legal requirements.

Commission intel analysts interrogated the narratives and found approximately nine events in addition to the 30 which indicate that a strip search may have been completed but not recorded as such. Those COPS narratives mention the removal of a young person to an area designated for person searches.

Chief Commissioner, I have previously mentioned that the consequences of a strip search are felt acutely by some of the young individuals who explain that fact in their interviews. Other consequences flow from recording their details, in spite of a finding that no drugs were found and that the young persons deny ever having had drugs on them.



1 As a result of their interaction with police, all 2 three of the young persons who I mentioned - that is, young 3 persons 13C, 14C and 15C - are recorded on the New South 4 Wales COPS system as being suspected of being in possession 5 of an illegal drug and having been subjected to a person 6 It is possible that they will be subject to 7 greater scrutiny and surveillance in the future and 8 possible searches as a result of that intelligence holding 9 against their names. I anticipate that the Commission may hear that this is contrary to police guidelines, which 10 allow for police in circumstances where no drugs are found 11 to record the patron as "unknown male". There is a generic 12 13 COPS event number from that scenario. 14 15 It is proposed that 12 witnesses will give evidence before the Commission this week. Today, the Chief 16 Commissioner will hear from the first of 14 of those 17 witnesses, all of whom have been given pseudonyms. 18 19 20 At this stage, Chief Commissioner, it is not proposed to call the young persons, each of whom have participated 21 22 in a lengthy interview with Commission staff, but they may 23 give evidence at a later date in a private hearing. 24 25 Just before I conclude, I've just been handed a note. Can I just clarify the ages of the young people. I think 26 I was right the first time. GEN13C was 15 at the time of 27 his search; GEN14C had just turned 17; GEN15C was 16 years 28 29 of age. Thank you, Chief Commissioner. 30 31 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Very well. Call the first 32 witness. 33 The first witness is Officer GEN1. 34 MS DWYER: 35 <OFFICER GEN1, sworn:</pre> 36 [10.57am] 37 38 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Officer, you may be seated. 39 Thank you very much. 40 41 MR MADDEN: Commissioner, the evidence seems not to be coming up on the screen. 42 43 44 MS DWYER: I am told that there is a problem and that

epiq

45

46 47

I don't think we are in a position currently to deal

unfortunately the Commission can't fix it because it is an external operator. I apologise to my learned friend for

1 with it. 2 3 I'm afraid you will just have to THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 4 take good notes. Of course, transcripts will ultimately be 5 available to you, but I can't do anything about that. 6 7 MS CHAPMAN: Chief Commissioner, could I seek 8 a non-publication order in relation to the number of police 9 present at the festival. 10 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 11 Why? 12 13 Your Honour, I understand that GEN1, the MS CHAPMAN: 14 witness now in the witness box, can give evidence in relation to the need for the confidentiality in order to 15 protect the efficacy of police investigations. 16 17 I must confess, I find it hard to 18 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 19 see the connection. Perhaps we can deal with that in due I won't make it confidential at the moment. 20 21 May it please the Commission. 22 MS CHAPMAN: 23 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 24 Officer, why should it be Q. confidential, the number of police? 25 Chief Commissioner, it discloses, I guess, the 26 27 methodology and rationale behind our deployments, whether it be a music festival. S particularly around numbers of 28 officers per drug dog, I guess, is where I'm concerned. 29 30 Also, I guess, in a general sense for the NSW Police Forces, we have always sought PII around actual numbers of 31 32 police for any operation. I know that previously there was a GIPA request in regards to the coronial matter before 33 34 her Honour Grahame, where I myself obviously did not disclose the numbers of police, but we certainly gave away 35 information in respect to gender breakdowns of police at 36 37 those festivals. We don't --38 39 But each festival is sui generis, isn't it? Every one is different, every number is different, every location is 40 41 different. No-one could draw any general conclusions from 42 the fact that there were 90 or 500 police at any particular 43 festival, could they? Generally, my experience, Chief Commissioner, is 44 45 always to --46

0.

47

I understand that. I'm finding it difficult to see

1 a rational basis for it. 2 It discloses our operating methodologies based on 3 numbers of police. 4 5 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I don't think it does. I won't 6 make it confidential on that basis. 7 8 Might I provide the officer at this stage with MS DWYER: 9 a schedule of 6 code names. 10 11 There is a section 75 declaration as well. 12 13 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, I should make that. 14 15 Officer, you have almost certainly been told this already, I expect, by your counsel, bu I just need to do it 16 myself - that is, you have to answer every question you are 17 asked, unless I tell you you don't have to. You have to 18 produce anything you are asked to produce unless, again, 19 I tell you you don't have to. You can object to answering 20 21 a question or producing something, but you must 22 nevertheless answer and you must nevertheless produce. The effect of the objection is that what you say and what you 23 24 produce cannot be used against you in any proceedings, 25 except proceedings under the Police Act. You understand 26 that? 27 28 THE WITNESS: I do, Chief Commissioner. 29 30 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I can make a declaration under section 75 which, in effect, says that all your answers and 31 32 all your responses are to be taken to be made under 33 objection to avoid the necessity for you doing it on each occasion, and I take it you would like me to make that 34 declaration? 35 36 37 Yes, Chief Commissioner. THE WITNESS: 38 39 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Pursuant to section 75 of the Act, all of this witness's answers and everything that he 40 41 produces are taken to have been given or produced under 42 objection. 43 44 Thank you, Chief Commissioner. MS DWYER: 45 46



1	<examination by="" dwyer:<="" ms="" th=""></examination>
2	
3	MS DWYER: Q. Sir, you understand that you are known in
4	these proceedings at GEN1?
5	A. That's correct.
6	
7	Q. For the music festival known as Lost City that was
8	
	held on 23 February 2019, were you the event commander?
9	A. That's correct.
10	
11	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Had you performed that duty
12	in relation to other festivals beforehand?
13	A. I had, Chief Commissioner. Yes, there was a festival
14	known as HTID that occurred in January 2019, where
15	I performed the function.
16	
17	Q. That was the month before?
18	A. The month prior. That's correct.
19	p
20	Q. Was that for all ages or was it also a young persons
21	festival?
22	A. No, that was an over-18s festival only.
	A. NO, CHAC WAS AN OVER -103 TESCIVAL ONLY.
23	O Co that was only for adults)
24	Q. So that was only for adults?
25	A. That's correct.
26	
27	Q. I suppose for licensing reasons, is it, because they
28	were serving or selling drinks or
29	A. Yes, HTID was an alcohol-listed event. Traditionally
30	or generally music festivals are generally over-18 events.
31	Good Life or this festival that you are examining today was
32	an under-18s event, and there is only one other event I'm
33	aware of, particularly in the region I represent, which is
34	south-west metropolitan, there was a festival which is
35	16 years and above. It is a dual event.
36	To year 5 and above. Te 15 a add evener
37	MS DWYER: Q. Your rank is detective superintendent?
38	A. That's correct.
	A. Mac S confect.
39	O What is the male of the event commander)
40	Q. What is the role of the event commander?
41	A. The role of the event commander is to ensure that the
42	operation - well, the police deployments are conducted
43	lawfully. It is also to I guess ensure that the event is
44	conducted in a safe manner for both police and patrons who
45	are attending, and it is also to engage with the other
46	stakeholders who are, I guess, managing and operating the
47	event, to ensure that safety is paramount to the operation

of the event.

- Q. When you say that police deployments are exercised lawfully, do you include amongst that that searches are done lawfully?
- A. All aspects of the police deployment.

- Q. In terms of your liaison with stakeholders, did you meet with relevant stakeholders in the months leading up to the festival?
- A. Yes, so the first meeting that occurred for this festival was 4 February 2019. There was a stakeholders meeting that was conducted at the Royal showground, which is traditionally where we have all of our meetings. And there was a pre-event briefing and discussion around that at that point in time.

- Q. By "stakeholders", you include the organisers or promoters of Lost City; is that right?
- A. That's correct, yes.

- Q. And also police, ambulance and security?
- A. Yes. So at that meeting it was so the venue themselves, which is the Royal Agricultural Showground, they are the venue holders and operate and function to that premises. It would have been the promoters, it certainly was security, ambulance, police. From my recollection, also Liquor & Gaming may have been there, because of a history with the venue, even though this was an alcohol-free event, but they were still present. There may have been some other people there but I'm not sure who they were.

- Q. Was there any discussion at that first meeting or any subsequent stakeholder meetings that there may well be illegal drugs present?
- A. Not that I recall in respect to actual discussions at the event. The events are more about, I guess, the process the process of how the event will take place, let's say, opening times, and basically checking that each of the stakeholders or organisations involved have in place what they need for the event to take place.

 Q. Can I come to some of the paperwork prepared prior to the Lost City event. Your name is down I think as having checked a guideline called Operation Good Life 2019. I will show you this document. It appears at 8405629. It

.2/12/2019

- 1 is tab 15 of the brief of evidence. It can be up on the 2 screen. There are two names of the officers, though, on the front sheet, which I note must be subject to 3 4 non-publication. What is that document that I have just 5 handed you? 6 So they are the overarching operational orders for the conduct and deployment of the festival, for the police side 7 8 of the operation. 9 10 So they are prepared by the officer whose name is mentioned on the left, who is GEN3, and then checked by 11 12 yourself; is that correct? 13 Α. That's correct. 14 15 I think I'm right that they don't have any specific mention in them about LEPRA; they are more an overview of, 16 for example, the numbers that can be expected and the type 17 of event. I will come to other documents that do mention 18 19 LEPRA, but could you confirm that for me? Yes, just one second. No, on page 13, under heading 20 4.2.8 "Drug detection dog support officers", you will see 21
 - at dot point 3 "Conduct person searches in accordance with the provisions of LEPRA"

 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Sorry, what page are you

A. Page 13.

referring to?

27 28 29

30

22 23

24 25

26

MS DWYER: The large red number 13 in the bottom right-hand corner, Chief Commissioner, otherwise page 11 of 14.

31 32 33

34

35

36

- Q. Under the subheading "Drug detection dog support officers", there is a list of tasks, and that includes to conduct person searches in accordance with the provisions of LEPRA?
- A. That's correct.

37 38 39

40 41

- Q. No further specific explanation as to how those searches are to be conducted in accordance with LEPRA, just a general reminder of the obligation; is that fair?
- A. That's fair, yes.

42 43 44

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. How many people were you expecting to be there, more or less as patrons?

46 A. So the estimated crowd was 10,000.

47

1 And you knew there were drug dogs and you knew it was 2 likely there would be some positive indications - that's 3 a given pretty well; do you agree? 4 Yes, correct. Based on the event from 2018. 5 6 Q. Previous history? From 2018, this event, yes. 7 Α. 8 9 0. And of course unpredictable how many? 10 Α. Correct. 11 12 Probably more than a handful, though? Q. 13 That would be correct. Α. 14 15 0. So you knew these were children? Correct. 16 Α. 17 Youth, right? And you knew that LEPRA required there 18 19 to be support persons available if guardians or parents were not available - yes? 20 Yes, correct. 21 Α. 22 23 What arrangements did you make to ensure that there 24 were contact numbers for support persons or a group of 25 support persons present and available? So in the subsequent orders that are annexed to the 26 27 overarching ones, Officer GEN4 in your list there, who put together the operational orders and facets of that 28 29 particular operation, the drug dog operation, ensured there was an independent body present for the purposes of --30 31 32 Had you given him a direction to do that or did you 33 iust expect --34 Α. No. 35 -- that he would understand that was part of his 36 37 responsibility? 38 So I - I did not give him a specific direction. 39 However, that officer had also conducted the operation the year prior, with the same organisation acting in that 40 capacity as well. So the way that the region - south-west 41 42 metropolitan region - operates is we have a planning unit that consists of a number of officers who undertake to 43 44 prepare and facilitate the organisation of large-scale

45

46

47

festivals, supporting fixtures and the like, they undertake

operations across the region. Whether they are music

the planning phases of that operation.

Officer GEN4, who has been in that region for predominantly 10 years plus, has worked and commanded particular areas in respect to the drug dog operation components of just about every festival that has been undertaken in that region. So that officer is acutely aware of what aspects of his operational arm need to be arranged and facilitated in conjunction with the planning unit.

Q. I think this is probably a no-brainer, but it was obvious that some arrangements needed to be in place to ensure that there were going to be independent people available for searches, strip searches of young people? A. That's correct, and that was organised.

Q. Did you yourself check those arrangements?
A. I did ask the question and was informed that two officers from the SES would be at the event for the purpose of being independent persons.

Q. How is that organised?

A. How is that? So officer GEN4 made contact with that organisation and arranged - and facilitated those officers.

Q. Are these SES officers volunteers or is this part of their duty?

A. No. It is a volunteer organisation, Chief Commissioner.

Q. How would you assess the suitability? You have naked young people. How would you assess the suitability of SES volunteers to be independent observers at such an occasion? Have you got a process? Do you send out a questionnaire? Do you ask someone who is responsible? How do you assess that they are suitable?

A. Well, I guess they are members of a very reputable organisation, being the SES. They are volunteers. They volunteer --

Q. Yes, but it is not part of their ordinary duties to watch naked young people being searched by police, is it?

A. I guess their duties in this was to act as independent on a variety of functions. Their organisation gave permission for them to be present and undertake that function. It is a role they have done previously. As

.2/12/2019

I said, they are a volunteer organisation, as is the case



- Q. So is your answer, then, that no vetting needs to take place because, being volunteer SES persons, it can be safely assumed they are appropriate? Is that what you are telling me?
- A. That's correct. And my understanding with the SES is they do undergo their own checks to make sure that people are appropriate and fit for their organisation.

- Q. From whom do you get that understanding?
- A. I don't I have not asked anybody, but my understanding is that because at times they may be working with children in the capacity of the volunteer components they do, I would my understanding is they do undergo checks to ensure their suitability.

- Q. I just need to press you on that. Is what you are saying little more than a guess or do you have some basis for it? Is it just what you think would be reasonable and sensible and, therefore, you assume it's being done? What is the basis for your conclusion?
- A. My understanding is that my assumption is that that's correct, it is done. I can seek information for the Commission on that process.

Q. Yes, I can assure you we will be asking about that.

I would just like to dig a bit about your own supervisory granular knowledge, if you like. So you have GEN4 responsible for that area. Once you had assigned that task or he had accepted or undertaken that task, did you then just take a view he knew what he was doing, he had relevant experience and you didn't check any further? Or did you take some further steps?

A. No. So once I allocated the task of preparing the drug dog operation phase of the operation to GEN4, I was confident that that was - that process was undertaken. Once I received a set of operational orders from the office of GEN4, obviously that's where I was aware and was assured by the planning unit that the aspect of independent people was secured, and those names were known to the planning unit, and the aspect of his operation or part of the operation was on track.

- MS DWYER: Q. You understand that LEPRA requires that if a parent or guardian is not present that is, if the young person doesn't want their parent or guardian the support person must be someone who is acceptable to the young person?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. You understood that as at February 2019?
- A. Yes

- Q. Do you know what arrangements were in place or what instructions were given by police to ensure that the SES persons were acceptable to the young people?
- A. There was a briefing that was conducted prior to the deployment of the officers to the operation. So as I said, in this component we had two, I guess, separate deployments. One was the drug dog constables that were involved in the drug dog operational side of the event, and then we had our user-charge police who came on a short time after the drug dog operatives, who were then, I guess, managed for or tasked for crowd control inside the venue. So there were two separate briefings that were undertaken in regard to both of those groups and at different times.

- Q. Who did those briefings?
- A. So [NAME SUPPRESSED].

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: That name is not to be published.

THE WITNESS: I apologise. GEN2 undertook the briefing of both of those bodies, and Officer GEN6 gave a detailed briefing in respect to the drug dog constables that were supporting that phase of the operation on all aspects of the deployment for the drug dog operations.

- THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Were you present at those briefings?
- A. I was present at the commencement of both of those briefings, Chief Commissioner. I was then required to go to a different briefing with the stakeholders, particularly around the time when the drug dog operatives were being briefed.

- Q. I assume that the officers conducting the briefings would have had briefing notes to assist them with the briefing?
- 47 A. That's correct.

A. There was no direction in regards to what records they were required to keep. I know that for a fact, that Officer GEN6 had a screed that that officer would read from, and that was standard practice across all music festivals. It wasn't solely part of this festival. It is a screed that they read constantly in regards to the operational aspect and requirements of those officers for that specific part.

 With respect to the user pay officers or the crowd control officers, GEN2 - I'm not sure if that officer made notes, but I know that, having worked with that officer on many different occasions, over many different operational aspects, that they would thoroughly go through the operational orders with respect to the requirements for those officers who were conducting crowd control duties.

Q. Those briefings, who would be present?

22 23

A. Every officer that was involved in the operation, except - sorry, I should say, except the logistics officers. So we had three officers who were tasked for logistics only, and there was three additional officers who were conducting custody duties or custody functions, but they were stationed offsite at a nearby police station.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MS DWYER: Q. In relation to the period of time that you were at the briefings, did you hear any mention of the acceptable person concept, if parents or guardians weren't there?

A. Not while I was present, no. And I must say, the way that the briefings were conducted is that I would commence the briefing initially, where I would, I guess, set my expectations, what my requirement of each officer was, whether they were involved in the drug dog operations or the standard general crowd control operations. I think what is important to note at this point in time is that at that juncture, there was obviously a large interest and both organisationally and in the wider community around music festivals and the deaths that had occurred pre this event, the last death being Devqon, and I think there might have been one in FOMO, as it was known, shortly prior to this event. So the use of illicit drugs and the deaths

from illicit drugs at dance festivals was a very serious and major concern for police operations.

With that in the forefront of everyone's mind, those themes were certainly passed on to the officers by me. But my expectation was more about the professionalism of the officers, ensuring that my expectation was that they were professional at all points in time.

 Recently, prior to this, we had some issues in other festivals where media were excluded from the events at the direction of organisers by the police. So my briefing spoke about interactions with the media and that, in short, if there was any interaction with the media, then I was to be advised and I would handle those interactions so that I could ensure that the officers weren't doing - weren't hampering or contradicting any conditions of entries for the festival organisers or the law itself.

- Q. Do we take it from the answer that you gave earlier that the number of young persons who had passed away that summer was emphasised to police as being a reason why they should be searching young people?
- A. No, not so much as a reason why they should be searching young people, but it is a context I guess it is giving some contextualisation to what occurs at a music festival that is, that the prevalence of drug use is high at music festivals and that is the evidence from the organisation and from our previous dealings, and that people obviously there has been an increase in deaths at particularly music festivals leading up to obviously this one, and, sorry, also the additional parts of hospitalisation from overdose, reactions to drugs.

 Q. But you start this operation with the expectation that many of the young persons who want to go to this under-18 event will in fact be concealing drugs on them?

A. No.

Q. Doesn't it follow from what you just said that your understanding is that there is that there is a - I think, the prevalence of drug use is high at music festivals?

A. That's correct.

 Q. So did you start with the assumption that many of the young people attending this under-18 event would be concealing drugs?



1 A. No.

- Q. Would be taking drugs once they got there?
- A. No.

- Q. So you think even though the prevalence of drug-taking at music festivals is high, that didn't apply to this particular festival?
- A. No, it did, based on the actual detections and seizures from the 2018 festival, where nine persons were charged with supplying prohibited drugs, and we're not talking three or four pills, you know, quite high levels in that account; the fact that a number of persons were charged with possession of prohibited drugs from the year before, that certainly is given and in the forefront of the mind. But you are saying to me that my assumption is that most people coming to that festival were carrying drugs.

- O. No.
- A. Well, that's how I understood your question. My response to that is that whether somebody preloads with a drug or does not preload with a drug, the reality is and this is the reality that prohibited drugs are used by people under the age of 18 at these festivals.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Yes but we're talking about risk and therefore we're talking about the measurement of risk. Although, of course, that must necessarily contain a high degree of uncertainty, if not speculation, it's fair to say, isn't it - and I think you agreed with me much earlier - that you're looking at more than just a handful of these kids who are likely to be carrying drugs?

A. Potentially, Chief Commissioner.

- Q. Surely?
- A. Yes, potentially.

- Q. It is just commonsense, is it not?
- A. That's correct, yes.

- Q. So, I mean, whether you are going to say it's going to be 20, 30, 40, 60 or 100 is anyone's guess, really; correct?
- 44 A. Correct.

Q. Nevertheless, it's fair to say, as I gather what you are telling me, but correct me if I am wrong, that there



- 1 was a substantial risk that a significant number of these 2 kids might be carrying drugs? 3 The answer to that one is --4 Look, ultimately we know at least, and probably more, 5 6 30 were stripsearched. Let's ignore ordinary searching, stripsearching - 30 were? 7 8 Α. Yes. 9 10 Just so I can understand how you look at the arithmetic, would you regard that as a significant number 11 or an insignificant number? 12 13 Well, with respect to the overall crowd, I would say 14 that's an insignificant number, given that that's 0.3 per cent of the overall crowd, were stripsearched. 15 There was 1.17 per cent of the overall crowd that was 16 17 subject to a search. 18 19 So are you saying, then, that the risk is relatively 20 insignificant? Well, no, the risk of somebody bringing drugs in to 21 22 the event, for my purposes, is very significant, because it 23 can lead to death, hence why the operation was risk rated at a high - high rated for the risk assessment for the 24 25 purpose of that. But, you know, more so for a person under the age of 18 who may take a drug, the risk of death is 26
 - Q. It is virtually certain, though, isn't it, that kids enter carrying drugs which are undetected?

a lot higher than it would be for someone over the age of

A. That is the case, correct.

18, based on chemistry --

- Q. It is inevitable, really?
- A. That's correct.

MS DWYER: Q. It follows, doesn't it, from what you have just said that you knew prior to the commencement of the festival that a significant number of young people would be searched by police to determine whether they had drugs on them?

- A. As I said, my figures have we conducted 113 person searches of a crowd of 9,800, which is 1.17 --
- THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Indeed, but we're talking here about absolute numbers. In other words, you have to cope with the notion you have 20 or 30 or 40, even at

.2/12/2019

27

28 29 30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43 44

30 OFFICER GEN1 (Mc Dullan)

- 1 1 per cent or 2 per cent. So we're talking about absolute
 2 numbers here. So you would have to plan for you would
 3 know there's going to be more than half a dozen?
 - A. I'd certainly know that the possibility is there may be more than half a dozen, but the reality is that the officers need the reasonable suspicion to undertake the search. For me to sit here and say that before the event I knew with certainty, sir, that there would be 10 searches, 1,000 searches I can't --

Q. No, no, of course not. We're talking -- A. I can't give you a "yes" to that. We --

Q. No, no, please. I will give you some credit, please give me some credit. We're not talking about absolute numbers. Of course you can't say how much and I think I have probably said that four times. What I'm talking about is risk here.

A. Mmm.

- Q. When you are looking ahead, you don't know, but you are trying to assess risk. The only point that I'm making, which I must say strikes me as a commonsense point, is that the risk was you were going to be faced with 20, 30 or 40 kids that your officers would have a reasonable suspicion about who would be likely to be stripsearched. And that was predictable at the beginning, that kind of number, give or take, naturally. Is that not a fair proposition to make? Or were you surprised to hear that it was 30 who were stripsearched?
- A. I wouldn't be surprised because the figure on the previous year is the same number.

- Q. Let's move on. Officer, there is no need to be so defensive. I can tell you, I understand, of course, the public and the police are concerned with drug deaths and people who are badly affected by drugs. Of course it is important. And of course the police have to do what they can. So we start from there. You don't have to be defensive. What I am trying to do is to say: was your system, was your management not you personally, but structurally your management able to cope with not only the general management problems but the particular issues that LEPRA poses in relation to lawful searches? That's what I'm concerned with.
- A. I understand. I'm not trying to be defensive,
 Chief Commissioner. I just want to make sure that my --



1	MS DWYER: Q. Officer, do you agree with me that in this
2	document at page 5, there is a general reference, repeated
2 3	from the earlier document, that with respect to drug
4	detection dog support officers, one of their tasks is to
5	conduct person searches in accordance with the provisions
6	of LEPRA?
7	A. That's correct, yes.
8	
9	Q. But no specific instructions with respect to
10	stripsearching juveniles?
11	A. That's correct.
12	
13	Q. Is that right?
14	A. That's right.
15	
16	Q. And no specific instructions in that regard with
17	respect to the legal obligations of stripsearching
18	juveniles elsewhere in the document; do you agree?
19	A. That's right. Yeah, I agree with that.
20	
21	Q. Can I then provide you with this document, behind
22	tab 17, barcoded 8405683, and I propose to tender this
23	document. This is the arrest and process management plan;
24	is that right?
25	A. That's what it is titled, correct, yep.
26	
27	Q. Unlike the other two documents just tendered, I don't
28	believe your name appears on this; is that correct?
29	A. That's correct, yes.
30	
31	Q. Nevertheless, you will have seen this document prior
32	to the event; is that right?
33	A. No, I actually haven't seen this document.
34	
35	Q. Do you know who did prepare this document?
36	A. No, no, I don't.
37	
38	Q. Have you familiarised yourself with this document
39	prior to this?
40	A. No.
41	
42	Q. I don't need to ask you about it in circumstances
43	where you didn't prepare it or see it.
44	
45	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. One of the issues you would
46	have heard in the opening was the making of records, and
47	I don't see anything in the operational orders that makes

the point as to searching that it is of vital importance that you demonstrate in your COPS entry or in the field note that you have complied with the LEPRA requirements.

That would require, for example, in relation to a strip search - these strip searches, they were all on young people - that you ask them about their parent or guardian and they said they didn't want them, or whatever they said; you asked them - let's assume there was an SES officer available - were they happy with that officer being present. Then if they said, "No, I don't want any stranger around", then you would have to justify why you nevertheless did the search?

A. Correct.

 Q. So, in other words, a supervising officer would be able to know whether or not LEPRA had been complied with. I think it's fair to say that, by February 2019, the fact that LECC was looking at strip searches was a notorious fact; it was public, it was notorious in the police? Not that that should have made any difference, because you need to have your processes in whether we are investigating or not, but I thought that might sharpen the focus a bit.

I suppose in your position, you wouldn't have looked at the COPS records, but it is concerning that this aspect is not referred to at all. I must say that I would have expected - again, I'm a lay person and I know there were other fish to fry, aside from searches - that when you are dealing with searches, you emphasise the necessity for making appropriate records of what you did and why you did it. For example, you can only do it where it is urgent and it is necessary for that reason - you should have the reasons in your COPS so that compliance can be checked. Looking back now, do you think that kind of direction would usefully be contained somewhere in these orders or in the briefings that were available to officers?

A. Yes, and I agree with you, Chief Commissioner,

Q. Expanded?

42 Q. Expa 43 A. Expa 44 strip sea 45 evolved f 46 evolved,

A. Expanded, particularly around this aspect of strip searches. The field process form, which had been evolved from when I commenced in the region, they had been evolved, they have now evolved even further. Before an officer undertakes a strip search, at a festival or at

absolutely. And I can tell you that since, of recent times, our operational orders are somewhat more detailed.

another area operation, they will, before undertaking that strip search, go to a process adviser, I guess is a better word, and that adviser will make sure that the indicators that they are saying they have observed, or whatever the case may be, I guess justify them undertaking a strip search at that point. So our processes certainly have evolved.

Q. So compliance then is clearly recorded?
A. Yes.

- Q. And the vital thing of that is, aside from the fact that it reminds the officers of what they should be doing, that it enables management and supervision for senior officers?
- A. That's correct, yes. So, as I said, our processes have certainly enhanced and improved since that. I guess we go back to the point of, you know, the constables who are deployed to these are operational officers. They are not officers who traditionally will be in an office like myself. They are operationally on the street. They are doing involved in interactions with people on a daily basis, so undertaking searches, all sorts of varieties of operational activity. There is an expectation that, you know and I'm currently a commander and I enforce to my staff always that they are required to record the reasons for undertaking any action in their notebooks and certainly within COPS events, because obviously the Act calls for it.

Q. It is a vital part of their duty?

 A. Absolutely. I agree. So I guess in the days previous to where we sit today, there was an expectation that constables and sergeants know what is their requirement, because they do it every day, and that is enforced to them at these operational briefings. Without knowing fully the detail that GEN6 goes into, I am fairly certain from subsequent briefings that I have had recently, at festivals I've worked at, that they do talk about the importance of recording the justifications.

 Q. The interesting thing - and we will be asking this - is of course that the duty inspector or duty officer is required to go over the COPS reports for the previous day and should note that no record is made of this or that, and then should report back to the officer who made the entry and ask why and make sure, in other words, that there is a reporting. I may be unfair here, but I'm pretty sure



- Q. It is disappointing if that is so. First of all, it is disappointing that the COPS records are not complete as they should be, and it is disappointing if the supervising inspector did not note that that had occurred and take some action about it.
- A. The way that that process works, Chief Commissioner, is that a sergeant or a supervising officer would verify those events. Then the inspector at that relevant police station is required to dip sample yes, dip sample events. So because the drug dog operatives come from all nine police area commands within the south-west metropolitan region, the events that they place on to the system would not be verified by the planning unit team or the coordinator for the event or the logistics officers or custody people, they would be done by their relative supervisors back at their home commands.

Q. But those supervisors should know the rules as well?
A. Correct, because they are doing it every day.
Correct, I agree with you.

- MS DWYER: Q. You mentioned a change in the field processing form. Do you have a copy of that amended field processing form in court with you today?
- A. I can obtain that at the next break. That's not a problem.

- Q. I would ask that you do that. Might I just ask you now, you have refreshed your memory as to what the field processing forms looked like in February this year; is that right?
- A. Yes, I believe --

- Q. You will recall, then, that there is one line given for the reason for the type of search in that field processing form. Is that still the case with the existing form?
- A. No. The existing form has a number of tick boxes now.
 It also requires them to properly nominate if there was an independent or guardian present. And before they actually get to the point of undertaking a strip search, the supervisor who has that function needs to also sign to say that they are satisfied that the reasons to undertake the



1 2	strip search are justified from what the officer
3	Q. We might come back to that when we get - so that is here present in the Commission somewhere?
5 6	A. I can obtain that for the Commission.
7	Q. Thank you. Is that then applicable, that document, to
8	your area command or more broadly throughout New South
9	Wales?
10	A. That's currently deployed, as far as I'm aware, across
11	south-west metropolitan region. There is, on my
12	understanding, a project occurring in respect to the
13	broader standardisation of operational orders and forms,
14	et cetera, for - across the organisation as a whole.
15	
16	Q. In relation to strip searches or searching generally?
17	A. In relation to - not so much about strip searches.
18	I know that the organisation has developed two documents.
19	There is obviously an enhanced policy document in regards
20	to searches in general and there is a one-page, I guess,
21	ready reckoner which talks about all our functions and
22	powers in searches which has been distributed to all
23	officers in NSW Police.
24	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER. O. T. Heigh and a second of some
25	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. I think, as a result of our
26 27	own process, we are involved in discussions - I think that
28	is a work in progress. Do you understand that? It has come to, "This is our present position, but we are
29	reconsidering a whole area"?
30	A. Yes, correct.
31	A. les, correct.
32	Q. That's what I understand the position to be.
33	A. Yes, my understanding is in June 2019 there was some
34	additional material.
35	dadicional material.
36	Q. That's right.
37	A. Yes, that was placed out to the organisation.
38	
39	Q. But that was aimed, as I recall it, at searches in
40	police stations, although it's obviously applicable
41	outside, but that was primarily aimed at police standing -

46

47

Α.

.2/12/2019

Yes.

42

Q. -- that apply to persons brought into custody and then searched.

I'm not sure what they are called, operational orders --

A. Yes. But the way I actually read the document - and

also the one-page ready reckoner - is it just applies to the searches in general, whether they are police-station orientated or in the field.

3 4 5

6

7

1

2

- The difference is that you can only do them in the field if it is urgent and --
- Serious. And the policy document, I guess, talks to some of that part.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

The real difficulty is, of course, for your ordinary policemen is what is serious and what is urgent, which the training needs to flesh out, and that is the kind of thing we are having discussions about. Because part of the problem with LEPRA is that the standard is such a high level of generality, even the Supreme Court has difficulty in identifying particular cases. It is not easy at all. So that process is one that requires some attention. Α. Mmm.

18 19 20

EXHIBIT #3C SWMR ARREST AND PROCESS MANAGEMENT PLAN, BARCODED 8405683-8405692

21 22 23

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Anyway, that current document that you spoke about would be helpful.

24 25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

MS DWYER: 0. Just before moving on from the documentation, the document I showed you earlier, which is now exhibit 3C, the arrest and process management plan, you did not author, and in fact you didn't see, but can you accept from me that that just contains a similar general observation that police officers should obey their legal requirements under LEPRA, rather than going - there is no specifics about stripsearching of children; do you agree? Yes, that's correct. I guess, from just quickly having a read of this document, and especially the title, to me it seems to be an arrest and process plan for the Sydney Showground, or here it refers to Spotless Stadium. Within that stadium there are different events. There are AFL matches, cricket matches held. I believe, again--

39 40

- 41 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. You think this is really a generic document? 42 43
 - For that particular venue.

- Site? 45 0.
- Correct. Yes. Whereas this event wasn't held at the 46 47 Spotless Stadium site. It was part of the showground

1	precinct but it was not in that site itself.
2	
3	MS DWYER: Q. The reason for my question is I'm just
4	trying to identify what documentation, if any, guided
5	police into February 2019 as to specific requirements under
6	LEPRA for stripsearching children?
7	A. Yes.
8	
9	Q. Having dealt with that one, there is a final document
10	at tab 18 headed "NSW Police Force detection dog unit",
11	8405693. I'm just going to provide you with a copy of it
12	rather than place it on the screen. Officer, can you tell
13	the Commissioner firstly if that's a document that you are
14	familiar with?
15	A. Again, I can't say that I have ever seen this
16	presentation previously.
17	p. 050
18	Q. Will you accept from me that there is nothing specific
19	in that presentation
20	In that presentation
21	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: There is no point.
22	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER. THEFE IS NO POINT.
23	MS DWYER: I withdraw that.
24	PIS DWIER. I WICHUI'AW CHAC.
25	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONED. It adds nothing to document
26	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: It adds nothing to document.
	Anyway you want to make the point that it has nothing
27	particular about searching or searching children?
28	MC DINED. Vos thoules Commissioner
29	MS DWYER: Yes, thanks, Commissioner.
30	O The leads to this supplies. And you want from
31	Q. It leads to this question. Are you aware, apart from
32	the documents you have been shown, of any specific document
33	that did refer to the legal requirements for the
34	stripsearching of children that were shown to police prior
35	to the commencement of the festival?
36	A. Not a document I've seen. I can only go back to GEN6,
37	who - in that person's briefing I'm aware that the issue
38	was raised in that, in that briefing.
39	
40	Q. GEN6 will be giving evidence. Is it specific
41	information that you heard while you were at the briefing??
42	A. No. I was advised subsequent to the briefing that the
43	issue in the briefing of the operatives was raised and the
44	discussion was around the independent people were present
45	to aid in that capability.
46	
47	Q. And GEN6 will be asked to give evidence of that, but

e provided e you? onal orders and onal orders and onal orders and onal orders and onal orders and
onal orders and .6, exhibit 2C. cont of you?
ont of you?
ont of you?
ont of you?
ont of you?
ont of you?
ont of you?
ont of you?
ont of you?
ll just remind
ll just remind
ll just remind
_
_
_
ames of GEN4 and
ng authorised
nstructions in
music
4.
orner. That's
rner. That's
rner. That's
rner. That's
rner. That's
orner. That's and corner,
orner. That's and corner, sy, that that is
orner. That's and corner, sy, that that is
orner. That's and corner, sy, that that is
orner. That's and corner, sy, that that is
orner. That's and corner, by, that that is no relation to
orner. That's and corner, y, that that is n relation to oint 7, that:
orner. That's and corner, by, that that is no relation to
orner. That's and corner, y, that that is n relation to oint 7, that:
orner. That's and corner, y, that that is n relation to oint 7, that:
orner. That's and corner, y, that that is n relation to oint 7, that:
music

1 Then there is a specific note, in the last bullet 2 point, that this is an under-18s event: 3 4 Any patron found to be under the influence 5 of alcohol or drugs should be medically 6 assessed, and a patient/guardian must be 7 contacted to attend and collect their 8 child? 9 10 Α. Correct, yes. 11 12 Q. But nothing in there about the specific legal 13 requirements of the strip search; would you agree? 14 Not on that document, no. 15 Would you turn over the page. That page provides 16 instructions to officers of where there is a nil find 17 during a search. It says that even where there is a nil 18 19 find, the young persons are still to be considered for ejection from the event. Do you see that? 20 Correct, yes. 21 Α. 22 23 And that is by mutual agreement of the promoter, the venue and the police, and it instructs police to ask them 24 25 specific questions - in fact, to question them thoroughly to establish if they had preloaded with drugs or alcohol, 26 been in contact with drugs recently, have a history of drug 27 possession or supply and why else the drug dog may have 28 29 indicated on them. So are those things that might give the officer a justification for ejecting a young patron even 30 when they haven't got drugs on them? 31 32 So in February 2019 that wasn't the decision of the 33 NSW Police. So the decision to refuse entry to a person, to any event within the Royal Agricultural Showground, was 34 35 solely a decision for the venue. That's a standard on the condition of entry of every ticket that is sold at the 36 37 Royal Agricultural Showground. 38

The venue has the right to refuse entry to any person they so choose. The process - and I think you will find on - I will just double-check whether it is tab 15, or it might be within tab 16 potentially. There is a flow chart. I will just need to find it, excuse me.

So on page 8 of the operational orders, which is tab 16, you will see there is a flow chart on that document there. That basically is the process around whether police

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46



have the power to eject a person from a venue, and then if we don't have the legal authority to do that, and there is a certain number of criteria where we would have that person, I guess, spoken to by the - in this case it was the representative from the showground and a decision for that person to allow entry or not allow entry. And I know from this festival that after even being spoken to by the licensee, some of the young people were allowed entry to the venue.

- Q. But it is the case, isn't it, that police had a role to play in questioning those young people to determine whether or not, in their opinion, they should be allowed to stay at the venue even if they weren't found to be carrying drugs on them, and even if they weren't found to be affected in any way?
- A. No. So the role of the officers is obviously when they undertake the well, prior to undertaking the strip search, they will ask some questions about why would the drug dog indicate on the young person, if that was the case, or if there was --

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: One of the difficulties that 0. I have with that is that, plainly, that is seeking incriminatory evidence of a crime, and you are dealing with young people here. It is difficult to see how that collection of evidence can be justified if you are talking - it is not recorded, you are talking to a young person who has no support, not told about any legal advice, but is being asked questions concerning the commission of a criminal offence. It strikes me as somewhat unusual. My understanding of the way that it works is that it is an indication to say why - it's not about collecting evidence; it's about "Drug dog has indicated on you. Why would that be? Have you been around people? Are you in possession, from that point?"

- Q. No, no, if you ask someone, "Are you in possession of drugs", you are asking them directly about whether they have committed a criminal offence.
- A. I accept that, Chief Commissioner. I accept that.

 Q. Is it appropriate to question a young person about the commission of a criminal offence, for a start without cautioning? But there are special protections for questioning children and it is plain those protections were not operating.



47

that is you the police officer --

1 are still of the opinion that the person 2 may be concealing drugs --3 4 that is even when nothing is found --5 6 seek advice from the event commander, event 7 coordinator or custody manager for 8 a decision to be made in consultation with 9 the licensee. 10 So the police have a role to play, don't they, in deciding 11 12 whether or not the young person gains entry again, even if 13 no drugs are found? 14 I guess it is not - our role in that would be we tell 15 the licensee what has just occurred. So based on what we see or have interacted with, we tell the licensee that. 16 The licensee then makes that determination. We don't make 17 that determination. 18 19 I will ask you if you still stand by that if you read 20 Q. 21 the next paragraph, which says: 22 23 If you are of the opinion that the person has no drugs on their person, is not drug 24 25 or alcohol affected, and has possibly had a false indication, you may choose to allow 26 this person to enter the event, once you 27 28 have justified this decision to the event 29 commander, event coordinator or custody 30 manager. 31 32 So it is in fact the discretion of the police, isn't it, as 33 to whether or not they allow the young person to go back 34 into the event? 35 No, the decision is to - the decision of the officer is to refer them to the licensee. If they don't - if they 36 37 accept the fact that they aren't intoxicated, they don't 38 have any drugs on them and they haven't breached 39 a condition of entry, they can allow them entry. happens on a festival basis all the time. 40 41 42 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I ask you a general question, which is only marginal, though I have to deal 43 with it, and that is: you have COPS entries which deal 44

45

46

47

with kids who have been searched with no drugs being found. It just seems to me as a matter of general principle that

it should not be possible for that occasion to be, as it

were, placed in the scales against them in relation to possible future police action. Do you understand? Α. I do.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

1

2

- Especially if they are a child if it is an adult, one might have arguments, but especially if it is a child. What is your understanding about the present position? it still the position that forever against their name will be that they were reasonably suspected of being in possession of drugs, but, as it happened, we didn't find any, and that will still be intelligence that, if they were in any future interaction with police, would be used or might be used against them?
- No, I don't know if it would be used against them. I guess --

15 16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27 Q. But why should it be used at all?

Well, it's where we're recording the - I guess for these purposes, Chief Commissioner, we are recording the exercise of a power. We are saying that we stopped and searched a person at a point in time. Pre the organisation recording person searches, particularly when I joined the NSW Police Force, I would create an intelligence report saying that I stopped GEN1 on the street and I conducted a search, I didn't find anything, and the reasons why, and what he might have been - where he might have been, et cetera, what clothing he might have been wearing, et cetera, et cetera.

28 29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

That was the standard practice when I joined the police. These days, we create an event to say that we have interacted with somebody and have exercised a power under It's not used in any application that I certainly am - I have never undertaken an application where I say that, again, GEN1 has been stopped 35 times, searched by police and nothing found, to justify a search warrant, or any other application of any other law or affidavit, no matter what they are. But they are definitely a record that we have interacted with a person. I guess on the juxtaposed side of it, Chief Commissioner, it also, I guess, to some degree protects the officer, because at particularly a music festival environment, we will have complaints about searches, unlawful searches.

43 44 45

46

- No, it really is that you might have a mode of Q. expungement after a certain period.
- That part I don't believe does occur and I'm not sure

why - one way or the other why that would be.

MS DWYER: Q. Just before I finish on this point, do you see on that paragraph:

If you are of the opinion that the person has no drugs ... is not drug or alcohol affected ... you may choose to allow this person to enter the event...

Officer, in circumstances where a young person is not found to be carrying any drugs or alcohol, is not found to be drug or alcohol affected, has not otherwise been found to commit an offence, what could possibly justify not allowing them into the festival?

A. Again, it is a matter for the licensee. It depends on, I guess, the responses to the questions. If the person says whilst they have had an indication - let's say for example, it is a drug dog, and the dog indicates but nothing was found, but they say, "I was around two people yesterday who had consumed some cannabis", for a better term of words, "and my clothes must be impregnated with that scent", the licensee may form the view that because that person is around other people who were involved in the consumption of illegal substances, for example, they don't want that person inside the festival. But that's a decision for the licensee, not for the NSW Police.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. I understand that point. That is a matter for the venue, as you pointed out. They are entitled to exclude anyone for any reason - if their eyes are too close together they don't get in. But here specifically it is phrased in terms of the police making a decision about it.

- Q. Which is a bit at odds with it being a decision only for the venue.
- A. The way I interpret that, it is a decision about whether they should refer the young person or the person to the licensee. So they have the ability not to refer the person to the licensee and let them into and allow them entry to the venue as they normally would. Or, if they believe, based on answers or observations, they think that they should be referred to the licensee for them to determine should they be allowed into the venue, that's an observation for them as well.

.2/12/2019

Α.

Yes.

46 OFFICER GEN1 (Mc Dunger)

the opening?
A. The ope

A. The opening of the festival?

Q. No. I beg your pardon - the opening of this inquiry? A. Yes.

- Q. You understand, don't you, that a request was made to NSW Police to provide the field processing forms and COPS entries in relation to all strip searches?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Would you have an expectation, or did you have an expectation in February, that the field processing forms would record what guardian, support person or independent person was there for a child?
- A. Yes, I believe it is on the form, that it does indicate that. I would have to have a quick look at the form. But I'm pretty sure the form does ask that question. I think it says who else was present, or something along those lines.

 Q. I will just provide you with a copy, if I may, behind tab 5 of a field processing form which is in relation to a particular young person, GEN13C. If you just refresh your memory - I think you said that there should be a notation there for any other person present?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you in fact mistaken about that?

- Q. Are you getting confused with the changes that have been made in the new form?
- A. Yes, it may be so subsequent to this form, and again I can get some advice in respect because I know that pre the current form I was speaking, referring to, there was

Α.

Mmm.



1 another amendment to this version where it had who else was 2 present, in an independent capacity. 3 You accept, don't you, that this specific field 4 Q. 5 processing form used in February 2019 did not in fact have 6 a space to fill out who was present at the strip search of 7 a child? 8 That's correct. Α.

9

It does have a box which says "Any other rel information" - relevant - about three-quarters down the page?

Yes. I see that. Α.

13 14

- Would you have expected that box to contain information about who the support person, guardian or parent was?
- I would say probably no, in that, I guess, it's not specific about an independent person. From that part there, again, I'm not really sure of what that box would honestly refer to, whether it's about an action taken that is not recorded on the two lines above it - yes, I'm not really sure what that would refer to.

23 24

- Where would you have expected, then, in February 2019, police to record who the independent person was or parent or guardian?
- I would if it was me, myself, I would probably be placing it next to the box that says "Type of search", where it says "General - strip", I would be recording it there or recording it in my notebook.

31 32 33

34 35

36 37

10

11 12

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

25

26 27

28

29

30

Did you have an expectation, though, that police would record who the parent, guardian or support person was? In some capacity, whether that was on the form, whether that was in the COPS event, the police COPS event, or in their notebook. But it would certainly be, yes, who was actually the independent person present.

38 39 40

41

42

- Did you regard it as an important legal right for a child to have a support person, parent or guardian present?
- Absolutely. Well, it's part of LEPRA, yes.

43 44 45

46 47

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Can I just ask this, though, Q. because we have seen a lot of paper over the last year. all of that, I have never seen anything which actually



- describes what the role of an independent person might be. For example, can an independent person say, "Hey, you should not be conducting this strip search. You've got no basis for thinking that what is your basis?" "Oh, his pupils are enlarged", "No, they are not. I can look at them" so from kind of full-blown interrogation of the police officer, down to just allowing the police officer to do anything, right, what is the role, what is the acceptable role? I have not seen anything at all that gives any guidance, and it strikes me that that is an extremely serious lack of really important information. Do you agree?
 - A. I can understand what you are where you are coming from, absolutely. Again, it would go down to, I guess, the instructions that GEN6 may have given the independent people.
 - Q. Well, we will wait and see, but I would be very surprised if there is anything about what is the role. What you would expect at least is some pro forma that is given to the independent person or the parent or the guardian to say, "You can go and have a private conversation", for example, "Ask them how they are feeling, how they are going." So whatever it is, are you allowed to have a communication with the kid or you are not allowed? I would expect some pro forma that would indicate that, because almost all these people would be entirely ignorant of the process themselves.

A. Mmm.

Q. Do you agree?

- A. Whether they're they may not be, I guess, aware, as you say, sir, about the specific dot points. Certainly --
- Q. Well, they can see, obviously, the most obvious things if there was any assault or any actual indecency, though we get close to indecency in one of these cases, for obvious reasons I won't say any more about it now. But it is a potential problem. You would expect them to protest. So I can understand, as it were, for the grosser departures from proper conduct, it would be a deterrent. Because there is an independent person, it is a protection that is unlikely that the police officer, were he or she so minded I'm not making a general allegation, I'm talking about risk mitigation here.

A. Yes.

- 1 The presence of an independent person obviously is 2 likely to deter any such inappropriate conduct. But that 3 is not the only purpose of it, obviously, and we don't know 4 what it is.
 - Yes. Look, and it's something that, like, I guess Α. from myself, that's probably a very valid point, and maybe there - you know, I think in future, we could actually draft a document an independent 6 l person document.

10 11 If I may say this is part of the problem with those 12 operational orders where every police station in New South 13 Wales has its own. It has to be done institutionally. 14 has to be done as a corporate exercise so everybody is on

15 the same page.

> The templates that we do use are a corporate standardised template.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

5

6

7

8

9

- I understand that. I think that's essential to the 0. It has to be the corporate - the institution taking responsibility for these tasks and ensuring that it goes to everyone who is responsible for exercising those powers or managing that particular --
- And as I alluded to earlier, there is a current project underway to standardise these orders in respect to festivals and everything else.

26 27 28

29

30

31 32

I can tell you I have had correspondence about it myself with the relevant senior officers, but I haven't yet raised this particular issue, but I think it is a real issue that will need some response and we will make it part of our ongoing discussions.

Α. Yes.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41 MS DWYER: Officer, you will have heard in the Q. opening, that we were informed by NSW Police that 30 persons were subject to strip searches. documentation provided, it looks like there is a recording of who the parent, guardian or independent person was in only five of the 30. That must disappoint you, given your role as event commander?

42 43 44

- Were you aware of those statistics prior to being told that, through the LECC investigation?
- 46 No, I wasn't. Α.

It does, yes.

47

- Q. So there is no audit, that you are aware of, of the field processing forms post-February that would alert you to that information?
- Not to the specific content of an event per se, but there is an audit that is undertaken, and I can't recall when I commenced that process to be placed or put into place, but the audit now basically is that for every field process form that is used at a festival or an operation, the planning unit, on the Monday morning, will then review each of those forms to make sure there is a corresponding COPS event. So after seven days, the ones that are outstanding are rechecked, and after seven days if there is no event on the system, they then receive a personal email from myself or the operations manager, to the constable saving, "You undertook a search. You must now have a further seven days to have it on the system, otherwise there will be some additional action taken in respect of that noncompliance."
- Q. Does that process also check that the field processing form corresponds with the COPS entry?
- A. No, not necessarily in respect to detail. It just obviously ensures that an event is on the system, and I guess we go back to the point of there are supervisors and inspectors at stations where these officers come from to make sure that they detail the information that they are required to detail, whether it's a general search, strip search or the like.
- Q. Was there a process in place as at February 2019 where a supervisor checked over these field processing forms to ensure that they were being completed properly?
- A. In the event itself, yes, there was. We had two senior sergeants and a senior constable fulfilling the role of logistics and the process of the process area, the searching areas, and they would, when the form came back to the officer, they were checking to make sure that they were filled correctly as the form was at that point in time. Not to the specifics, obviously, that there was an independent person recorded on the form, but to make sure that the form was filled out, whether it had and the legal action taken or not taken, et cetera, signed, all that sort of stuff.
- Q. So that system in place as at February 2019, you will accept, wasn't sufficient to ensure that the independent person, guardian was being recorded properly?



1	A. On that form; that's correct, yes.
2	
3	Q. Are you satisfied that the system is now in place
4	where it would be recorded?
5	A. Absolutely, yes.
6	
7	MS DWYER: I'm reminded that tab 18 is to be tendered as
8	exhibit 4C.
9	
10	EXHIBIT #4C TAB 18 NSWP DETECTION DOGS DOG UNIT DOCUMENTS
11	BARCODED 8405693-8405722
12	5/11(CODED 0103033 0103722
13	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: And tab 5?
14	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER. AND COD S:
14 15	MC DUVER. Voc place Commissionen
16	MS DWYER: Yes, please, Commissioner.
	EVITETT HEC CODE EVENT DADCODED 0452400 0452501
17	EXHIBIT #5C COPS EVENT BARCODED 8453498-8453501
18	MC DINKED.
19	MS DWYER: Subject to the superintendent providing us with
20	the updated field process form and any questions that might
21	flow from that, those are my questions.
22	
23	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Do you have any
24	questions?
25	
25 26	MS CHAPMAN: No, I don't.
26	MS CHAPMAN: No, I don't. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in
26 27	
26 27 28	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in
26 27 28 29	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and
26 27 28 29 30	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but
26 27 28 29 30 31	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness?
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness? MS DWYER: I call GEN2, then.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness?
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness? MS DWYER: I call GEN2, then. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Right. Thank you, officer.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness? MS DWYER: I call GEN2, then.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness? MS DWYER: I call GEN2, then. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Right. Thank you, officer. <the td="" withdrew<="" witness=""></the>
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness? MS DWYER: I call GEN2, then. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Right. Thank you, officer.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness? MS DWYER: I call GEN2, then. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Right. Thank you, officer. <the documents="" dwyer:="" have="" i="" just="" might="" ms="" returned.<="" td="" the="" withdrew="" witness=""></the>
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. Subject to that - in fact, if you just would give it to Ms Chapman, and Ms Chapman, if you would pass it to counsel assisting - but don't go immediately just in case there are some questions that arise. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I rather think we have covered that ground now. Yes. Who is your next witness? MS DWYER: I call GEN2, then. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Right. Thank you, officer. <the td="" withdrew<="" witness=""></the>

OFFICER GEN1 (Mc Dunga) epiq

1 document, although it has a number in the index, doesn't 2 seem to have a number on the document itself. 3 4 MR SAUNDERS: In the middle of it, sir. 5 6 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, I see. 7 8 <OFFICER GEN2, sworn: [12.15pm] 9 10 MR WHITE: I seek a declaration under section 75, thank you, Commissioner. 11 12 13 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes. I just want to say some 14 things which undoubtedly your lawyer has already told you, but I need to go through this process. You are obliged to 15 answer every question unless I tell you you don't have to, 16 17 and you are obliged to produce anything you are asked to produce unless I tell you you don't have to. You can 18 object to either thing, but you nevertheless must answer 19 and you must nevertheless produce. The effect of the 20 objection is that the evidence cannot be used, or what you 21 22 produce cannot be used, against you in any proceedings, 23 fundamentally, except those that might be taken against you under the Police Act. 24 You understand that? 25 I understand that, Chief Commissioner. 26 The witness: 27 28 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: That has been explained to you by 29 your lawyer? 30 31 THE WITNESS: Yes, it has. 32 33 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I can make a declaration that avoids the necessity for you to object to each question or 34 each production, and I understand from your lawyer that you 35 are seeking for me to make that declaration? 36 37 38 THE WITNESS: Yes, Chief Commissioner. 39 40 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Very well. I declare under section 75 that each of this officer's answers and anything 41 42 that he is asked to produce is to be taken to have been 43 given or produced under objection. Thank you. 44 45 <EXAMINATION BY MS DWYER: 46 47 MS DWYER: Sir, you understand that in these 0.

epiq

1 2	<pre>proceedings you are known as GEN2? A. Yes.</pre>
3 4 5 6	Q. I will check if you already have in front of you a schedule of codenames?A. Yes, ma'am, I have it in front of me.
7 8 9 10	Q. May I ask that when you refer to an officer or a young person, you use those names.
11 12 13	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Just familiarise yourself with the list. Just take a bit of time.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
15 16 17 18	MS DWYER: Q. Sir, you are an inspector of police; is that right? A. Yes.
19 20 21 22	Q. In February 2019, is it correct that you had the role as an event coordinator with respect to an underage gig in Homebush?
23 24	A. Yes.
25 26 27	Q. That's the Lost City under-18s music festival? A. Yes.
28 29 30	Q. Had you had the role of event coordinator for any music festival prior to that time? A. Yes.
31 32 33 34	Q. On how many occasions? Some?A. I couldn't count, but it would be less than 10, probably more than five.
35 36 37	Q. And were any of those other occasions under-18s events?
38 39	A. I don't recall but I don't think so.
10 11 12 13	Q. What did the role of event coordinator involve? A. Predominantly in name it is to coordinate all of the resources. That includes, predominantly, the user-pays personnel that are deployed to the event; to any vehicles
14 15 16 17	or other technical resources that may be deployed to the event; to ensure that proactive taskings are upheld; to allocate taskings to personnel to do; and basically just oversee and coordinate the operation on behalf of the
	•

1	commander.
2 3	Q. Did you have any role in the content of the
4	operational orders for the event?
5	A. No.
6	
7	Q. Did you review the operational orders for the event?
8	A. I only received those at the event, but yes.
9	
10	Q. You received them at the event and you reviewed them
11	at that time; is that right?
12	A. Yes.
13	
14	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. I take it they were
15	conventional; there was nothing particular in them that
16	came to your attention?
17	A. That's correct, Chief Commissioner.
18 19	O Was it your pale as the coordinator of resources to
20	Q. Was it your role, as the coordinator of resources, to determine how many police officers should be present at the
21	event?
22	A. No.
23	A. 10.
24	Q. Who has the overall responsibility for that
25	determination?
26	A. Well, certainly my understanding is that that is
27	negotiated between the promoter and the region personnel.
28	
29	Q. Once that is negotiated, is it your role to provide
30	those resources and to coordinate them?
31	A. It is my role to lead those resources on the day.
32	
33	Q. Is it your role to determine how many of them are
34 25	user-pays police?
35 36	A. No.
37	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. So you are given the
38	resources and it is your job to make your best of them and
39	organise them as you think most efficient; is that what it
40	boils down to?
41	A. Well, the resources are all defined prior to the day,
42	and as the coordinator your role effectively commences on
43	the day, sir.
44	
45	Q. So, in substance, you tell them where they are going
46	and what they have to do?
47	A. Correct.

A. Yes, sir, the way that the user-pays is determined is that applications are forwarded for police to bid for those events, and they bid and they are successful.

Q. But there were other officers, who were not a substantial contingent, who were not user-pays? A. That is correct, yes.

- Q. That contingent, was that from your region or again from other sources?
- A. My understanding, sir, is that the drug detection contingent, the rostered contingent, were supplied through south-west metropolitan region, so from a whole range of the commands in that region.

MS DWYER: Q. Were you present at any briefings given to officers prior to the commencement of the event?

- Q. Did you in fact coordinate any briefings prior to the event?
- A. "Coordinate" wouldn't probably be the word I would use for that, but did I deliver a briefing, yes.

Q. You did deliver a briefing?

31 A. Yes.

- O. To whom?
- A. My briefing was to the user-pays police.

 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Sorry, to user-pays police? A. Yes, Chief Commissioner. So I guess as the coordinator, my predominant focus at that point in time, early stages of the event, is around the police who have been brought in for the high-visibility policing, the proactive side of it, as opposed to the drug detection side of it.

Q. So that we can have a convenient term, is "user-pays police" a convenient description for those officers?

A. Yes.

1 Or is there a more accurate description? Q. 2 Α. No, that's probably a reasonable way to describe it. 3 4 So they came into a room or a space, and you briefed 5 them. Did you use notes? 6 Yes, sir. I predominantly use operational orders and 7 the risk assessment to undertake all briefings that 8 I undertake. 9 10 So you would use that as, from your point of view, a sort of checklist to cover the matters that you feel 11 12 needed to be covered; is that the --13 Yes, correct. Α. 14 15 So there is no separate document that you created to guide you in your briefing? 16 17 No. I guess the only thing that I did do was make --Α. 18 19 I'm just trying to make sure that we've got all the relevant documents; that's all I'm doing. 20 I think I made maybe five or six words at the 21 22 most in my notebook, as just some heads-up of some of the administrative issues I needed to brief. 23 24 25 Of topics? Q. Yes. 26 Α. 27 28 MS DWYER: When you say "operational orders and risk Q. assessment", I will just ask that you be provided with 29 a copy of the document behind tab 16, which is now 30 31 exhibit 2C. Can you just confirm that these are the 32 operational orders and risk assessment that you are 33 referring to? 34 Commissioner, I understood my learned friend 35 MS CHAPMAN: to refer to 2C, which I think are the drug dog operational 36 37 orders. I'm just wondering whether the witness should be also directed to 1C. 38 39 Tab 2C are the long form of the operational 40 MS DWYER: 41 orders. 42 43 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: The drug dog unit document is 4C. 44 45 MS CHAPMAN: Understood. Thank you. 46 47 THE WITNESS: So I would say to you that the risk

1	assessme	ent is accurate to me, but as far as the operational
2		go, look, the content I would say is similar, but
3	-	fident that I have a - no, perhaps I'm not
4	confider	· , , ,
5	Comitaei	10.
6	Q. Hav	ve you got a shorter document?
7	-	
8	A. Yes	s, I believe so.
	О Т.	ill above you this decompant them subjide 10 habited
9	-	will show you this document, then, exhibit 1C behind
10	tab 15.	
11	A. Ina	at looks better.
12		
13	·	at's it?
14	A. Yes	5.
15		
16		en you also referred to your notebook where you made
17	a few sh	nort points. I will show you this document, which
18	I believ	ve is a copy of your notebook. It's 8456292. It is
19	behind t	tab 34. It doesn't have to come on the screen, but
20	I seek t	to tender that, Chief Commissioner, once it is
21	identifi	ied.
22	A. Yes	s, that's correct.
23		
24	Q. Tha	at's your notebook?
25	Ä. Yes	-
26		
27	Q. If	you have a look, then, at page 6 of your notebook,
28	-	s, "Sydney Olympic Park Good Life. 10,000 people.
29		sk". You've got an arrow going down from that,
30		s, drugs", and then another notification; is that
31	right?	s, anago , and enem another moter reaction, is enac
32	A. Yes	5
33	Α. Ι.	.
34	Q. Do	you agree that there is nothing specific in there
35	-	tion to the stripsearching of young people, for
36		, , , , ,
	example	
37	A. No	•
38	0 11-	- them desumentation that we well-d
39	-	s there any other documentation that you relied on
40	•	r briefing of the user-pays police?
41	A. No	•
42		
43	-	d any part of your briefing concern the potential
44		ipsearching of young people?
45	A. Str	ripsearching of young people, no.
46		
47	Q. Sea	arching of children or young people?

1 I would suggest no, because there were others also 2 undertaking briefings who were focusing more on custody, whereas my focus was on the proactive, high-visibility 3 4 policing side of --5 6 So your briefing was not concerned at all with the 7 legal requirements of searching young people at the event? 8 Α. No. 9 Is that fair? 10 Q. Yes. Α.

11

12 13

- And you assumed that other people might take that role; is that right?
- Yes. Α.

15 16 17

18 19

14

- Were you present at any briefing when there was discussion of the legal requirements for searching, including stripsearching, young people?
- Yeah I don't recall that occurring.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 0. Just so that I can clarify your role, for the searching of young people, LEPRA requires there to be parent, guardian or an independent person present. As I understand it now, arrangements were made for two SES officers to be available on standby - we will find the details, but to be available. One of the things that would be important would be to tell the officers who may be involved in stripsearching young people - give them a contact number so that they would know these persons were available and how to get in touch with them; do you agree? Α. Yes.

33 34 35

36 37

38

- But am I right in understanding that that level of detail was not part of your responsibility?
- It was certainly not covered in my briefing, because my briefing was, again, focusing on that high-visibility proactive --

39 40 41

I understand it was not in your briefing. 0. Α.

42 43

44

45

46

47

.2/12/2019

But looking back, was it something that should have been in your briefing, or do you expect some other officer to have that responsibility? I think, frankly, the reality is it was just overlooked, but I'm just trying to find out

- 1 where - it seems to be an obvious point - it should have 2 gone. 3 Α. The answer to your question would depend, so I need to
 - make sure I answer it correctly.

5 6 0. Yes.

4

7

8

9 10

18 19

21 22

23 24

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

43

- The answer to your question, sir, would depend on Α. whether I was the only person undertaking a briefing. So if I were the only person undertaking a briefing, yes, I would agree.
- 11 12 But are you suggesting, then, there was another 13 officer whose duty would be more aligned or briefing more aligned with that kind of information? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.

16 17 And who would that have been? Q.

- That, in this case, would have been GEN6.
- 20 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
 - Officer, I think you gave evidence earlier 0. that your role, at least in the morning, was to focus on the user-pays police; is that right?
- 25 Predominantly, yes.
 - What did you do for the rest of the day? 0.
 - So a number of things evidenced when I arrived, one of which was that taskings for those police were very, very generic. They hadn't been assigned geographic responsibilities. So one of the first things I did was pull all of the staffing aside, look at the experience of each of the officers, where they had come from, et cetera, assign geographic - I will rephrase that, create a geographic responsibility, so divided the event into four quadrants, and assigned roles and responsibilities to each of those.
 - To each of those user-pays officers? 0.
- Correct, into those four quadrants. Then effectively 40 41 I would describe the remainder of my day as putting out 42 spot fires as they occurred.
- 44 Did any of those spot fires involve the detention of 45 young people for searching to see if they had drugs on 46 them?
- 47 Α. Not specifically. That again is where the custody

1	area was utilised.
2	
3	Q. Were you within the custody area at all?
4	A. Yes, from time to time I did go to the custody area.
5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6	Q. Were you involved in arresting or escorting any young
7	persons who were detained to see if they had drugs on them?
8	A. I'm not sure, is the honest answer. So I do know that
9	at some point during the afternoon I was called to an
10	incident that had occurred. At the time I arrived there
11	was a number of people behind I guess what I would call a
	·
12	fence line. Whether or not I escorted those people later
13	I don't recall.
14	
15	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. When you say "people", you
16	mean patrons?
17	A. Yes.
18	
19	Q. Kids who had been at the festival?
20	A. It was actually a mix of security personnel and
21	patrons, yes.
22	putar one, year
23	MS DWYER: Q. Can I ask that you be shown this document
24	from behind tab 8. It is in the middle of tab 8, I'm
25	afraid, barcoded 8441315. It has a number of names on it,
	and so it does not have to come on the screen. It is the
26	
27	field processing form for a particular young person.
28	
29	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Did you say tab 8?
30	
31	MS DWYER: Tab 8, Chief Commissioner. It is the field
32	processing form.
33	
34	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I have it, thank you. This is
35	number 85?
36	
37	MS DWYER: It is, and it is for the young person GEN15C.
38	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
39	Q. Do you see that on that field processing form, sir,
40	your name appears as one of four officers involved in
41	either arresting or escorting?
42	A. Yes.
	A. IES.
43	O De ven somest that this is the sale Civilians .
44	Q. Do you expect that this is the only field processing
45	form where your name appears as the arresting or escorting
46	officer or one of them?
<i>4</i> 7	Δ νος

So, in fact, I think I suggested to you earlier that there were particular young persons' names and dates of birth there; they are in fact the names and dates of birth

that person's name, that's just me writing "SEC1.

43 44 45

46

Α. That is correct.

3 4

5

6

You spoke with Security 1 who provided you with Q. certain information about what he did on the day with respect to a young person?

Α. Yes.

7 8 9

10

11

In short he said that he had seen one person being 0. involved in what he suspected was a supply of one pink cap; is that right?

He said a little bit more than that, but yes.

12 13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

- Can you tell the Commission, then, what you were told, without using the names of any young person or security officer?
- So, basically, he indicated that he and another security officer had witnessed an individual, who I believe from today's proceedings is most likely - I don't have the name, but perhaps the third person mentioned earlier this morning - to be acting suspiciously and in such a way that the security officer described that person as - this is from the security officer, not me - wanting to supply prohibited drugs. As a result of that security officer's belief, he engaged that particular individual - he, the security officer, engaged that particular individual. As I understand it, the security officer asked that individual could he buy prohibited drugs from him.

28 29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36

Can I just stop you there, I just want to make it clear: there were a number of young people there that the security officer was telling you were in the group? Do you recall that?

Yes, but I think they were actually pointing in their direction. So, as you will see in my notes, I refer to them as the colour of the clothing they are wearing, because I didn't have their names.

37 38 39

40

41 42

43

44

I'm not going to take you to the COPS entry Okay. now, but would you accept from me - and the COPS entry begins at 8453420 - that the young person GEN15C is not the person who is seen to be handing over or suspected to be handing over a pill but is, in fact, in the vicinity. That I can't say, ma'am. I am of the belief that may be the first person who is engaged by security.

45 46 47

MS DWYER: I'm going to ask that this officer familiarise

OFFICER GEN2 (Mc Duncan)

1	himself with the COPS entry.
2 3	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. You haven't seen the COPS
4	entry?
5	A. No, sir.
6	
7	MS DWYER: Q. I will hand you a copy of that now.
8	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER. The date is a second
9 10	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Just give us the details while he
10	is reading it.
12	MS DWYER: Certainly. It's 8543420. This is the
13	documentation that is towards the end of tab 8.
14	
15	Q. Do you see there, first of all, sir, that POI1 through
16	to 8 are listed - they are a group of young people and
17	their names and dates of birth are given?
18	A. Yes.
19	
20 21	Q. The young person who is known in this inquiry is GEN15C is referred to as YP3.
21	A. Okay.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Could you just take the time, then, to familiarise
25	yourself with that?
26	A. Thank you.
27	
28	Q. Officer, do you see there that in fact there is
29	a group of young people, POI1 to YP8, who appear to be in
30	the same vicinity, and as you are told, the security
31 32	officer sees young person 1 and young person 2 appear to be
33	acting suspiciously; correct? A. Yes.
34	Α. 103.
35	Q. And the security officer then tries to determine
36	whether or not his suspicions are correct that that
37	involves drugs and actually approaches them to try to buy
38	drugs; correct?
39	A. Yes.
40	
41	Q. And, in fact, pays \$20 for a pink capsule, and that
42 43	exchange concerns young person 1 and young person 2; correct?
43 44	A. Yes.
44 45	n, ics.
46	Q. But it does not concern young person 3, who is 15C,
47	who is ultimately strip searched; do you agree?

1	A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. So what appears to happen is that the security officer actually performs an illegal transaction, doesn't he, by getting himself involved in what appears to be a drug transaction? A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12	Q. With young person 1 and young person 2, and then that results in eight young people who are in the near vicinity being rounded up and taken into a separate area to be searched; correct?
13 14 15 16	A. Yes, although I would say that the information I had on arrival - and I will be honest, I don't recall eight people being there - was that there had been some sort of fight occurring and that's why so many people had been arrested.
17 18 19 20 21	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. But a fight wouldn't justify a strip search, would it? A. No, sir.
22 23 24 25	MS DWYER: Q. You in fact don't refer to the names of young people in your notebook, do you? You refer to young persons 1 and 2 as a particular description, "maroon shoes
26 27 28 29	and grey shirt"? A. Yes. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. You didn't know their names
30 31 32 33	<pre>when you made your note? A. That's correct. Q. And you didn't subsequently find them out because they</pre>
34 35 36 37	moved on to other officers and that was the end of your involvement? A. That is correct, sir.
38 39 40 41	MS DWYER: Q. So did you have any involvement in filling out any paperwork like the field processing form? A. No.
42 43 44 45	Q. Did you have any involvement in making the COPS entry at a subsequent date? A. No.
46 47	Q. Did you have any involvement in checking the COPS entry?

1	A. NO.
2	
3	Q. Might I then just go back to the field processing
4	form
5	
6	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I should just say, the field
7	processing form is exhibit 7C, but I think I will make the
8	COPS entry, although it is a part of the same tab, I will
9	give it exhibit 8C.
10	
11	EXHIBIT #7C FIELD PROCESSING FORM BARCODED 8441315
12	
13	EXHIBIT #8C COPS EVENT BARCODED 8453420-8453429
14	
1 5	MS DWYER: Q. Might I then just go back, please, to
16	exhibit 7C, which is the field processing form - do you
17	still have that in front of you, sir?
18	A. Yes, yes.
19	
20	Q. You agreed with me earlier that your name appears as
21	an arresting or escorting police officer for this
22	particular young person who was not involved in that drug
23	transaction. Do you recall now what your involvement was?
24	A. No.
25	
26	Q. You don't recall meeting that particular young person,
27	15C, having seen his name on that list?
28	A. No.
29	
30	Q. Are you able to tell us anything else as to, racking
31	your memory, what you might have done by way of escorting
32	or arresting that young person?
33	A. I don't - so, firstly, I don't recall this individual.
34	My involvement in this scene came considerably after the
35	arrest had occurred. So I was called to this particular
36	location to provide some advice to GEN8, I believe it is,
37	who had requested my attendance.
38	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER
39	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. What was the advice he asked
40	you for?
41	A. Well, because - the advice centred around the
42	involvement of security in, let's just say, the purchasing
43	of prohibited drugs.
44 45	O Possuso that was a notantial ambanassment
45 46	Q. Because that was a potential embarrassment.
46 47	A. Yes.

- Q. And he needed a senior officer to tell him how he should deal with it?

 A. Well, I don't think he knew how to deal with that
 - A. Well, I don't think he knew how to deal with that component. Therefore, this is the difficulty I have, ma'am, is that my focus --

- Q. Was on the transaction which the security officer had undertaken?
- A. Correct, and the potential --

- Q. By the way, what was the outcome?
- A. Yes, so and the potential implications, obviously, sir, of what that meant to the festival. So basically it was about obtaining all of the information that had occurred to see whether or not there was any evidence on the best way to proceed with it.

I consulted the commander, GEN1. I consulted GEN1 and briefed him on the circumstances by which the alleged purchase had occurred, and between us we arrived at the conclusion - I will rephrase that. He indicated he would make some inquiries with the festival, the promoter, the Royal Agricultural Society, et cetera. Subsequently as a result of that, we agreed that, for a whole range of factors, the best way to deal with that was to take no action.

- Q. I suppose you would have warned the security officer not to do it again?
- A. I think my notebook says that, yes, sir

- MS DWYER: Q. In relation to the young person, GEN15C, do you recall being informed that he was subject to a strip search?
- A. I don't recall 15C at all.

- Q. Do you recall being informed that any members of that group were subjected to strip searches?
- A. No, but that doesn't mean that it didn't happen, I just don't recall it.

 Q. Do you recall being told at any time about arrangements for a parent, guardian or independent person to come to any stripsearching for young people?

A. No.

.2/12/2019

Q. Did you anticipate at the beginning of that day being

1	involved as an arresting or escorting officer in the strip
2	search, when there was a strip search of an
3	under-18-year-old?
4	A. No.
5	
6	Q. That wasn't part of your duties plan?
7	A. No.
8	A. No.
9	O Might T just dinast you back places to exhibit 70
	Q. Might I just direct you back, please, to exhibit 7C
10	which is still in front of you. There are various officers
11	listed as the arresting or escorting police. Without
12	saying any of their names, do you know whether they were
13	involved in searching GEN15C?
14	A. The honest answer is no because I don't remember 15C.
15	I don't recall that many young people being present when
16	I arrived.
17	
18	Q. Are you aware of who the officers were who were
19	involved in searching any of the young people who were
20	detained as part of that incident?
21	A. Well, GEN8 would have been.
	A. Well, deno would have been.
22	0 14 1 77
23	Q. What allows you to say that GEN8 would have been?
24	A. Well, GEN8 had carriage of the matter when I arrived
25	and it was GEN8 who had requested my attendance.
26	
27	Q. Did you have any discussion with GEN8 about parent,
28	guardian or support persons who might be present for those
29	young people?
30	A. Again, no, because the genuine focus on my concern at
31	that point was the ramifications to the entire music
32	festival as a result of what had occurred.
33	
34	MS DWYER: Nothing further. Thank you, Chief
35	Commissioner.
36	Commit 3 3 1 offer :
37	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONED. Finst of all Ma White do you
	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: First of all, Mr White, do you
38	have any questions?
39	
40	MR WHITE: No questions.
41	
42	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Does anyone
43	
44	MS CHAPMAN: Just one, if I might, Chief Commissioner.
45	-
46	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Certainly. It is open to the Bar
47	table - anyone is entitled to ask a question. I will rule
	,

1	on it, but I shouldn't imagine I would prevent any
2	questioning.
3	
4	<examination by="" chapman:<="" ms="" td=""></examination>
5 6	MS CHAPMAN: Q. Is it accurate to say that the user-pay
7	police were not involved in any stripsearching?
8	A. I couldn't say that with certainty ma'am, no.
9	
10	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Are there any other questions?
11	
12	<examination by="" mcgirr:<="" mr="" td=""></examination>
13	MD McCTDD. O To relation to the thought a decomposit
14 15	MR McGIRR: Q. In relation to the - there is a document prepared, the original writing instructions prepared for
16	the Good Life festival dated 23 February 2019, I think it
17	is
18	
19	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: This is the operational order?
20	
21	MR McGIRR: Yes, the short one.
22	
23	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: That's 1C, I think.
2425	Q. Do you have it there?
26	A. Yes, sir.
27	7. 163, 311.
28	MR McGIRR: Q. Are you aware if it refers to compliance
29	with the LEPRA provisions so far as conduct of searches
30	required?
31	
32	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: We can all read the document.
33	You don't need to ask this witness.
34 35	MR McGIRR: I'm asking him if he has read it.
36	THE PECTRIC. I III ASKING HIIII II HE HAS I EAU IC.
37	Q. Have you read that document?
38	A. The answer to your question, sir, is yes.
39	
40	Q. Could I draw your attention to page 11 of 14, 4.2.8?
41	A. Yes.
42	O At dat water those worden UT-all the base
43 44	Q. At dot point three, under "Task", it has:
44 45	Conduct person searches in accordance with
46	the provisions of LEPRA.
47	- r

OFFICER GEN2 (Mc Chanman)
duced by Epiq

Chanman)

Chanman)

1	A. Yes.
2	A. Tes.
3	Q. Is that a generally known section of the police
4	requirements as to what happens when they carry out those
5	sorts of searches?
6	A. Well, police are required to carry out searches under
7	LEPRA as part of their daily duties, not just at music
8	festivals, so the answer to that would be yes.
9	
10	Q. So it is generally well known
11	
12	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: No, no, you can't say "is
13	generally well known". I think you can fairly say that it
14	ought to be generally well known.
15	MD McCTDD: O To your experience is the constabulence
16 17	MR McGIRR: Q. In your experience, is the constabulary given lectures as to their obligations under that
18	legislation?
19	A. Police undergo mandatory training. There are a range
20	of factors that support and educate policing the
21	application of LEPRA, yes.
22	application of line, yest
23	Q. In particular, in relation to searching and
24	stripsearching?
25	A. In relation to searching, yes. I wouldn't want to
26	
27	Q. And stripsearching?
28	A. I wouldn't want to give a definitive answer here today
29	on stripsearching, but in the application of searching
30	powers, yes.
31	
32	Q. So are you telling this Commission that you don't
33	think there is special attention drawn to stripsearching?
34	A. No, I'm not saying that, sir. I just don't want to
35 36	make a false claim that we undergo regular, routine training on all of the sections of LEPRA. What I would say
37	is that the searching generally, which generally does
38	include stripsearching - there is mandatory training, there
39	are screensavers available to police. Obviously it is part
40	of annual competencies, they do incremental checks each
41	time to be promoted to a new level, and all of the powers
42	of LEPRA, all LEPRA powers, are testable in those
43	examinations.
44	
45	MR McGIRR: May it please the Commission.
46	
47	MS CHAPMAN: Chief Commissioner, may I, with leave, just

1 2	ask one more question?
3	<examination by="" chapman:<="" ms="" td=""></examination>
4	MC CHARMAN O OCC: III
5	MS CHAPMAN: Q. Officer, it is correct to say, though,
6	that the user-pay officers were not involved in the drug
7	dog operation?
8 9	A. Yes.
9 10	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONED. Doos it follow from your
10 11	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Does it follow from your question, am I to infer that the drug dog team - that was
12	the team that was doing such searches as needed to be
13	performed? Is that what you were intending to imply?
14	per formed: 13 that what you were intending to imply:
15	MS CHAPMAN: Yes.
16	TIS CHAITIAN. TCS.
17	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. I might as well ask you
18	directly: is that right, it was the drug dog team that
19	were doing any searches that needed to be done? Was that
20	the plan?
21	A. I think in fairness to answer your question fully and
22	frankly, what I think needs to be differentiated is the
23	drug detection dog operation are the police who stand at
24	the entrance, so they are the ones with the drug - with the
25	dog handlers. In terms of the likelihood of those people
26	encountering any persons that may require strip searching,
27	there is a higher likelihood that anybody involved in the
28	drug detection dog operation would be doing that. That's
29	not to say, however, that the user-pays police may not
30	become involved, as was the case referred to me earlier.
31	
32	Q. For example, if there was some suspicious conduct
33	elsewhere
34	A. Correct.
35	O they wished be brought about our law to be built
36	Q they might be brought - obviously you have to bring
37	them to a private place. You bring them to the same place,
38 20	but that would then not involve the drug dog teams. That would involve the officers who had the relevant suspicion;
39 10	is that what you are saying?
+0 11	A. That is correct, sir.
+ <u>+</u> 12	A. That is correct, sir.
+2 13	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes, Mr Willis?
14	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER. THANK you. 163, M. WIIII3:
15	<examination by="" mr="" td="" willis:<=""></examination>
16	
17	MR WILLIS: Q. Officer, are you aware of the Red Frog
	.2/12/2019 71 OFFICER GEN2 (Mn Willich

1 organisation? 2 Only from the festival, but, yes. 3 4 I assume from your answer that you are aware that the Q. 5 Red Frog organisation was involved in some way with the 6 music festival? 7 Yes. Α. 8 9 What was your understanding of that? Q. My understanding was that the Red Frog personnel were 10 there to provide sustenance, for want of a better term, to 11 patrons inside and sustenance - when I say "sustenance", in 12 13 the form of sugar lollies and Red Frogs - to patrons. 14 15 Were you aware of any role that they might have played in terms of being independent persons for the purposes of 16 17 searching? 18 Α. I am aware that occurred, yes, 19 20 <EXAMINATION BY MS DWYER: 21 22 MS DWYER: 0. Just following from that, you said you are 23 aware that occurred. Are you aware that occurred as 24 a result of the opening in this matter, or were you aware 25 at the time that that was the plan, that they would be part 26 of the personnel available? 27 I don't think I was aware that it was part of any I was certainly aware of the plan to use SES 28 29 volunteers to act as those persons. I believe, so I can't 30 say with certainty, that the Red Frogs personnel were 31 chosen on the day simply because of the numbers of people 32 being searched. 33

34

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: 0. So ad hoc, as it were? Α. Correct.

35 36 37

38

39

- MS DWYER: How did you become aware that the plan was to use the SES volunteers?
- I don't know, but I would have been briefed on that on the day.

- 42 Did you attend a formal briefing where you were told 43 that or you would have just been told that as part of your communication? 44
- 45 Yeah, look, the difficulty with an earlier answer I gave was because it was to answer your question, but in 46 terms of not being aware of another briefing, I was there 47



1	when the custody manager provided his briefing, of which
2	the SES personnel were also mentioned. The challenge
3	I have is that I was also referring to my own notes and my
4	own briefing, so there was no need for me to listen to it.
5	
6	Q. Is the custody manager's name on the schedule of
7	codenames?
8	A. Yes.
9	
10	Q. Who is that?
l1	A. GEN6. GEN6 is the briefing I refer to.
12	
13	Q. In terms of the use of the Red Frog volunteers, do you
14	know who made that decision?
15	A. No.
16	
17	MS DWYER: Thank you. Nothing further.
18	
19	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much. Yes, you
20	are free to go.
21	
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Chief Commissioner.
23	
24	<the td="" withdrew<="" witness=""></the>
25	
26	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Ms Chapman, I must say that
27	I have only just assumed it, but I would have thought that
28	an independent person for the purpose of LEPRA, you would
29	expect to be an adult, in the context
30	
31	MS CHAPMAN: Mmm.
32	
33	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: wouldn't you? I mean, maybe
34	that's not a nicety that some senior constable who is
35	trying to do his job would be aware of, but that must be
36	right, surely. Would you make some inquiries to see if
37	there is some corporate position on that, but it may be
38	just a commonsense matter.
39	
10	MS CHAPMAN: Yes, certainly I will.
41	
12	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: It is sometimes a bit
13	complicated, when you go to a festival, for example,
14	a 13-year-old or 14-year-old might prefer to have their
1 5	older brother or sister, but if they are only 17 or 18,

say, 17 anyway --

46

1	MS CHAPMAN: Yes, I see the predicament.
2	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER. The maint is though it should
3	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: The point is, though, it should
4 5	be clear. That's the point
5 6	MS CHAPMAN: Yes.
7	IIS CHAPITAN. YES.
8	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: All right. We will take the
9	luncheon adjournment. 2 o'clock.
10	Tuncheon adjournment. 2 o clock.
11	LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT
12	LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT
13	MR COFFEY: Could I mention my appearance for Officer GEN4.
14	Coffey, C-O-F-F-E-Y. I seek your leave to appear.
15	correy, e o r r E r. I seek your leave to appear.
16	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: You are seeking leave?
17	THE CHIEF CONTIDUENCE. TOO OF SECRETING TEAVE.
18	MR COFFEY: Yes, thank you.
19	The correct rest, chank you.
20	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes, that leave is granted.
21	
22	MS DWYER: My learned friend Ms Chapman has kindly
23	provided the updated field processing form. Might I hand
24	a copy to you, Chief Commissioner, and we will tender
25	that document. I have multiple copies here. In my
26	respectful submission, it doesn't require the recalling of
27	Officer GEN1.
28	
29	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Very well.
30	
31	MS DWYER: In those circumstances, might officer GEN1 be
32	excused?
33	
34	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Yes. Except - it is just if
35	something arises, because this is a long process - we may
36	need to have you back, but subject to that you are excused,
37	yes, thank you, officer.
38	
39	Very well. The field processing form, current
40	edition, will be exhibit 9C.
41	
42	EXHIBIT #9C CURRENT EDITION OF FIELD PROCESSING FORM,
43	BARCODED 7603223
44	
45	MS DWYER: Chief Commissioner, I call Officer GEN3.
46	THE CUTE COMMITGETONES IN CL.
47	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Ms Chapman, may I point out to



1 you the difficulty with this form, or a difficulty with 2 this form, which proposes mandatory questions, or without 3 surrounding it with qualifying language. 4 5 Yes, I will take some instructions about MS CHAPMAN: that, if I might. I heard what you had to say earlier, 6 7 Chief Commissioner. 8 9 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Obviously, this whole form needs to be - what has happened here, I think, is this has been 10 drafted by operational officers without the input of 11 12 appropriate legal advice. That's my guess. You could 13 understand, therefore - because this is what they are interested in. 14 15 MS CHAPMAN: Yes, possibly that's what has occurred. 16 17 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: It needs a bit more 18 19 sophistication. 20 21 MS CHAPMAN: Understood. 22 23 <OFFICER GEN3, sworn: [2.13pm] 24 25 Chief Commissioner, as you know, I'm acting MR McGIRR: for GEN3, and we seek the section 75 --26 27 28 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Certainly. I will get to that. 29 30 I have no doubt your lawyer has already told you these 31 things, but I need to repeat them. 32 33 THE WITNESS: Sure. 34 35 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: The first thing is that you must answer all questions that you are asked, unless I tell you 36 37 you don't have to, and you must produce anything you are 38 asked to produce, again, unless I tell you you don't have 39 to. 40 41 You can object to answering a question, or producing something, but you must still answer it and you must still 42 produce the document, nevertheless, the effect of your 43 44 objection means that neither your answer nor what you 45 produce can be used against you in any proceedings, except



46

47

of the LECC legislation, contempt or perjury.

proceedings under the Police Act or, of course, for breach

Now, to avoid the necessity for you objecting on each occasion, I can make a declaration that has the effect of all your answers being taken to have been given under objection or any request for a production also being taken as having been made under objection, and I understand from your counsel that that's what you want me to do.

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Very well. Pursuant to section 75 of the Act, all the answers given by this witness and anything produced by this witness on request is to be taken to have been answered or produced under objection.

<EXAMINATION BY MS DWYER:

- MS DWYER: Q. Sir, you understand that you are to be known as GEN3, by that pseudonym, in these proceedings; is that right?
- A. I didn't know that I was, no.

- Q. If you can take it from me that that is how you are to be referred to.
- 25 A. Okay, yes, thanks.

- Q. There is a schedule of codenames which presents pseudonyms for other witnesses. Do you have a copy of that? Otherwise I will provide it to you now.
- A. Here you go, yes, yes.

- Q. Could you just take a minute to familiarise yourself with the names on that list. When asked to refer to one of those persons, you will be asked to use their codename, please.
- A. Okay.

- Q. Sir, you have attained the rank of senior constable; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. That's the rank that you held in February 2019 when you were involved in this music festival operation for the Lost City; is that right?
- 45 A. That's correct.

Q. What was your role for the music festival?



1 2	A. I'm a planning unit, we're called an event coordinator.
3 4 5	Q. So planning unit recorder, did you say?A. No, event coordinator.
6 7 8 9	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Who were you answerable to? Who was your first A. At that time, my direct, actually
10 11 12 13	Q. No, just check the name. A. Yes. GEN1.
14 15 16	Q. So you reported to GEN1? A. That's correct.
17 18 19 20 21	MS DWYER: Q. I will ask that you be provided with a copy of what is exhibit 1C and also exhibit 2C. These are operational orders for the Lost City Music Festival? A. Yes.
22 23 24 25 26	Q. It looks like you might have something in front of you to that effect. Have you familiarised yourself with the operational orders for the Lost City? A. Yes.
27 28 29 30 31 32	Q. I will just make sure that we are talking about the same document. I will show you this document, which is exhibit 1C. This is the shorter version of operational orders. You will see that your name appears on the front of that document as having prepared those operational orders; is that right?
33 34 35 36 37	A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Was it the first time that you had prepared operational orders when you did so in February 2019? A. Can you repeat that?
38 39 40 41	Q. Had you prepared operational orders for a music festival prior to this time? A. I have.
42 43 44 45	Q. Had you been, then, an event coordinator for other music festivals prior to Lost City? A. I have.
46 47	Q. On how many occasions?

1	A Mayba three on four
1 2	A. Maybe three or four.
3	Q. And did any of those other occasions involve underage
4	music festivals?
5	A. No, not in my memory, no.
6	7. No, not in my memory, no.
7	Q. In relation to preparing these operation guidelines,
8	were they similar to ones that you had previously prepared
9	for all-ages music festivals or under-18s?
10	A. Yes, I think it is similar to that that was used, yes.
11	7. Tes, I chilik It Is similar to that has used, yes.
12	Q. Do you recall whether or not the music festivals you
13	had previously prepared operational orders for, before Lost
14	City, were for all-ages gigs, or over 18?
15	A. I think they were all over 18.
16	71. I chilin chey were dil over 10.
17	Q. Was there any change at all in these Lost City
18	operational orders for under-18s?
19	A. Yes, there were some changes, yes.
20	The second second consultation of the second con
21	Q. So referring, firstly, to exhibit 1C, what was
22	different for this under-18s festival than had been used by
23	you for over-18 gigs?
24	A. Obviously the difference is because we actually focus
25	on their age, they're underage. I say under-18, rather
26	than say underage anyway. So we obviously, you know,
27	expand actually the background, actually, of this music
28	festival, actually the focus is on the under-18.
29	
30	Q. I will ask you something specific then.
31	A. Yes.
32	
33	Q. Is there anything in this document in relation to the
34	LEPRA guidelines that is specific to under-18s?
35	A. Not specifically under-18s, but the LEPRA actually
36	obviously has been mentioned actually a number of times.
37	
38	Q. On page 11 of 14 there is reference to the fact that
39	the drug detection dog support officers should conduct
40	person searches in accordance with the provisions of LEPRA;
41	correct?
42	A. That's correct, yes.
43	
44	Q. But there is nothing in that document at exhibit 1C
45	which is specific for searching under-18s, is there?
46	A. No.
47	

- 1 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Are there provisions in 2 LEPRA which relate specifically to young people, are you 3 aware? 4 No. Α. 5 6 MS DWYER: Q. Exhibit 2C. Similarly there is nothing 7 specific in relation to requirements in LEPRA for young 8 people; do you agree? 9 Α. Yes. 10 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, I might have 11 Q. 12 misunderstood. What counsel is putting to you is that 13 these orders refer to LEPRA generally, so they don't refer 14 to any provisions that relate to young people - that is, 15 people who are under the age of 18. What I'm asking you is are you aware whether LEPRA does have specific provisions 16 relating to people who are under the age of 18? 17 Are you talking about, like, in terms of a search 18 19 or --20 Well, yes, in terms of a search? 21 Q. 22 I don't believe so, no. I haven't read it, LEPRA, for 23 a little while, but I'm not quite sure, yes. 24 25 As you sit there, you don't think there are provisions relating to underage - that is, under-18 - young people in 26 27 relation to searches? 28 I'm not a hundred per cent sure. As I say, I haven't 29 read LEPRA for a little while, but - yeah. 30 31 So you didn't reacquaint yourself with LEPRA when you 32
 - Q. So you didn't reacquaint yourself with LEPRA when you were drafting these operational orders, I take it because you just assumed the same thing applied for adults as applied to young people; am I correct?
 - A. No, no, at the time I read it, actually, and obviously we tried to actually but in my memory actually or actually my knowledge, LEPRA actually can use actually for the adults and the 18 anyway over 18, yeah.

41

42

33

34

35

36 37

- Q. So as you sit there, you thought they were the same arrangements the same things applied to adults as to under-18s; am I correct?
- A. Yes, sir.

- 45 MS DWYER: Q. Are you currently an operational police 46 officer?
- 47 A. I am, actually, but not street policing anymore.

```
1
 2
         Q.
              In what - in the police station? Where?
 3
              It is not the police station; it's an office building.
         Α.
 4
 5
         Q.
              So they're offices of the NSW Police Force?
 6
         Α.
              Yes.
 7
8
         Q.
              What is the command?
9
              It is called south-west metropolitan.
         Α.
10
11
         Q.
              Regional command?
12
              Yes, regional command.
         Α.
13
14
         MS DWYER:
                     0.
                          When did you attest for the NSW Police
15
         Force?
              When?
16
         Α.
17
18
         Q.
              Yes.
                    What year?
19
              2003.
         Α.
20
21
              You, of course, have to undertake mandatory training
22
         in various areas?
23
              Yes.
         Α.
24
25
              While you are a police officer?
         Q.
26
         Α.
              Yes.
27
28
              What training have you had in relation to the LEPRA
         Q.
29
         provisions?
30
              When I attended college in 2003, it wasn't LEPRA at
         the time, so LEPRA was introduced after 2003 --
31
32
33
         0.
              But you had some --
              We had - yeah, later on we had actually --
34
         Α.
35
              Just wait for the question, if you will, if you don't
36
                You had some training in search procedures, is that
37
38
         right, when you were doing your original training in 2003?
39
              Yes, yes.
40
41
              Have you subsequently had training in search
         procedures?
42
43
              Yes.
         Α.
44
45
              So have you had training since LEPRA was introduced
         about the legal requirements for searching?
46
47
              Yes, yes, yes.
```

Q.

Α.

With general duties?

Yes, yes.

45 46

Q.

Α.

45

46

47

For operational guidelines?

0.

44 45

46 47 is running late for work, so my job is actually try to make

Do you have any role at all in respect of the search

sure they turn up or whatever reason they didn't turn up.

1 procedures that are conducted on that day? 2 Α. No, not at all. 3 4 Any role in relation to the drug detection arm of the Q. 5 event? 6 Α. No, no. 7 8 Did you have any role in the preparation of field 9 processing forms? 10 Yes, I have my input too, yeah. 11 12 What was your input for the field processing forms for Q. 13 Lost City? 14 I don't think we actually have that actually for that one, but in general actually the field processing forms, 15 like, it has been updated actually, you know, from time to 16 17 time, just based on the, obviously - the different circumstances. 18 19 20 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. So we understand they have 21 been updated. Did you play a role in recommending some 22 changes or looking at some changes? 23 I did play a little bit of role there too, yeah. 24 25 And what was your role? What did you do? Q. I can't tell you exactly what, but I - like, we -26 27 sometimes we sit down with my supervisor or we sit down, we have a look at the form, see if there's any information we 28 29 need added to the form or some information actually we need 30 to take out, you know. Yeah. So I can't really tell you exactly what information I had, had the input at the time, 31 32 so - yeah. 33 34 MS DWYER: 0. What about after February 2019 - did you 35 recommend, yourself, any changes to the field processing form? 36 37 I don't remember that part of it, no. Α. 38 39 Chief Commissioner, I have no further questions MS DWYER: for the officer. 40 41 42 THE COURT OFFICER: Do you have any questions, Mr McGirr? 43 44 MR McGIRR: Yes, I do. 45

1 2	<examination by="" mcgirr:<="" mr="" th=""></examination>
3	MR McGIRR: Q. Would you have a look at document 1C,
4	please. The would you go to page 3 of that document. In
5	the third-last paragraph, it states:
6	the third rase paragraph, it states.
7	The event is for children aged 13 to 17
8	years.
9	year 3.
10	A. Yes.
11	Α. 163.
12	Q. Do you have that?
13	A. Yes, I do.
14	A. 163, 1 do.
15	Q. This is your report?
16	A. Yes.
17	Α. 163.
18	Q. And you have drawn that attention to whoever is going
19	to read this report?
20	A. Yes.
21	Α. 103.
22	Q. This manual, it's actually an operation manual,
23	really, the first draft or the first - this is actually the
24	first system operation manual that is going to be added to
25	and acted upon; is that what this document is?
26	A. Yes.
27	A. 163.
28	Q. So you saw your role as preparing this document,
29	highlighting the important features of something, and
30	particularly something that is unique. So the reason you
31	put that 13 to 17 years in is because that was something of
32	great importance
33	8. co. c
34	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Mr McGirr, if you wish to give
35	evidence, I'm altogether happy that you should, but you
36	must put up then, with the risk of cross-examination by
37	counsel assisting.
38	
39	MR McGIRR: Yes, Commissioner.
40	The Fiederick Fest, Commissioner C
41	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Perhaps you might ask the
42	question in a more open-ended way.
43	ques ellen un mer el epen ennen may.
44	MR McGIRR: Q. Why did you put that 13-17 year reference
45	in there at page 3 of the document?
46	A. Because the principle of this concert is for under-18.
47	The present of the content to for which to

1 Later on, if you go to page 11 of that document, under 2 4.2.8, in your report you put in that one of the duties of 3 the officers is to conduct person searches in accordance 4 with the provisions of LEPRA. Why did you put that in? 5 Because all the person searches should be use of the 6 police power under the LEPRA. 7 8 You have told the Commission that you haven't looked 9 at the LEPRA recently; did you mean a reference to the Law Enforcement (Powers and Responsibilities) Act? 10 Yes, that's correct. 11 12 13 You have read the relevant sections of that Act in the Q. past? 14 15 Oh, yes. Α. 16 17 You have a general knowledge of it? Q. I have. 18 Α. 19 20 But you are not actually on the beat, as we say, at Q. the present time, so consequently you have had little to do 21 with the enforcement or the use of that Act so far as 22 23 searches are concerned? 24 Α. Yes. 25 26 And so far as strip searches are concerned? 27 Α. Yes. 28 29 But when you prepared this report, did you have an expectation that the persons reading this report, from 30 constables upwards, would have either as good as or 31 32 a better knowledge than you of the requirements for stripsearching and searching? 33 Α. Yes. 34 35 36 Did you not put any further in about stripsearching or 0. 37 LEPRA --38 39 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Mr McGirr, I really don't get much help from leading questions of that kind, frankly. 40 41 I have already suggested they are not helpful. 42 43 MR McGIRR: You have made no further mention of LEPRA Q. 44 other than the matters to which I have drawn your 45 I will ask you again - was that on some expectation you had? 46 47 Α. Yes.

perjury or contempt.

44

45

46

47

that your evidence cannot be used against you in any

under the Law Enforcement Conduct Commission Act for

proceedings except under the Police Act or effectively

Do you understand that?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, Commissioner. 11 12 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Very well. I make a declaration 13 under section 75 of the Act that all answers given by this officer and any provision of any documents by this officer 14 are to be taken to have been answered or provided under 15 objection. 16 17 <EXAMINATION BY MS DWYER: 18 19 20 MS DWYER: Q. Sir, do you understand that, in these 21 proceedings, you are to be known by the codename of GEN4? 22 Α. Yes. 23 24 In front of you I hope that there is a schedule of 25 codenames where you will see the names of other officers and the pseudonyms that they have been given; do you see 26 27 that? I do. 28 Α. 29 30 When you refer to any of those officers, would you 31 mind please using their codename? 32 Α. Certainly. 33 34 0. You hold the rank of chief inspector; is that right? 35 Yes. Α. 36 37 In relation to the Good Life Presents Lost City event 38 in 2019, what role did you hold? 39 I didn't hold any. 40 41 I see. You were not event commander or anything like 0. 42 that? 43 Α. No. 44 45 Q. Were you present at the event? 46 Α. No. 47

Yes, Commissioner.

that obviates the necessity for you to object to each

counsel that you wish me to make such declaration; is that

question or each request, and I understand from your

Now, I can make a declaration

OFFICER GEN4 (Mc Dunnan)

Transcript produced by Epig

1

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

THE WITNESS:

right?

.2/12/2019

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER:

1 Is it correct that you had a hand in the operational 2 orders for that event? 3 Yes. Α. 4 5 Can I ask that you be provided, please, with 6 exhibit 2C. I'll hand you a copy of those orders --7 8 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Had you performed Q. a responsible role, whatever it was, in relation to earlier 9 festivals? 10 11 For under-18s or just in general? 12 13 No, just generally? Q. 14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 About how many? 16 Q. Probably in excess of 20. 17 Α. 18 19 Perhaps your role changed over time, but say the last half dozen, what was your role? 20 Either event commander or drug dog commander. 21 22 23 But you were neither on this particular occasion? 0. 24 That's right, I was not. Α. 25 26 Was that accidental? Were you on leave or it just happened that some other officer was selected or --27 28 I was on leave, Commissioner. 29 30 Had you not been on leave, you would have been involved, I take it? 31 32 Most likely. Α. 33 34 Q. If you look down the list of codenames, 35 sir, you will see Officer GEN1. Do you recognise that name? 36 37 Α. Yes. 38 39 Did you have a discussion with Officer GEN1 prior to the event in February 2019 proceeding? 40 I don't remember if I did. 41 42 43 You are aware that Officer GEN1 was in fact the event commander; is that right? 44 45 Yes, I know that. 46 47 Would you look, please, at exhibit 2C. They are the 0. OFFICER GEN4 (Mc Dunnan)

1 2 3 4	operational orders or the lengthier operational orders for this event. Have you familiarised yourself with them before coming today? A. No.
5 6 7 8 9	Q. If you have a look at that front page, you will see that the operational orders appear to be recommended by yourself and Officer GEN1? A. Yes.
10 11 12 13 14	Q. Having been prepared some time earlier by two other officers; do you see that? A. Yes.
15 16 17 18	Q. Do you recall having a hand in the preparation of these operational orders?A. Checking them, yes.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. I must say, when I read them, they smacked to me - not surprisingly, because this is not the only festival the police had to deal with - as rather generic, and I presume it was because, overwhelmingly, what applied to previously operated or supervised festivals applied to this one; is that right? So you used previous iterations of this document as your basic plan and unless there was a good reason to change it, you kept the wording because everyone was used to it. Would that be a fair A. Yes.
31 32 33	<pre>Q description of what happened? A. It is.</pre>
34 35 36 37	MS DWYER: Q. I think you gave evidence earlier that you had not been involved in the preparation of operational orders for under-18 events prior to this one; is that right?
38	A. I don't remember if I had, but I could have.
39 40 41 42 43	Q. But you had been involved in the preparation of or checking of operational orders where children under the age of 18 were present; is that correct? A. I would have, yes.
44 45 46 47	Q. Can you recall any operational orders that you either prepared or checked which gave specific instructions in relation to the searching of under-18s?

1 2	A. I can't, no.
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Do you see that in this document there is reference, at page 5, for example, that the task of drug detection dog support officers was to conduct person searches in accordance with the provisions of LEPRA? So it is page 5 of 10 or page 21 is also marked on the bottom right-hand corner.
9	A. I've got 21, but I don't have 5, yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Would you just look at page 21, then, do you see that there's a bullet point which refers to abiding by the provisions of LEPRA? A. Yes.
16 17 18 19 20	Q. But there are no specific instructions in that document, are there, with respect to searching children under the age of 18? A. No.
21 22 23 24	Q. When you read that document, do you recall thinking about the searching of under-18-year-olds? A. I do now.
25 26 27 28 29	Q. When you were actually checking the documentation, did it occur to you that police would be searching under-18-year-olds? A. Yes.
30 31 32 33	Q. Did it occur to you that there should be specific instructions there about how to search under-18-year-olds? A. Yes, I do now.
34 35 36 37	Q. But is it fair to say that when you checked it, it didn't occur to you that that's something that needed to be there? A. That's right.
38 39 40 41 42 43	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. So in hindsight, it seemed a sensible thing to do, to bring to their attention the specific provisions relating to the searches of young people; is that what you are saying? A. Yes.
44 45 46 47	MS DWYER: Q. As at February 2019, were you familiar with the specific provisions in LEPRA that related to searching children?

1 Α. Yes. 2 3 Were you familiar with the fact that when 4 stripsearching children, a parent, guardian or otherwise 5 a support person needed to be present? 6 Α. Yes. 7 8 And following on from the Chief Commissioner's Q. 9 question, do you agree it would be prudent to have in the operational orders what the specific requirements are in 10 relation to stripsearching children? 11 12 Absolutely. 13 14 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Aside from anything, you don't want these - basically, they will probably be fairly 15 young constables trying to make up processes as they are 16 17 going along. What you want to have is almost a tick-box. Make sure you get their parent or guardian, or do you know 18 who the - because we're going to have SES officers or 19 20 whatever it is available, make sure you have got their numbers and give them a call. As I say, it's one thing to 21 22 have it; it's another thing if you have got to make it up on the run. Do you agree that these kinds of operational 23 24 orders are to try to help these officers get through their 25 day's work? Is that a fair description of what ideally would be placed in these orders? 26 27 Yes, Commissioner, it is. 28 29 MS DWYER: Inspector, do you recall seeing at any 0. 30 time prior to 23 February the field processing forms that were to be used for that Lost City event? 31 32 Α. No. 33 34 Was it part of your role to determine what should be 35 on that field processing form? That's right. 36 37 38 Have you had any hand, after February 2019, in changes 39 to that form? 40 I would probably make suggestions, but it's not up to 41 me to make those changes. 42 43 But do you recall actually having made any suggestions Q. 44 in relation to the field processing form? 45 Possibly, yes. 46 47 Can you --Q.

epiq

5 6 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: don't recall.

Is that what you are saying? Which, the specific changes.

Q. Don't recall specifics?

No.

Α.

7 8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

Q. Can I just ask you this: in relation to young people, one of the protections is the independent person, however categorised, and one thing that seems to have become evident throughout - as you know, we have been conducting a general investigation into stripsearching now, focused on juveniles - and one of the things that I have never seen is something that gives either police or the independent person some idea of the role they are expected to play. They could just be witnesses there to make sure that nothing indecent or untoward happens. That's one level of oversight.

Q.

But as you sit there, you

19 20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

The other level of oversight - and I rather think this is implicit because the Act talks about being able to help them with their rights - is, for example, at one level you might say, "Why are you stripsearching this kid? What's the reasonable suspicion? Obviously he doesn't have anything on him." Or you might say, "Look, if you are going to make him take his trousers off, at least let him put his T-shirt back on."

28 29 30

31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

There are a whole range of things that an independent person might have, might be able to say. Amongst other things they might be able to do - and I'm not sure what the attitude of police is; I'll to ask you the question in a moment - is give the independent person a chance to have a chat, a confidential chat, with the child, ask them how they are feeling, how they are going, you know, do they have any problems. They are likely to be nervous and upset, "Don't worry", calm them down - you know, a whole lot of things. A father might say, "Listen, I'm with you, don't worry. Nothing bad is going to happen. They are just going to search you", so calming.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

There are a whole lot of ways in which an independent person might actually help the process as distinct from But there is no guidance whatever. a father comes in and says, "Listen, can I have a talk to Jack", they don't know whether to say, "Yes, that's right",



- Q. But have you ever been party to discussions about what is this independent person supposed to do?
- A. That's actually a good point because I've tried to look amongst our standard operating procedures to see if there was such parameters, and I wasn't able to find one. Yet we do have guidelines for a supporting person, for someone in custody, for, say, a vulnerable person.

- Q. That's right, or an Aboriginal, for example. So it is not only young people. That's quite right.
- A. No. So that is missing and that's something that we are already starting to address within my region.

 Q. But you are doing it on a regional level; it's not being addressed - you know the issue that we have had, I think it is now probably widespread knowledge, when we asked for all the standard operating procedures across New South Wales, we got a different one for each police station?

28 A. Yes.

Q. That is obviously not desirable?

A. No.

- Q. It needs to be a corporate position. But would you see yourselves approaching the problem, coming to a solution and then suggesting a corporate approach along the lines that you thought might be useful? How would you progress it, in other words?
- A. Well, I would like to think that our region, which is south-west metro, is a fairly progressive type of region and we are at the forefront of identifying issues such as this. So once that is addressed and identified, I think it definitely would go to the corporate level.

- Q. Because it is not rocket science. Once you sit down to think about it, the answers become fairly clear, don't they?
- A. They do. But they are not there.

- Why did you do it on this occasion? Q.
- Mainly because I had a contact with the SES close to a police station that we use and I believed that through that contact I could obtain some SES personnel for this operation.
- Did somebody ask you to obtain the presence of an independent support person or did you take that upon yourself?
- Α. I think I volunteered that.
- You understand that the Chief Commissioner has had 0. a response from the Assistant Commissioner in relation to certain questions asked - are you aware of that? Α. No.
- What this Commission is told by him is this: the SES volunteers were organised by yourself --Mmm-hmm.
- 44 -- that is you as GEN4, who is the commander - and he 45 nominates a particular region. He says that you have a working relationship with the SES and you specifically 46 47 requested their assistance for this event; is that correct

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

1	so far?
2	A. Yes.
3	
4 5	Q. He goes on to say:
6	The volunteers already held the appropriate
7	working with children clearance based on
8	their primary employment in a New South
9	Wales Health hospital, one of whom was
10	a registered nurse and the other was
11	a wardsperson.
12	
13	Were you aware of that?
14	A. I was later.
15	
16	Q. So at the time that you arranged for the SES
17	volunteers, were you aware of whether or not they had
18	a working with children check?
19	A. No.
20	0 4
21	Q. Are you aware generally as to whether or not SES
22	volunteers do have a working with children check?
23 24	A. I don't know, no.
25	Q. Is it fair to say from your answers so far that that
26	was not something that you thought qualified them for that
27	role?
28	A. Yes.
29	7. 163.
30	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. I think it is fair to say,
31	because I did do a bit of research on this myself, that if
32	the volunteers are otherwise in work that requires
33	a working with children permit, then, of course, they would
34	have it, but many SES volunteers - they come from all walks
35	of life?
36	A. Definitely.
37	
38	Q. They might or might not have that, and they are not
39	required - indeed, even permanent employees of SES are not
40	required to have working with children checks. I think
41	that is the position. I'm not asking you for expert
42	opinion, but is that broadly what you understand the
43	position to be?
44	A. I do, but, see, that's the difficulty, Commissioner,
45 46	with the independent person for under-aged events such as
/Ih	INIC

1 Q. Indeed. 2 It doesn't matter who we get from what field, they are 3 never going to be able to stand in for a parent or 4 a sibling. It's not going to be enough. 5 6 Because there won't be confidence? 0. 7 Never. Α. 8 9 You might trust your dad or your mum - or not, but you Q. 10 are more likely to than some complete stranger? Exactly. 11 Α. 12 13 And that may be why it's sensible to allow them to 14 have a bit of confidential discussion beforehand, you know? It wouldn't hurt. 15 16 17 Someone could say, "Listen, I've got a son your age, Q. I know what it's like", something that might just 18 19 help give that extra bit of support? Definitely, yeah. 20 21 22 I notice that these are volunteer SES and they are not 23 full-time SES workers. Was that just happenstance? 24 I think the majority of SES are volunteers. 25 26 MS DWYER: Q. At that time, in February 2019 when you organised the SES, do you recall turning your mind to 27 whether or not they would be capable of representing the 28 29

interests of a young person in a search environment? Doctor, the way I saw it, the SES are a respected volunteer organisation, and that's the - hence they were my first point of call.

Did you actually have a think, though, about what were the interests of the child in that environment? Yes. Α.

Q. What did you think the interests of the child were? Probably their safety, their rights. Α.

Did you then have an expectation that somebody from the SES would tell the child what their rights were? Yes, but then we would have to brief them first. Α.

So does that underscore the need, really, to have a briefing for the person who is going to be the independent person standing in for a parent or guardian?

30 31

32 33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

1	A. Most definitely.
2	Q. Did you understand
4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Q. Indeed, the extent to which it is practical or not, I mean, the parent needs to know as well. Depending in particular, I think, on what socioeconomic group or community they come from, they may well be totally ignorant A. To what is going on.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q of what's going on and their rights, which rather suggests some screed or other should be given to them and they should have a few minutes to try to absorb it. It is pretty shocking, I should think, if your kid suddenly - if you get a phone call in the middle of the night and you need to go somewhere because your kid is going to be searched. A. Yes.
20 21 22 23 24 25	Q. It actually does require - I mean, commonsense suggests what ought to be told, but then it does require some care as to how one gets that information across and what is involved. It's not altogether straightforward? A. It's not.
26 27 28 29 30 31	Q. And then if you have problems with language in addition, that complicates - that's another level of complication?A. Yes. And also with these festivals, Commissioner, people come from fairly far away and their parents aren't available on short notice.
33 34 35 36 37 38	Q. Quite. That's certainly true. But another aspect that I can find nothing on is what makes a search necessary and urgent. That's another test in the Act? A. The seriousness and the urgency.
39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Q. The seriousness and the urgency. There is nothing that gives a hint about what that might mean and, again, you've got young officers just trying to do their best on the run, which is not a very good process? A. No. But, Commissioner, that's why - why are we even speaking about ambiguity with the legislation? It shouldn't even be there. It should be spelt out what seriousness and urgency is, because I'm sure everyone in
17	this room would have a different opinion.

I can assure you, much better minds than mine have But the essential problem is the infinite attempted that. variety of circumstances and trying to think of language. Let me tell you what I think about one approach, but I'm not a police officer, I'm a layperson. Let's leave the festival experience, although what I'm about to say could apply to that, but let's - well, no, let's take the festival experience. What happens is, or what I suggest should happen is, no names, no pack drill. Who did the searches, you meet in a room, you had a cup of coffee and a biscuit and you talk about, "Why did you do the search? How did you do the search? What was the response to the search? Could you have done it this way or that way?" You debrief people, and you give guidance - because they are experienced officers - in the context of a particular circumstance, which is much more powerful learning than hypotheticals and just learning what the law is. I mean, what is a reasonable suspicion? Courts continuously quote a particular judgment, which, when you look at it, does not in fact advance knowledge very far. And that is because, in a way, how long is a piece of string? There is no bright line here.

In the end, what is important is the police get to do their job without silly limits, but on the other hand, people's rights are respected without unnecessary intrusion. So between those two conflicting points of view, one has to try to find balance, and it can only be done really in a way of practical negotiation and working things out.

A. Certainly.

Q. That's my own view. That's why we are never going to get to a formula. But what I think we can do is develop practical ways of working through the issues. My problem - I will be perfectly candid with you - as I've been going through this process, is that I can't see any real evidence of willingness to go further than the language of the statute itself where, it's true, you are going into uncertainties and perhaps somewhat risky areas, but I think, frankly, that voyage has to be undertaken or else we're going to have just continuous complaints -- A. Yes.

Q. -- complaints by police, "You are not letting us do our job", and complaints by the public, "You are far too



- 1 intrusive and you shouldn't have done that to my son". 2 Α. Yes. 3 4 Anyway, everyone knows my view now. I have expressed Q. 5 it before. It strikes me that one has to, more candidly 6 acknowledge the difficulties and then try to confront them 7 by ways which engage the police who are actually doing the 8 work in the context of their actual experiences of the 9 work? 10 Α. Yes. 11 12 Now, bodyworn video is going to be, I think, a great 13 help there, because you will actually get more than a 14 thousand words; you are going to get a picture that you can look at and which you can then analyse and learn from. 15 Quite. 16 Α. 17 That's not really a question. It was more 18 All right. 19 a speech. But thank you for listening patiently. 20 21 Chief Inspector, I am nearly finished, but MS DWYER: Q. just in relation to section 33 of LEPRA, where it is not 22 23 a parent or guardian but is in fact an independent person, you appreciate that that presence has to be acceptable to 24 25 the young person being searched? Of course. 26 Α. 27 28 Did you turn your mind to the fact that if the SES 29 personnel was not acceptable to the young person, you would 30 need a plan B? 31 Α. Yes. 32
 - Q. Was there anybody else other than the SES available for that person?
 - A. Most of these festivals you would probably have people from Red Frogs, and we have relied on them in the past.
 - Q. So prior to February 2019, you had had an experience of relying on Red Frogs?
 - A. Yes.

34

35

36 37 38

39

40

41 42

43

44

- Q. In that circumstance, would you check the credentials of the Red Frogs personnel or would you assume that they were suitable?
- A. Again, that's fraught with danger because I'm not sure if we could do those checks on the spot.

.2/12/2019

101 OFFICER GEN4 (Mc Duncan)



1 Are you aware of whether or not Red Frogs volunteers Q. 2 have to have a working with children check clearance? 3 I can only assume that they would. 4 5 But it is not something that you would have checked 6 prior to the event; is that right? 7 That's right. 8 9 And are you aware of whether or not Red Frogs Q. 10 volunteers had to be over the age of 18? 11 I don't know. 12 13 Would you have an expectation that the person who was 14 going to be an independent person acceptable to the young person for the purposes of section 33 would have to be over 15 the age of 18? 16 17 Yes. Α. 18 19 Are you aware of whether or not that's written down 0. 20 anywhere? I couldn't tell you if it is, but also, for example, 21 22 if they had a sibling that was, say, 17, and it was acceptable to the young person, we would probably still 23 24 allow that. 25 26 0. You probably would still allow that? 27 Yes. Α. 28 29 What about if they were 16? 0. 30 THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Let's not slice the salami. 31 32 33 The fact is each circumstance is so variable. 34 answer is, 16 is probably too young, but it depends - isn't 35 it, really? And a lot of young people might not want their 36 37 parents there. 38 39 Oh, no, that's true. But then they have to cop Q. a stranger? 40 41 Yes. Α. 42 Sorry, I didn't, by "cop", mean anything by it. 43 Q. 44 45 Do you think it would be helpful, Chief MS DWYER: 0. Inspector, to have guidelines as to who that independent 46

47

person should be in terms of the age range, or at least



```
1
         provide some guidelines?
 2
              Yes, it would be very helpful.
 3
 4
                     I have no further questions, Chief
         MS DWYER:
 5
         Commissioner.
 6
 7
         THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER:
                                  Are there any other questions?
 8
9
         MR COFFEY:
                      Nothing.
10
         <EXAMINATION BY MS CHAPMAN:
11
12
13
         MS CHAPMAN:
                       Q.
                             Did you expect that at the drug dog
14
         briefing that would include information about the LEPRA
15
         requirements in relation to stripsearching
         under-18-year-olds?
16
              Yes.
17
         Α.
18
19
              And to that end, are operational guidelines more about
         resource allocation than an explanation of the law?
20
21
              Possibly.
         Α.
22
23
              Are you aware that some time after this event,
         a one-page "Stripsearching briefing document" was created
24
25
         by the training and education department?
26
         Α.
              Yes.
27
28
              And it is a guideline in relation to strip searches?
         Q.
29
         Α.
              Yes.
30
                       Chief Commissioner, could I ask whether this
31
         MS CHAPMAN:
32
         document forms part of the brief or whether it can be
33
         identified.
34
35
                     It doesn't and I would call for it and ask for
         MS DWYER:
         it to be provided.
36
37
38
         THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER:
                                    Thank you. I wonder if you would
39
         show it to me, though. Yes, all right.
                                                   That will be
         exhibit 10C.
40
41
42
         EXHIBIT #10C ONE-PAGE DOCUMENT ENTITLED "STRIPSEARCHING
         BRIEFING DOCUMENT" BARCODED 7603224
43
44
45
                       Could exhibit 10C be shown to the witness?
         MS CHAPMAN:
46
47
         THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER:
                                    Certainly.
```

```
1
 2
         MS CHAPMAN:
                       Q.
                            Is that the document that you were
 3
         referring to when you answered in the affirmative to my
 4
         last question?
 5
         Α.
              Yes.
 6
 7
                       Nothing further.
         MS CHAPMAN:
8
9
         THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER:
                                    Q.
                                         I have a question though.
10
         The officers conducting the searches have to make, at
         least, amongst other things, a COPS entry, an event record?
11
12
         Α.
              Yes.
13
14
              Because it is the exercise of powers, they need to
         Q.
15
         show how they complied with the powers - am I right?
         Α.
              Yes.
16
17
              Merely saying "LEPRA complied with" doesn't reach that
18
19
         standard, obviously; do you agree.
20
         Α.
              No.
21
22
              If, for example, there is no independent person, as
         the Act itself requires, quite apart from police
23
24
         requirements, a note must be made as to why, how that came
25
         about, in effect?
              You would think so, yes.
26
         Α.
27
              In this particular case, we have a large number of
28
29
         COPS records that relate to young people which simply do
         not contain that information. What troubles me is I just
30
         wonder how it wasn't picked up by the supervising sergeant
31
32
         or other relevant officer who should be monitoring for
33
         exactly that kind of thing. Do you have any explanation,
34
         aside from everyone's too busy to do their job?
35
              I don't, Commissioner, no.
36
37
              But it is not acceptable, is it?
         Q.
38
         Α.
              No.
39
40
         THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Anything arising out of my
41
         questions?
42
43
         MS CHAPMAN:
                       No.
44
45
                     No, thank you.
         MS DWYER:
46
47
         THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER:
                                   You are free to go.
                                                         It's
                                         OFFICER GEN4 (Mc Chanman)
    .2/12/2019
```

possible, because this is an ongoing investigation, that we might want you back, but I think that is unlikely. I'm not entirely going to release you from your summons at this stage, but we will let Mr Coffey know if we need you to come back and we will make appropriate arrangements. Thank you, Commissioner. THE WITNESS: <THE WITNESS WITHDREW MS DWYER: Your Honour, that completes the witnesses for today. I apologise for the early mark, but if we might start again tomorrow morning. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER: Very well. I will adjourn. AT 3.10PM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED TO TUESDAY, 3 DECEMBER 2019 AT 10AM

epiq